

As. Sanam  
Legal

1

**RECOMMENDATIONS OF THE SENTENCE REVIEWING BOARD  
IN THE MEETING HELD UNDER THE CHAIRPERSONSHIP OF THE  
HON'BLE CHIEF MINISTER OF DELHI AT 05:00 PM ON 24<sup>TH</sup> OF  
JUNE 2013, AT DELHI SECRETARIAT, NEW DELHI- 110002**

A meeting of the **Sentence Reviewing Board** (hereinafter referred to as **Board**) was held on 24<sup>TH</sup> of **JUNE 2013** at the Delhi Secretariat under the **CHAIRPERSONSHIP OF HON'BLE CHIEF MINISTER, NCT OF DELHI.**

**THE FOLLOWING WERE PRESENT:**

1. **SMT. SHEILA DIKSHIT** **CHAIRPERSON**  
**HON'BLE CHIEF MINISTER**  
GOVT. OF NATIONAL CAPITAL TERRITORY OF  
DELHI
  
2. **SH. ARVIND RAY** **MEMBER**  
PRINCIPAL SECRETARY (HOME)  
GOVERNMENT OF NCT OF DELHI
  
3. **SH. A.S. YADAV** **MEMBER**  
PRINCIPAL SECRETARY (LAW & JUDICIAL)  
GOVERNMENT OF NCT OF DELHI
  
4. **SH. S.B.K. SINGH** **MEMBER**  
JOINT COMMISSIONER OF POLICE (CRIME)  
DELHI POLICE
  
5. **SH. P.C. SHARMA** **MEMBER**  
CHIEF PROBATION OFFICER  
GOVT. OF NCT OF DELHI
  
6. **SMT. VIMLAA MEHRA** **MEMBER SECRETARY**  
DIRECTOR GENERAL OF PRISONS  
DELHI

On 24<sup>TH</sup> of JUNE 2013 at 5:00 P.M., the cases of **THIRTY FIVE (35) Life Convicts** were taken up by the **Sentence Reviewing Board** for recommending premature release on merits. Such premature releases are subject to the approval of His Excellency, the Lieutenant Governor, Govt. of National Capital Territory of Delhi. Guidelines issued by the Government of NCT of Delhi vide Order No.F.18/5/94/HOME (G)/3825 dated 16.07.2004 and other related records were taken into consideration. Cases were discussed in the light of parameters like conduct of the prisoner during confinement in jail and parole/furlough, his/her age, the circumstances under which the crime was committed, gravity of crime, his/her antecedents, prospects of rehabilitation, family responsibilities etc. Recommendations of Jail Superintendent, Probation Officer and Police Authorities were also taken into account while reviewing each case for premature release.

The fact was placed before the Board that conduct of life convict during last one year only was to be taken into account while considering premature release of convict in consonance of directions passed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India.

The facts that the Police recommended only four cases out of thirty five cases and that the Police reports were found vague and not specifying any misconduct noticed after commission of crime were brought to the knowledge of the Board. The Police reports are mainly based on their apprehension and stereotyped objections and grossly undermine the SRB Guidelines which have been drafted and issued by GNCT of Delhi on the recommendations & approval of NHRC and Hon'ble Lt. Governor of NCT of Delhi states as under:-

The para no.5 (iii) of SRB Guidelines 2004 specifically emphasizes "***While considering the case of premature release of a particular prisoner, the Board shall keep in view the general principles of amnesty / remission of the sentence as laid down by the Government or by Courts as also the earlier precedents in the matter. The paramount consideration before the Sentence Review Board being the welfare of the prisoner and the society at large. The Board shall not ordinarily decline a premature release of prisoner merely on the ground that the police have not recommended his release. The Board shall take into account the circumstances in which the offence was committed by the prisoner and whether he has the propensity and is likely to commit similar or other offence again.***"

The cases were taken up by the Board and decided taking into consideration the facts of each case in true spirit of guidelines and conduct of convicts during their incarceration, as under:-

**1. AFSA PARVEEN @ SABINA W/O NASEEM @ WAKI AGE - 41 Yrs. (Female convict)**

The convict is undergoing life imprisonment in case FIR No.258/1993, U/s-363 r/w 120 B IPC & 364-A r/w 120-B IPC, Police Station- Gokul Puri, Delhi for her involvement in kidnapping of a child for ransom. The convict is a native of Uttar Pradesh.

The convict has already undergone an actual sentence of 14 years, 02 months & 07 days excluding the remission as on 31<sup>st</sup> of March 2013. He has undergone a total period of 17 years, 03 months & 27 days including the remission earned by him.

The Delhi Police opposed premature release of the convict. The Chief Probation Officer (Delhi) recommended premature release of the convict. The Home State Police report and Probation Officer report have not been received despite multiple messages.

After taking into account overall facts of the case i.e. the convict being not habitual criminal, positive reports of local probation officer, throughout good conduct in jail and outside during parole/furlough, **the convict is a known HIV positive case and the member from the Police Department was also in favour of premature release of her being in grip of fatal disease/HIV positive**, the Board **RECOMMENDED** the premature release of the convict.

**2. AMBIKA PRASAD S/O SH. BHAGWATI PRASAD AGE - 73 Yrs.**

The convict is undergoing life imprisonment in case FIR No.200/1982, U/S-302/307/341/34 IPC, Police Station- Ali Pur, Delhi, for his involvement with others in the murder of a person during quarrel over land dispute. The convict is a local resident of Delhi.

The convict has already undergone an actual sentence of 14 years, 04 months & 23 days excluding the remission as on 31<sup>st</sup> March 2013. He has undergone a total period of 18 years, 06 months & 26 days including the remission earned by him.

The Delhi Police did not recommend premature release of the convict. The Chief Probation Officer (Delhi) recommended premature release of the convict.

After taking into account overall facts of the case i.e. the convict being not habitual criminal, old age of the convict, crime on personal issue, positive report of the police, throughout good conduct in jail and outside during parole/furlough and knowing the fact that two of his co-accused have already been prematurely released

in previous Boards, the Board **RECOMMENDED** the premature release of the convict.

**3. KISHAN PAL S/O SH. HO RAM AGE – 63 Yrs.**

The convict is undergoing life imprisonment in case FIR No.200/1982, U/S-302/307/341/34 IPC, Police Station- Ali Pur, Delhi, for his involvement with others in the murder of a person during quarrel over land dispute. The convict is a native of Uttar Pradesh.

The convict has already undergone an actual sentence of 14 years, 04 months & 05 days excluding the remission as on 31<sup>st</sup> of March 2013. He has undergone a total period of 18 years, 05 months & 03 days including the remission earned by him.

The Delhi Police did not oppose premature release of the convict. The Chief Probation Officer (Delhi) recommended premature release of the convict. The Home State Police and Chief Probation Officer reports have not been received despite multiple messages.

After taking into account overall facts of the case i.e. the convict being not habitual criminal, old age of the convict, crime on personal issue, positive report of the police, throughout good conduct in jail and outside during parole/furlough and knowing the fact that two of his co-accused have already been prematurely released in previous Boards, the Board **RECOMMENDED** the premature release of the convict.

**4. AJAY S/O SH. HAZARA MANDAL AGE – 32 Yrs.** The convict is undergoing life imprisonment in case FIR No.124/1999, U/S-365/364-A/34 IPC, Police Station-Kalkaji, Delhi, for his involvement with his brother in kidnapping of a child for ransom. The convict is a native of Uttar Pradesh.

The convict has already undergone an actual sentence of 14 years, 01 month & 04 days excluding the remission as on 31<sup>st</sup> of March 2013. He has undergone a total period of 19 years, 01 month & 14 days including the remission earned by him.

The Delhi Police opposed premature release of the convict. The Chief Probation Officer (Delhi) recommended premature release of the convict. The Home State

18

Police and Chief Probation Officer reports have not been received despite multiple messages.

After taking into account overall facts of the case i.e. the convict being not habitual criminal, positive reports of the residential police and local chief probation officer and throughout good conduct in jail and outside during parole, the Board **RECOMMENDED** the premature release of the convict.

**5. VIJAY S/O SH. HAZARA MANDAL AGE – 37 Yrs.**

The convict is undergoing life imprisonment in case FIR No.124/1999, U/S-365/364-A/34 IPC, Police Station- Kalkaji, Delhi, for his involvement with his brother in kidnapping of a child for ransom. The convict is a native of Uttar Pradesh.

The convict has already undergone an actual sentence of 14 years, 01 month & 05 days excluding the remission as on 31<sup>st</sup> of March 2013. He has undergone a total period of 17 years, 09 months & 16 days including the remission earned by him.

The Delhi Police did not oppose premature release of the convict. The Home State Police opposed premature release of the convict. The Chief Probation Officer (Delhi) has recommended premature release of the convict. The Chief Probation Officer (Home State) did not recommend premature release of the convict.

After taking into account overall facts of the case i.e. the convict being not habitual criminal, positive reports of the residential police and local chief probation officer and throughout good conduct in jail, the Board **RECOMMENDED** the premature release of the convict.

**6. CHHOTE LAL S/O SH. RAM BHAJAN AGE – 46 Yrs.**

The convict is undergoing life imprisonment in case FIR No.176/1999, U/S-302/34 IPC, Police Station- Kotwali, Delhi, for his involvement with his accomplice in the murder of a person during quarrel over trivial issue. The convict is a native of Uttar Pradesh.

The convict has already undergone an actual sentence of 14 years, 00 month & 17 days excluding the remission as on 31<sup>st</sup> of March 2013. He has undergone a total period of 17 years, 08 months & 09 days including the remission earned by him.

The Delhi Police opposed premature release of the convict. The Chief Probation Officer (Delhi) recommended premature release of the convict. The Home State Police and Chief Probation Officer reports have not been received despite multiple messages.

After taking into account overall facts of the case, recommendations of local chief probation officer, throughout good conduct in jail, his prospects of reformation and rehabilitation, his social responsibility, even after the Board member from Police Deptt. not in favour of his premature release, the Board **RECOMMENDED** the premature release of the convict.

**7. MOHD. ALI @ RAJU S/O HUKUMDAR. AGE – 38 Yrs.**

The convict is undergoing life imprisonment in case FIR No.176/1999, U/S-302/34 IPC, Police Station- Kotwali, Delhi, for his involvement with his accomplice in the murder of a person during quarrel over trivial issue. The convict is a native of Uttar Pradesh.

The convict has already undergone an actual sentence of 14 years, 00 month & 17 days excluding the remission as on 31<sup>st</sup> of March 2013. He has undergone a total period of 17 years, 10 months & 12 days including the remission earned by him.

The Delhi Police opposed premature release of the convict. The Chief Probation Officer (Delhi) did not oppose premature release of the convict. The Home State Police (residential) and Chief Probation Officer reports have not been received despite multiple messages.

After taking into account overall facts of the case i.e. the convict being not habitual criminal, reports of the concerned authorities, throughout good conduct in jail, his prospects of reformation and rehabilitation, his social responsibility, even after the Board member from Police Deptt. not in favour of his premature release, the Board **RECOMMENDED** the premature release of the convict.

**8. SANJAY S/O SH. RAM SWAROOP      AGE – 34 Yrs.**

The convict is undergoing life imprisonment in case FIR No.147/1999, U/S-302/34 IPC, Police Station- Sultan Puri, Delhi, for his involvement with his accomplice in the murder of a person during quarrel over some dispute. The convict is a native of Uttar Pradesh.

The convict has already undergone an actual sentence of 14 years, 00 month & 17 days excluding the remission as on 31<sup>st</sup> of March 2013. He has undergone a total period of 17 years, 10 months & 12 days including the remission earned by him.

The Delhi Police has opposed premature release of the convict. The Chief Probation Officer (Delhi) did not oppose premature release of the convict. The Home State Police and Chief Probation Officer reports have not been received despite multiple messages.

After taking into account overall facts of the case i.e. the convict being not habitual criminal, reports of the concerned authorities, the convict was booked in a petty jail offence in the year 2012 for possessing small quantity of tobacco but overall good conduct in jail, the Board **RECOMMENDED** the premature release of the convict despite the member from Police Department was not in favour of his premature release.

**9. SANJEEV S/O SH. HOTI LAL      AGE – 35 Yrs.**

The convict is undergoing life imprisonment in case FIR No.147/1999, U/S-302/34 IPC, Police Station- Sultan Puri, Delhi, for his involvement with his accomplice in the murder of a person during quarrel over some dispute. The convict is a native of Uttar Pradesh.

The convict has already undergone an actual sentence of 14 years, 00 month & 05 days excluding the remission as on 31<sup>st</sup> of March 2013. He has undergone a total period of 17 years, 06 months & 19 days including the remission earned by him.

The Delhi Police did not recommend premature release of the convict. The Home State Police did not oppose premature release of the convict. The Delhi Chief Probation Officer has recommended premature release of the convict. The Home

State Chief Probation Officer report has not been received despite multiple messages.

After taking into account overall facts of the case i.e. the convict being not habitual criminal, reports of the concerned authorities and throughout good conduct in jail and lodging in Semi Open Jail, the Board **RECOMMENDED** the premature release of the convict despite the member from Police Department was not in favour of his premature release..

**10. ASHOK KUMAR S/O SH. NANHU SINGH AGE – 50 Yrs.**

The convict is undergoing life imprisonment in case FIR No.24/1996, U/S-302/34 IPC, Police Station- Mukherjee Nagar, Delhi, for his involvement with his accomplice in the murder of second wife of his brother-in-law and her two children due to property dispute. The convict is a native of Uttar Pradesh.

The convict has already undergone an actual sentence of 17 years, 00 month & 25 days excluding the remission as on 31<sup>st</sup> of March 2013. He has undergone a total period of 20 years, 01 month & 18 days including the remission earned by him.

The Delhi Police opposed premature release of the convict. The Chief Probation Officer (Delhi) recommended premature release of the convict. The Home State Police and Chief Probation Officer reports have not been received despite multiple messages.

After taking into account overall facts of the case, heinous nature of crime as three murders, non recommendations of the police, the Board **REJECTED** the premature release of the convict.

**11. HARJESH @ SUNNY S/O SH. TILAK RAJ AGE – 38 Yrs.**

The convict is undergoing life imprisonment in case FIR No.90/2000, U/S-302/307/34 IPC, Police Station- Sarai Rohilla, Delhi, for his involvement with his accomplice in the murder of a person during quarrel over trivial issue. The convict is a local resident of Delhi.



The convict has already undergone an actual sentence of 14 years, 01 month & 00 days excluding the remission as on 31<sup>st</sup> of March 2013. He has undergone a total period of 16 years, 08 months & 00 day including the remission earned by him.

The Delhi Police opposed premature release of the convict. The Chief Probation Officer (Delhi) recommended premature release of the convict.

After taking into account overall facts of the case, non recommendations of Police, declared as Bad Character, knowing the fact that his brother co-accused is already absconding, the Board **REJECTED** the premature release of the convict.

**12. KESHAV TYAGI S/O SH. SATENDER AGE – 39 Yrs.**

The convict is undergoing life imprisonment in case FIR No.01/1999, U/S-302/411/392/452/120-B IPC, Police Station- Preet Vihar, Delhi, for his involvement with his accomplice in the murder of old age couple while committing robbery. The convict is a local resident of Delhi.

The convict has already undergone an actual sentence of 17 years, 00 month & 25 days excluding the remission as on 31<sup>st</sup> of March 2013. He has undergone a total period of 20 years, 01 month & 18 days including the remission earned by him.

The Delhi Police opposed premature release of the convict. The Chief Probation Officer (Delhi & Home State) recommended premature release of the convict. The Home State Police report has not been received despite multiple messages.

After taking into account overall facts of the case, heinous nature of crime as murder of an old couple while committing robbery, non recommendations by police, the Board **REJECTED** the premature release of the convict.

**13. MOHAN LAL S/O SH. ACHHRU RAM AGE – 51 Yrs.**

The convict is undergoing life imprisonment in case FIR No.319/1987, U/S-302 IPC, Police Station- Nabi Karim, Delhi, for committing murder of his wife due to insufficient dowry. The convict is a local resident of Delhi.

The convict has already undergone an actual sentence of 14 years, 03 months & 24 days excluding the remission as on 31<sup>st</sup> of March 2013. He has undergone a total period of 17 years, 04 months & 22 days including the remission earned by him.

The Police (Delhi & Home State) opposed premature release of the convict. The Chief Probation Officer recommended premature release of the convict.

After taking into account overall facts of the case, the conduct of the convict is jail is throughout good, he is lodged in Semi Open Jail, his frequent movement on parole/furlough, his acceptability by his family, his children from first wife are living with him but the fact that the convict had murdered his wife for insufficient dowry, the Board **DEFERRED** the premature release of the convict.

**14. PAPPU @ WAHAB S/O GURGAL AGE - 51 Yrs.**

The convict is undergoing life imprisonment in case FIR No. 108/1996, U/S-302/307/392/394/397/34 IPC, Police Station- Darya Ganj Delhi, for involvement in murder of a person while committing robbery. The convict is a native of Uttar Pradesh.

The convict has already undergone an actual sentence of 17 years, 00 month & 16 days excluding the remission as on 31<sup>st</sup> of March 2013. He has undergone a total period of 20 years, 03 months & 01 day including the remission earned by him.

The Delhi Police opposed premature release of the convict. The Chief Probation Officer has recommended premature release of the convict. The Home State Police and Chief Probation Officer reports have not been received despite multiple messages.

After taking into account overall facts of the case, heinous nature of crime i.e. murder while committing robbery, habitual offender, his involvement in other cases, non recommendation of police, the Board **REJECTED** the premature release of the convict.

**15. SURENDER S/O SH. TARA CHAND AGE - 43 Yrs.** The convict is

undergoing life imprisonment in case FIR No. 52/1998, U/S-120-B/364-A/365/392 397 IPC, Police Station- Model Town, Delhi, for involvement in hatching conspiracy

and kidnapping of a businessman to demand ransom. The convict is a native of Haryana.

The convict has already undergone an actual sentence of 15 years, 01 month & 22 days excluding the remission as on 31<sup>st</sup> of March 2013. He has undergone a total period of 16 years, 03 months & 18 days including the remission earned by him.

The Delhi & Home State Police did not recommend premature release of the convict. The Home State Chief Probation Officer did not recommend premature release of the convict.

After taking into account overall facts of the case, heinous nature of crime i.e. kidnapping for ransom, habitual criminal being life convict in one other case of Sonapat and involvement in other numerous cases, non recommendation of police and probation officers, the Board **REJECTED** the premature release of the convict.

**16. SATYAMURTI @ SATII S/O SH. SIMMALAI AGE - 39 Yrs.**

The convict is undergoing life imprisonment in case FIR No. 508/1995, U/S-364/377/302/201 IPC, Police Station- Kalkaji, Delhi, for kidnapping, rape, murder and carnal intercourse with an 08 years old girl. The convict is a native of Tamilnadu.

The convict has already undergone an actual sentence of 17 years, 05 months & 17 days excluding the remission as on 31<sup>st</sup> of March 2013. He has undergone a total period of 20 years, 05 months & 12 days including the remission earned by him.

The Delhi Police opposed premature release of the convict. The Chief Probation Officer (Home State) recommended premature release of the convict.

After taking into account overall facts of the case, heinous nature of crime as kidnapping, rape and carnal intercourse before murder of a girl child, non recommendation by police being jumped parole, the Board **REJECTED** the premature release of the convict.

**17. KAMAL KISHOR S/O SH. NAND KISHOR AGE – 56 Yrs.**

The convict is undergoing life imprisonment in case FIR No. 61/1994, U/S-364-A/365/506 IPC, Police Station- Chitranjan Park, Delhi, for hatching conspiracy for kidnapping a businessman for ransom. The convict is a native of Uttar Pradesh.

The convict has already undergone an actual sentence of 18 years, 11 months & 27 days excluding the remission as on 31<sup>st</sup> of March 2013. He has undergone a total period of 20 years, 05 months & 19 days including the remission earned by him.

The Delhi & Home State Police reports and Chief Probation Officer (Delhi) have not been received despite multiple messages. The Chief Probation Officer (Home State) recommended premature release of the convict. The convict belongs to a very poor strata and is life convict in another case of Allahabad UP. He will be shifted to UP after his premature release from Delhi.

After taking into account overall facts of the case, recommendation of Chief Probation Officer, the Board **RECOMMENDED** the premature release of the convict.

**18. MANOJ S/O SH. OMKAR AGE- 37 Yrs.**

The convict is undergoing life imprisonment in case FIR No. 762/1997, U/S-302/323 IPC, Police Station – Hari Nagar for his involvement in the murder of co-inmate during quarrel between two parties in jail. He is a local resident of Delhi.

The convict has undergone an actual sentence of 15 years, 05 months & 14 days excluding remission as on 31<sup>st</sup> of March 2013. He has undergone a total period of 17 years 10 months & 13 days including remission earned by him.

The Delhi Police opposed his premature release. The Probation Officer (Home State) recommended premature release of the convict.

After taking into account the gravity of offence of committing murder of a co-inmate while inside the jail and the fact that he was booked in five other cases and was convicted in one out of them, non recommendation of the police, the board **REJECTED** the premature release of this convict.

19. MUNNA KHAN S/O SH. BASHIR KHAN AGE- 37 Yrs.

The convict is undergoing life imprisonment in case FIR No. 762/1997, U/S-302/323 IPC, Police Station- Hari Nagar for his involvement in the murder of co-inmate during quarrel between two parties in jail. The convict originally hails from the District- Dhanbad, Jharkhand.

The convict has undergone a total actual sentence of 15 years, 05 months & 13 days excluding remission as on 31<sup>st</sup> of March 2013. He has undergone a total period of 18 years 02 months & 13 days including remission earned by him.

The Delhi Police opposed premature release of the convict. The Police and Probation officer (Home State) have not sent the reports despite multiple messages/letters. The Chief Probation Officer (Delhi) recommended premature release of the convict.

After taking into account the gravity of offence of committing murder of a co-inmate while inside the jail, non recommendation of the police, the board **REJECTED** the premature release of this convict.

20. RANBIR @ PAPPU S/O SH. RAM KISHAN AGE - 43 Yrs.

The convict is undergoing life imprisonment in case FIR No. 78/1997, U/S -364-A r/w 120 B IPC & 25/54/59 Arms Act, Police Station - Najafgarh, Delhi, for hatching conspiracy with his accomplices and kidnapping a boy of an affluent family with motive to demand ransom. The convict is a native of District- Jhajjar, Haryana.

The convict has already undergone an actual sentence of 15 years, 05 months & 12 days excluding the remission as on 31<sup>st</sup> of March 2013. He has undergone a total period of 16 years, 08 months and 17 days including the remission earned by him.

The Delhi & Home State Police opposed premature release of the convict. The Chief Probation Officer (both Delhi & Home State) did not oppose premature release of the convict.

The convict was booked in another case FIR No. 111/2010, U/S- 147/148/149/323/307/427/436/447 IPC & 25 Arms Act, P.S.- Sadar Bahadur Garh, Haryana while released on Interim Bail. The said case is pending.

1  
2  
3

After taking into account the totality of facts, heinous nature of crime-as kidnapping of a boy with motive to demand ransom and committing crime while released on Interim Bail, non recommendation of the police, the Board **REJECTED** premature release of this convict.

**21. ANWAR KHAN @ ANWAR THAKUR S/O MAQSOOD AGE - 40 Yrs.**

The convict is undergoing life imprisonment in case FIR No. 279/1992, U/S - 302/307 IPC & 5 TADA Act, Police Station – Sadar Bazar, Delhi, for murder of a police constable to evade arrest. The convict is a local resident of Delhi.

The convict has already undergone an actual sentence of 18 years, 01 month & 27 days excluding the remission as on 31<sup>st</sup> of March 2013. He has undergone a total period of 21 years and 16 days including the remission earned by him.

The Police (Delhi & Residential) opposed premature release of the convict. The Chief Probation Officer (Residential) did not recommend premature release of the convict. After taking into account the totality of facts, heinous nature of crime as murder of a police constable on duty, non recommendation of the police, the Board **REJECTED** premature release of this convict.

**22. ASHOK KUMAR S/O SH. PANCHAM SINGH, AGE- 36 Yrs**

The convict is undergoing life imprisonment in case FIR No. 418/96, U/S-302 IPC, Police Station – Mangol Puri, Delhi, for committing murder of a girl child of 7/8 years residing in his neighbourhood due to grudge against her father who had insulted him. The convict is a local resident of Delhi.

The convict has undergone an actual sentence of 16 years, 10 months & 28 days excluding remission as on 31<sup>st</sup> of March 2013. He has undergone a total period of 21 years & 10 months including remission earned by him.

The Delhi Police opposed the premature release of the convict. The Probation Officer (Delhi) recommended premature release of the convict.

After taking into account totality of facts, non recommendation of the police, the Board **REJECTED** the premature release of this convict.

**23. ASHOK KUMAR PANDEY S/O SH. SITA RAM PANDEY, AGE - 44**

**Yrs.**

The convict is undergoing life imprisonment in case FIR No.568/1996, U/S-302 IPC & 27 Arms Act, Police Station- Dabri, Delhi, for murder of his wife and infant daughter (3yrs of age) as he had suspicion on the character of his wife. The convict is a native of District- Araria, Bihar.

The convict has already undergone an actual sentence of 16 years, 06 months & 19 days excluding the remission as on 31<sup>st</sup> of March 2013. He has undergone a total period of 20 years, 10 months & 19 days including the remission earned by him.

The Delhi Police opposed premature release of the convict. The Chief Probation Officer (Delhi) recommended premature release of the convict. However, the Police report (Home State) and Probation Officer report (Home State) have not been received despite multiple messages.

After taking into account overall facts of the case, heinous nature of crime as murder of his wife and daughter of 3 years of age, non recommendation by police, the Board **REJECTED** the premature release of the convict.

**24. MOHD. CHAMAN S/O MOHD. SHAMSHER AGE -57 Yrs.**

The convict is undergoing life imprisonment in case FIR No. 62/1995 U/S-302/376 IPC, Police Station- Gandhi Nagar, Delhi, for committing rape and murder of a girl child of 1 ½ years residing in the neighbourhood. The convict originally hails from the District- Hardoi, Uttar Pradesh.

The convict has already undergone an actual sentence of 17 years 02 months & 19 days excluding the remission as on 31<sup>st</sup> of March 2013. He has undergone a total period of 21 years, 10 months & 14 days including the remission earned by him.

The Delhi Police report did not receive despite multiple messages. The Home State Police did not oppose premature release of the convict. The Chief Probation Officer (Delhi) recommended the premature release of the convict. The Probation Officer (Home State) did not oppose premature release of the convict.

26

After taking into account the totality of facts, heinous nature of crime as rape and murder of a girl of 1 ½ years, the Board **REJECTED** the premature release of the convict.

**25. DAYA CHAND S/O SH. GURDAYAL @ GUDDU RAM AGE- 52 Yrs.**

The convict is undergoing life imprisonment in case FIR No. 575/90, U/S-302/34 IPC, Police Station- Trilok Puri, for abduction and murder of one minor girl of 06 years due to inimical relations with family of the deceased. The convict is a local resident of Delhi.

The convict has already undergone an actual sentence of 21 years, 05 months & 16 days excluding the remission as on 31<sup>st</sup> of March 2013. He has undergone a total period of 26 years 02 months & 01 day including the remission earned by him.

The Delhi Police has opposed the premature release of the convict. The Probation Officer (Delhi) did not oppose the premature release of the convict.

After taking into account the totality of facts, throughout good conduct in jail, the convict has already completed 25 years with remission, the Board **RECOMMENDED** the proposal for the premature release of the convict.

**26. DULICHAND S/O SH. KALICHARAN AGE- 40 Yrs.**

The convict is undergoing life imprisonment in case FIR No. 165/96, U/S-365/366/376 IPC, Police Station- Trilok Puri, for kidnapping and rape of a minor girl of age 04 years. The convict originally hails from District- Mahowa, Uttar Pradesh.

The convict has already undergone an actual sentence of 13 years, 07 months & 05 days excluding the remission as on 31<sup>st</sup> of March 2013. He has undergone a total period of 17 years, 06 months & 22 days including the remission earned by him.

The Police (Delhi & Home State) opposed premature release of the convict. The Probation Officer (Delhi) has recommended the premature release of the convict. The Probation Officer (Home State) has not opposed the premature release of the convict.

After taking into account the totality of facts, heinous nature of crime being kidnapping and rape of a girl of 04 years of age and keeping her for 2 ½ years in



his unlawful custody, non recommendation by police, the Board **REJECTED** the proposal for the premature release of the convict.

**27. HANS RAJ S/O SH. NATHU RAM AGE- 35 Yrs.** The convict is undergoing life imprisonment in case FIR No. 376/1996, U/S-394/398/302/34 IPC, Police Station- Mangol Puri, Delhi, for murder of a petrol pump employee while committing dacoity. The convict originally hails from District- Bhiwani Haryana.

The convict has already undergone an actual sentence of 17 years 08 months & 11 days excluding the remission as on 31<sup>st</sup> of March 2013. He has undergone a total period of 20 years, 06 months & 20 days including the remission earned by him. He was booked in six other cases and out of them he was convicted in four cases and one case is still pending. He had jumped furlough granted by DG (P) Haryana and committed crime on 20.08.2008. His jail conduct is also unsatisfactory.

The Police (Delhi & Home State) opposed premature release of the convict. The Probation Officer (Delhi) has not recommended premature release of the convict. The Probation Officer (Home State) did not oppose premature release of the convict.

After taking into consideration being habitual criminal his conviction in four other cases and involvement in one more case, his unsatisfactory jail conduct, jumping and committing crime on furlough, the Board **REJECTED** the premature release of this convict.

**28. JASWINDER @ JASSA S/O SH. VIVEK SINGH, AGE- 47 Yrs**

The convict is undergoing life imprisonment in case FIR No. 508/97, U/S-302 IPC & 25/27/54/59 Arms Act, Police Station - Mehrauli, Delhi, for committing the murder of a person who had allegedly instigated the brother of the convict against him. The convict is a local resident of Delhi.

The convict has undergone an actual sentence of 15 years, 06 months & 01 day excluding remission as on 31<sup>st</sup> of March 2013. He has not earned any remission till date.

The Delhi Police did not recommend the premature release of the convict. The Chief Probation Officer (Delhi) did also not recommend premature release of the convict.

His conduct in jail has been found unsatisfactory therefore he could not earn any remission. Moreover, being a dreaded criminal, he is lodged in High Risk Ward.

After taking into account totality of facts, his unsatisfactory jail conduct, non recommendation by police and probation officer and being a dreaded criminal, the Board **REJECTED** the premature release of this convict.

**29. KHEM CHAND S/O SH. KARAN SINGH AGE- 59 Yrs.**

The convict is undergoing life imprisonment in case FIR No. 152/98, U/S- 376/ 377 /354 IPC, Police Station- Nand Nagri, for having sexual relations with his own daughter and her friend. The convict is a local resident of Delhi.

The convict has already undergone an actual sentence of 14 years, 06 months & 26 days excluding the remission as on 31<sup>st</sup> of March 2013. He has undergone a total period of 18 years, 10 months & 12 days including the remission earned by him.

The Delhi Police opposed the premature release of the convict. The Probation Officer (Delhi) recommended the premature release of the convict.

Taking into account the totality of facts, nature of crime of incestuous relations with his own daughter and sexual relations with her friend, adverse police report, the Board **REJECTED** the proposal for the premature release of the convict.

**30. LAJPAT @ BILLU S/O SH. HEERA LAL, AGE- 34 Yrs.**

The convict is undergoing life imprisonment in case FIR No. 15/1997, U/S-302/34 IPC & 25/54/59 Arms Act, Police Station – Prasad Nagar, for murder of a person due to previous enmity by inflicting knife injuries with the help of his accomplices. The convict is a local resident of Delhi.

The convict has undergone an actual sentence of 16 years, 02 months & 17 days excluding remission as on 31<sup>st</sup> of March 2013. He has undergone a total period of 16 years 09 months & 17 days including remission earned by him.

The Delhi Police opposed premature release of this convict. The Probation Officer (Delhi) did not recommended premature release of the convict. He has been convicted in five other cases. He is a notorious blade baaz and habitual jail offender.

After taking into consideration all the relevant facts, being booked and convicted in a number of cases, habitual jail offender and notorious bladebaaz, non recommendation by police and probation officer, the Board **REJECTED** the premature release of this convict.

**31. MAHENDER SINGH S/O SH. ATTAR SINGH AGE - 63 Yrs.**

The convict is undergoing life imprisonment in case FIR No. 247/1986, U/S-302 IPC, Police Station- Sarai Rohilla, for the murder of a young lady with scissors as he had developed one sided affection for her and was reprimanded by her family. He originally hails from District- Ghaziabad, Uttar Pradesh.

The convict has undergone an actual sentence of 15 years, 05 months & 27 days excluding remission as on 31<sup>st</sup> of March 2013. He has undergone a total period of 16 years, 10 months & 02 days including remission earned by him.

The Delhi Police has opposed premature release of the convict. The Police (Home State) did not oppose premature release of the convict. The Probation Officer (Delhi) has recommended premature release of the convict. The report from Probation Officer (Home State) did not receive despite multiple messages.

After taking into account totality of facts and heinous nature of crime, non recommendation by police, the Board **REJECTED** the premature release of this convict.

**32. MOHD. YASIN S/O MOHD. YUNUS AGE- 48 Yrs.**

The convict is undergoing life imprisonment in case FIR No. 194/84, U/S-302/307/34 IPC, Police Station- Kashmere Gate, for his involvement in the murder of one police constable. The convict is a local resident of Delhi.

The convict has already undergone an actual sentence of 20 years, 05 months & 27 days excluding the remission as on 31<sup>st</sup> March 2013. He has undergone a total period of 24 years 05 months & 09 days including the remission earned by him.

The Delhi Police opposed the premature release of the convict. The Probation Officer (Delhi) did not recommend the premature release of the convict. The convict is a hardened criminal who has been booked in fourteen other cases and convicted in

The convict has already undergone an actual sentence of 17 years, 07 months & 14 days excluding the remission as on 31<sup>st</sup> MARCH 2013. He has undergone a total period of 24 years 03 months & 10 days including the remission earned by him.

The Delhi Police did not recommend the premature release of the convict. The Probation Officer (Delhi) did not recommend the premature release of the convict. The convict was booked in five other cases. The convict jumped parole both the times.

Taking into account the totality of facts, non recommendation by police and probation officer, the fact that the convict jumped parole twice, nature of crime of the murder of a boy of 10 years, the Board **REJECTED** the proposal for the premature release of the convict.

**35. Further, a fresh case of life convict (female) Sudama aged 60 years w/o Buti Thakur** was also placed before the Board for consideration of her premature release in terms of para no. 3.4 of SRB Guidelines 2004 which states –"*Cases of premature release of persons undergoing life imprisonment before completion of 14 years of actual imprisonment on grounds of terminal illness or old age etc. Can be dealt with under the provisions of Art. 161 of the Constitution of India.*". The convict is serving life sentence in case FIR No.478/2003, U/s- 302/201/34 IPC, P.S.- Paschim Vihar, Delhi. The convict has undergone custody period of 09 years 09 months and 15 days without remission and total custody of 11 years, 02 months and 13 days with remission.

Taking into accounts totality of facts and in absence of reports from different agencies i.e. Police and Probation Officer, the Board **DEFERRED** the premature release of the convict.

23

three cases. Moreover, he surrendered late on both the two occasions when he was released on Interim Bail.

Taking into account the fact that he was a hardened criminal who has been booked in fourteen other cases and has been convicted in three out of them, nature of crime of committing murder of a police constable, non recommendation by police, the Board **REJECTED** the proposal for the premature release of the convict.

**33. PREM CHAND S/O SH. SHER SINGH AGE - 45 Yrs.**

The convict is undergoing life imprisonment in case FIR No. 275/88, U/S-302/34 IPC, Police Station- Subzi Mandi, for murders of two persons during quarrel in a marriage. The convict is a local resident of Delhi.

The convict has already undergone an actual sentence of 20 years, 08 months & 03 days excluding the remission as on 31<sup>st</sup> of March 2013. He has undergone a total period of 24 years 10 months & 12 days including the remission earned by him. However, on 24.06.2013 i.e. the day of SRB meeting, the convict has completed 25 years 01 month and 05 days and as per the para no. 3.1 of Sentence Reviewing Board guidelines dated 16.07.2004, '*The period of incarceration inclusive of remissions even in such cases which fall in category (entitlement to be considered after undergoing imprisonment for 20 years including remissions) should not exceed 25 years.*'

The Police (Delhi & Home State) did not recommend the premature release of the convict. The Probation officer (Delhi) did not recommend the premature release of the convict. The convict jumped parole from 22.02.2000 to 28.12.2003 and committed murder during this period in the Tis Hazari Court complex. His jail conduct is also not satisfactory.

Taking into account the guidelines as quoted above, the Board **RECOMMENDED** the premature release of the convict.

**34. RAJ KUMAR S/O SH. JOTA RAM AGE-43 YEARS**

The convict is undergoing life imprisonment in case FIR No. 06/90, U/S-364/302/120-B IPC, Police Station- Adarsh Nagar, for kidnapping and the murder of a boy of 10 years, due to inimical relations with family of the deceased. The convict is a local resident of Delhi.

Conclusion: Out of 35 cases placed before the Sentence Reviewing Board, 12 cases have been recommended, 02 cases have been deferred and 21 cases have been rejected.



(P.C. SHARMA)

Chief Probation Officer



(S.B.K. SINGH)

Joint Commissioner of Police (Crime)



(ARVIND RAY)

Principal Secretary (Home)




(A.S. YADAV)

Secretary (Law & Judicial)



(VIMLA MEHRA)

Director General (Prisons)



SHEILA DIKSHIT

Hon'ble Chief Minister of Delhi