OFFICE OF THE DIRECTOR GENERAL (PRISONS)
PRISON HEADQUARTERS: GOVT. OF NCT OF DELHI
NEAR LAJWANTI GARDEN CHOWK: NEW DELHI-110064
(PH No- 28520398 (o), 28520989 (Fax), Email: dig-tihar@nic.in)

Name of applicant	Date of applications	Reply given by PIOs	Date of filing of Appeal	Date of Hearing of Appeal	Appeal No.	ID No.
Sh. Sunil Kumar, Ex. Supdt.	15/02/2022	PIO-CJ-10 -16/03/2022	30/03/2022	21/04/2022	**33/2022**	**Appeal General**

Appellant Sh. Sunil Kumar, Ex. Supdt. is present for hearing through video conferencing being jail inmate. Sh. Praveen Kumar, Dy. Supdt. and Sh. Jitender Patel, Asstt. Supdt. is present for hearing on behalf of PIO CJ-10.

Case heard. Through the appeal, the Appellant has stated that the PIO CJ-10 has not provided satisfactory reply on queries No. 1 & 2.

The appellant vide his application dated 15/02/2022 has sought the information as under:-

(1) certified copy of the relevant pages of the CCTV register where Sh. Neeraj Maan, Warder (CCTV), Sh. Vikas Dagar, AS (CCTV) or Sh. Parkash Chand, DS (CCTV) has reported or observed any irregularities with regard to Barrack No. 204 of Ward 3 in CJ-10 Rohini during the period 08/07/2020 to 10/12/2021.
(2) Certified copy of any other document through which Sh. Neeraj Maan, Warder (CCTV), Sh. Vikas Dagar, AS(CCTV) or Sh. Parkash Chand, DS (CCTV) has brought the irregularities noticed by them in to the knowledge of higher authority with regard to Barrack No. 204 of Ward 3 in CJ-10 Rohini during the period 08/07/2020 to 10/12/2021.

The PIO CJ-10 vide his communication dated 16/03/2020 has replied that

(1) It is informed that CCTV registers for the period 16/04/2020 to 14/08/2021 have already been sent to EOW/Investigation Agency in original therefore information cannot be shared under section 8 (1)(h) RTI Act 2005.
(2) No such record found in this office.

The PIO CJ-10 (Rohini) has filed his rejoinder to Appeal petition vide his communication dated 19/04/2022 vide which he stated that the CCTV register for the period 16/04/2020 to 14/08/2021 is in the custody of the investigation agency, hence, the same is not available in this office.

From the facts available on file and oral submission made during the hearing, it is observed that the PIO CJ-10 has rightly denied to provide the information in view of section 8 (1) (g) and 8 (1) (h) of RTI Act 2008. However, the reply given by the PIO for point No-2 is not appropriate since the expression used by it is "not found" which, in no manner, is a substitute for the expression "non-existent". However, a perusal of information asked by the Appellant at S. No. 2, it is revealed that the information asked is vauge and not specific. Appellant is accordingly advised to quote the specific information sought by him to the SPIO CJ-10.

Case is accordingly disposed off.

If applicant is not satisfied with this direction, he/she may file second appeal before the Hon'ble CIC, Baba Gang Nath Marg, Munirka, New Delhi-67.

21/4/22

(MUKESH PRASAD)
ADDL. INSPECTOR GENERAL OF PRISONS/
FIRST APPELLATE AUTHORITY

Sh. Sunil Kumar, Ex. Supdt.
Presently lodged in Central Jail No-2,
Tihar, New Delhi-110064

F10(3476489)/ID-**Appeal General**/CJ/Legal/2022/1551-52 Dated: 22/4/2022

Copy for necessary action/information:-

PIO CJ-10