

The Board also took into consideration the socio-economic condition of the convict's family, the nature and gravity of the offence and its impact on society at large, the age of the convict, and the potential risk to public safety. In addition, due weight was given to the latest reports and assessments received from the Police authorities and the Social Welfare Department.

After taking into account all relevant facts, materials, and circumstances of the case in their entirety, the Board arrived at its decision.

(iv) Recommendations:

After detailed deliberation and comprehensive discussion on all relevant aspects, as recorded above, the Board unanimously decided to **RECOMMEND** the case for premature release of convict **Rabbani @ Sameer S/o Sh. Sheruddin**, subject to the following conditions, which shall be strictly complied with by the convict:

- (i) The said convict shall report to the concerned Probation Officer of Social Welfare Department of his native place in the first week of every quarter of the calendar year till his probation period is completed.
- (ii) He will also share his mobile number and permanent/temporary residential address to the concerned SHO of the Police Station of his native place.
- (iii) He will keep his mobile active at all the time & change in his permanent/temporary residential address or mobile number, shall immediately be reported to the concerned SHO of the Police Station/Probation officer of his native place.

Item No. 78: The case of Raja Ram @ Chota Raja S/o Sh. Dhanna Ram — (Age-41 Yrs.)

(i) Eligibility conditions:

14 years of actual imprisonment i.e. without remission. This case has been considered under the policy/order dated 16.07.2004 issued by the Govt. of NCT of Delhi i.e. policy that was existing on the date of conviction.

(ii) Sentence details:

Raja Ram @ Chota Raja S/o Sh. Dhanna Ram is undergoing life imprisonment in case FIR No. 254/2008, U/s 302 IPC, P.S. Mandawali, Delhi for committing murder of a person by bullet shot.

(iii) Deliberation:

As on 30.09.2025, the convict has undergone imprisonment for a period of 16 years in actual custody and 18 years, 04 months and 05 days including remission. During the course of his incarceration, the convict has availed parole on 05 occasions, emergency parole on 01 occasion and furlough on 04 occasions. In 2021, the convict jumped emergency parole and re-arrested in 09.07.2021 in same case.

As per jail record, the convict has been involved in two (02) other criminal cases, apart from the present offence, indicating a continued pattern of criminal conduct. Which further reflects his criminal antecedents.

- The detail of criminal case and status of case are as under:-

S. No.	Case Particulars	Status
1.	FIR No. 87/2010, U/s 324 IPC, PS-Madhu Vihar, Delhi	Convicted and sentenced on 27.04.2012, period already undergone
2.	FIR No. 481/2010, U/s 186/332/324/353/34 IPC, PS- Delhi Cantt., Delhi	Convicted and sentenced on 18.11.2013, period already undergone

Further, during the period of incarceration, thirteen (13) punishments have been recorded against the convict for violations of prison discipline/disobey jail rules. The recurrence of such infractions demonstrates a lack of sustained reformatory behaviour and disregard for institutional discipline.

- The details of punishment recorded during the period incarceration are as under:-

S.No.	Date of Punishment	Offence
-------	--------------------	---------

1.	15.03.2010	Abusing and misbehaving with on duty staff
2.	23.03.2010	Attacked on fellow inmate with the help of surgical blade and causing self injury
3.	05.07.2010	Recovery of surgical blade from his possession
4.	13.09.2010	Quarrelling with fellow inmate and misbehaving with on duty staff
5.	27.10.2010	Misbehaved with jail staff and medical staff
6.	21.12.2010	Inflicting self injury
7.	26.01.2011	Quarrelling with fellow inmates and threw food on fellow inmate
8.	20.02.2013	Misbehave in the high risk on duty staff
9.	28.06.2016	Beating and extending threats to co-inmate
10.	12.09.2016	Misbehaved with jail staff and threatened the jail authorities
11.	28.02.2017	Recovery of loose tobacco and 01 SIM card
12.	31.07.2017	Fighting with other inmates
13.	12.07.2021	Jumped the parole and re-arrested in the same case on 09.07.2021

The above conduct, when viewed in conjunction with the heinous nature of the present offence, clearly indicates that the convict has not shown consistent improvement in conduct or a genuine inclination towards reformation. Accordingly, the cumulative assessment of his criminal antecedents and jail conduct raises a reasonable apprehension of recidivism, thereby weighing against the grant of premature release.

As per the police report dated 02.12.2024, the premature release of the convict has been not recommended. During the meeting the Chief Probation Officer, Social Welfare Department, Delhi did not supported his premature release in the meeting.

In the present case, the convict was involved in murder of a person by bullet shot, which clearly establishes the serious, heinous, and grave nature of the offence. Such an offence cannot be considered for leniency, particularly in view of the larger interest of society. While examining cases for premature release, the Board is required to balance the interests of the convict with the societal impact and public safety, and the latter cannot be overlooked or compromised.

(iv) Recommendations:

The Board considered the manner of commission of the offence, which involved a high degree of collaboration, involvement in two (02) other criminal case and 13 jail punishments recorded against him during the period of incarceration. Upon a

cumulative assessment of these above factors, the Board is of the considered opinion that the possibility of recidivism cannot be ruled out at this stage. Further, on an overall evaluation of the societal impact of the offence, the extent of reformation achieved, and the question of whether further incarceration would serve a meaningful purpose, the Board finds the case not fit for premature release.

Therefore, the Board unanimously decided to **REJECT** the case for premature release of convict **Raja Ram @ Chota Raja S/o Sh. Dhanna Ram**, at this stage.

Item No. 79: The case of Rajeev S/o Sh. Narayan Singh — (Age-40 Yrs.)

(i) Eligibility conditions:

14 years of actual imprisonment i.e. without remission. This case has been considered under the policy/order dated 16.07.2004 issued by the Govt. of NCT of Delhi i.e. policy that was existing on the date of conviction.

(ii) Sentence details:

Rajeev S/o Sh. Narayan Singh is undergoing life imprisonment in case FIR No. 256/2010, U/S 302 IPC, P.S. North Rohini, Delhi for committing murder of a person by knife blows.

(iii) Deliberation:

As on 30.09.2025, the convict has undergone imprisonment for a period of 14 years, 11 months and 01 day in actual custody and 18 years, 04 months and 14 days including remission. During the course of his incarceration, the convict has availed parole on 01 occasion, emergency parole on 02 occasions and furlough on 05 occasions.

The Board carefully examined and assessed the overall jail conduct of the convict during the period of incarceration, including discipline and behaviour. It further evaluated the propensity and likelihood of the convict committing offences again upon release, as well as the possibility of his reformation, rehabilitation, and reintegration as a law-abiding and useful member of society.

The Board also took into consideration the socio-economic condition of the convict's family, the nature and gravity of the offence and its impact on society at large, the age of the convict and the potential risk to public safety. In addition, due weight was given

to the latest reports and assessments received from the Police authorities and the Social Welfare Department.

After taking into account all relevant facts, materials, and circumstances of the case in their entirety, the Board arrived at its decision.

(iv) Recommendations:

After detailed deliberation and comprehensive discussion on all relevant aspects, as recorded above, the Board unanimously decided to **RECOMMEND** the case for premature release of convict **Rajeev S/o Sh. Narayan Singh**, subject to the following conditions, which shall be strictly complied with by the convict:

- (i) The said convict shall report to the concerned Probation Officer of Social Welfare Department of his native place in the first week of every quarter of the calendar year till his probation period is completed.
- (ii) He will also share his mobile number and permanent/temporary residential address to the concerned SHO of the Police Station of his native place.
- (iii) He will keep his mobile active at all the time & change in his permanent/temporary residential address or mobile number, shall immediately be reported to the concerned SHO of the Police Station/Probation officer of his native place.

Item No. 80: The case of Rajeev Kumar S/o Sh. Jagdish Sharan — (Age-43 Yrs.)

(i) Eligibility conditions:

14 years of actual imprisonment i.e. without remission. This case has been considered under the policy/order dated 16.07.2004 issued by the Govt. of NCT of Delhi i.e. policy that was existing on the date of conviction.

(ii) Sentence details:

Rajeev Kumar S/o Sh. Jagdish Sharan is undergoing life imprisonment in case FIR No. 235/2010, U/S 302 IPC, P.S. Krishna Nagar, Delhi for committing murder of a person by multiple stabbing.

(iii) Deliberation:

As on 30.09.2025, the convict has undergone imprisonment for a period of 14 years, 03 months and 10 days in actual custody and 18 years, 04 months and 16 days including remission. During the course of his incarceration, the convict has availed parole on 06 occasions, emergency parole on 02 occasions and furlough on 21 occasions.

The Board carefully examined and assessed the overall jail conduct of the convict during the period of incarceration, including discipline and behaviour. It further evaluated the propensity and likelihood of the convict committing offences again upon release, as well as the possibility of his reformation, rehabilitation, and reintegration as a law-abiding and useful member of society.

The Board also took into consideration the socio-economic condition of the convict's family, the nature and gravity of the offence and its impact on society at large, the age of the convict and the potential risk to public safety. In addition, due weight was given to the latest reports and assessments received from the Police authorities and the Social Welfare Department.

After taking into account all relevant facts, materials, and circumstances of the case in their entirety, the Board arrived at its decision.

(iv) Recommendations:

After detailed deliberation and comprehensive discussion on all relevant aspects, as recorded above, the Board unanimously decided to **RECOMMEND** the case for premature release of convict **Rajeev Kumar S/o Sh. Jagdish Sharan**, subject to the following conditions, which shall be strictly complied with by the convict:

- (i) The said convict shall report to the concerned Probation Officer of Social Welfare Department of his native place in the first week of every quarter of the calendar year till his probation period is completed.

- (ii) He will also share his mobile number and permanent/temporary residential address to the concerned SHO of the Police Station of his native place.
- (iii) He will keep his mobile active at all the time & change in his permanent/temporary residential address or mobile number, shall immediately be reported to the concerned SHO of the Police Station/Probation officer of his native place.

Item No. 81: The case of Ramesh S/o Sh. Phoola Ram @ Phule Ram — (Age-61 Yrs.)

(i) Eligibility conditions:

14 years of actual imprisonment i.e. without remission. This case has been considered under rule 1251 of Delhi Prison Rules 2018 i.e. policy that was existing on the date of conviction.

(ii) Sentence details:

Ramesh S/o Sh. Phoola Ram @ Phule Ram is undergoing life imprisonment in case FIR No. 224/1998, U/S 302 IPC, P.S. Mukherjee Nagar, Delhi for committing murder of his wife by burning.

(iii) Deliberation:

As on 30.09.2025, the convict has undergone imprisonment for a period of 14 years, 05 months and 11 days in actual custody and 18 years, 08 months and 29 days including remission. During the course of his incarceration, the convict has availed interim bail on 01 occasion, parole on 02 occasions and furlough on 12 occasions.

The Board carefully examined and assessed the overall jail conduct of the convict during the period of incarceration, including discipline and behaviour. It further evaluated the propensity and likelihood of the convict committing offences again upon release, as well as the possibility of his reformation, rehabilitation, and reintegration as a law-abiding and useful member of society.

The Board also took into consideration the socio-economic condition of the convict's family, the nature and gravity of the offence and its impact on society at large, the old age of the convict and the potential risk to public safety. In addition, due weight was given to the latest reports and assessments received from the Police authorities and the Social Welfare Department.

After taking into account all relevant facts, materials, and circumstances of the case in their entirety, the Board arrived at its decision.

(iv) Recommendations:

After detailed deliberation and comprehensive discussion on all relevant aspects, as recorded above, the Board unanimously decided to **RECOMMEND** the case for premature release of convict **Ramesh S/o Sh. Phoola Ram @ Phule Ram**, subject to the following conditions, which shall be strictly complied with by the convict:

- (i) The said convict shall report to the concerned Probation Officer of Social Welfare Department of his native place in the first week of every quarter of the calendar year till his probation period is completed.
- (ii) He will also share his mobile number and permanent/temporary residential address to the concerned SHO of the Police Station of his native place.
- (iii) He will keep his mobile active at all the time & change in his permanent/temporary residential address or mobile number, shall immediately be reported to the concerned SHO of the Police Station/Probation officer of his native place.

Item No. 82: The case of Ramesh Kumar S/o Sh. Gurdayal — (Age-46 Yrs.)

(i) Eligibility conditions:

14 years of actual imprisonment i.e. without remission. This case has been considered under the policy/order dated 16.07.2004 issued by the Govt. of NCT of Delhi i.e. policy that was existing on the date of conviction.

(ii) Sentence details:

Ramesh Kumar S/o Sh. Gurdayal is undergoing life imprisonment in case FIR No. 172/2010, U/S 302 IPC, P.S. Farsh Bazar, Delhi for committing murder of a person (brother-in-law / Jija) by knife blows.

(iii) Deliberation:

As on 30.09.2025, the convict has undergone imprisonment for a period of 15 years, 01 month and 02 days in actual custody and 19 years, 01 month and 23 days including remission. During the course of his incarceration, the convict has availed parole on 04 occasions, emergency parole on 02 occasions and furlough on 19 occasions.

The Board carefully examined and assessed the overall jail conduct of the convict during the period of incarceration, including discipline and behaviour. It further evaluated the propensity and likelihood of the convict committing offences again upon release, as well as the possibility of his reformation, rehabilitation, and reintegration as a law-abiding and useful member of society.

The Board also took into consideration the socio-economic condition of the convict's family, the nature and gravity of the offence and its impact on society at large, the potential risk to public safety. In addition, due weight was given to the latest reports and assessments received from the Police authorities and the Social Welfare Department.

After taking into account all relevant facts, materials, and circumstances of the case in their entirety, the Board arrived at its decision.

(iv) Recommendations:

After detailed deliberation and comprehensive discussion on all relevant aspects, as recorded above, the Board unanimously decided to **RECOMMEND** the case for premature release of convict **Ramesh Kumar S/o Sh. Gurdayal**, subject to the following conditions, which shall be strictly complied with by the convict:

- (i) The said convict shall report to the concerned Probation Officer of Social Welfare Department of his native place in the first week of every quarter of the calendar year till his probation period is completed.

- (ii) He will also share his mobile number and permanent/temporary residential address to the concerned SHO of the Police Station of his native place.
- (iii) He will keep his mobile active at all the time & change in his permanent/temporary residential address or mobile number, shall immediately be reported to the concerned SHO of the Police Station/Probation officer of his native place.

Item No. 83: The case of Randhir S/o Sh. Ganesh Paswan — (Age-41 Yrs.)

(i) Eligibility conditions:

14 years of actual imprisonment i.e. without remission. This case has been considered under the policy/order dated 16.07.2004 issued by the Govt. of NCT of Delhi i.e. policy that was existing on the date of conviction.

(ii) Sentence details:

Randhir S/o Sh. Ganesh Paswan is undergoing life imprisonment in case FIR No. 85/2010, U/S 302/450/354 IPC, P.S. Naraina, Delhi for committing murder of a lady by burning.

(iii) Deliberation:

As on 30.09.2025, the convict has undergone imprisonment for a period of 15 years, 03 months and 01 day in actual custody and 19 years, 05 months and 29 days including remission. During the course of his incarceration, the convict has availed parole on 01 occasion, emergency parole on 02 occasions and furlough on 12 occasions.

The Board carefully examined and assessed the overall jail conduct of the convict during the period of incarceration, including discipline and behaviour. It further evaluated the propensity and likelihood of the convict committing offences again upon release, as well as the possibility of his reformation, rehabilitation, and reintegration as a law-abiding and useful member of society.

The Board also took into consideration the socio-economic condition of the convict's family, the nature and gravity of the offence and its impact on society at large, the age

of the convict and the potential risk to public safety. In addition, due weight was given to the latest reports and assessments received from the Police authorities and the Social Welfare Department.

After taking into account all relevant facts, materials, and circumstances of the case in their entirety, the Board arrived at its decision.

(iv) Recommendations:

After detailed deliberation and comprehensive discussion on all relevant aspects, as recorded above, the Board unanimously decided to **RECOMMEND** the case for premature release of convict **Randhir S/o Sh. Ganesh Paswan**, subject to the following conditions, which shall be strictly complied with by the convict:

- (i) The said convict shall report to the concerned Probation Officer of Social Welfare Department of his native place in the first week of every quarter of the calendar year till his probation period is completed.
- (ii) He will also share his mobile number and permanent/temporary residential address to the concerned SHO of the Police Station of his native place.
- (iii) He will keep his mobile active at all the time & change in his permanent/temporary residential address or mobile number, shall immediately be reported to the concerned SHO of the Police Station/Probation officer of his native place.

Item No. 84: The case of Ravi Kumar Sinha S/o Sh. Suresh — (Age-38 Yrs.)

(i) Eligibility conditions:

14 years of actual imprisonment i.e. without remission. This case has been considered under the policy/order dated 16.07.2004 issued by the Govt. of NCT of Delhi i.e. policy that was existing on the date of conviction.

(ii) Sentence details:

Ravi Kumar Sinha S/o Sh. Suresh is undergoing life imprisonment in case FIR No. 58/2009, U/s 302/392/397/411/34 IPC, P.S. Anand Vihar, Delhi for committing murder of a lady during robbery.

(iii) Deliberation:

As on 30.09.2025, the convict has undergone imprisonment for a period of 15 years, 11 months and 04 days in actual custody and 18 years, 10 months and 06 days including remission. During the course of his incarceration, the convict has availed interim bail on 01 occasion, parole on 03 occasions, emergency parole on 01 occasion and furlough on 04 occasions. In 2021, during the emergency parole the convict re-arrested on 16.10.2021 in other case FIR No. 125/2021.

As per jail record, the convict has been involved in one (01) other criminal case, apart from the present offence, indicating a continued pattern of criminal conduct. Which further reflects his criminal antecedents.

- The detail of criminal case and status of case are as under:-

S. No.	Case Particulars	Status
1.	FIR No. 125/2021, U/s 394/411/34 IPC, PS- Mandir Marg, Delhi	Pending/on bail in this case

Further, during the period of incarceration, two (02) punishments have been recorded against the convict for violations of prison discipline/disobey jail rules. The recurrence of such infractions demonstrates a lack of sustained reformative behaviour and disregard for institutional discipline.

- The details of punishment recorded during the period incarceration are as under:-

S.No.	Date of Punishment	Offence
1.	19.10.2021	Re-arrested in other case during emergency parole on 16.10.2021
2.	29.11.2022	Roaming inside the ward during night lock-up hours

The above conduct, when viewed in conjunction with the heinous nature of the present offence, clearly indicates that the convict has not shown consistent improvement in conduct or a genuine inclination towards reformation. Accordingly, the cumulative assessment of his criminal antecedents and jail conduct raises a reasonable apprehension of recidivism, thereby weighing against the grant of premature release.

As per the police report dated 12.11.2025, the premature release of the convict has been not recommended and strongly opposed as convict is involved in a murder case in which he murdered a lady after robbery. He is not liable for any leniency, mercy or sympathy in such case. He is hardcore desperate criminal and there will be a negative impact on the family of victim if he is released prematurely in the case. During the meeting the Chief Probation Officer, Social Welfare Department, Delhi did not supported his premature release in the meeting.

In the present case, the convict was involved in murder of a lady during robbery, its serious, heinous, and grave nature. The manner of commission reflects a high degree of premeditation, deliberate planning, and coordinated criminal collaboration. Such conduct constitutes a gross violation of the bodily integrity and dignity of the victim and has had a profound and lasting impact on society.

An offence of this magnitude does not merit leniency, particularly in view of the larger societal interest. While examining cases for premature release, the Board is required to balance the interests of the convict against the imperatives of public safety and societal impact, and in cases of this nature, the latter must prevail and cannot be overlooked or compromised.

(iv) Recommendations:

In view of the nature and gravity of the offence, the high degree of premeditation and coordinated criminal conduct involved, the severe societal impact, and the fact that the present conviction is not an isolated instance but that the convict also found involvement in other serious criminal case, the Board is of the considered opinion that the convict does not merit any leniency, the seriousness of the offence or mitigate the continuing risk to society. Any premature release in such circumstances would not only undermine public confidence in the criminal justice system but would also send a wrong and adverse message to society. Keeping in view the paramount considerations of public safety and societal interest, the case is held to be wholly unfit for consideration for premature release.

Therefore, the Board unanimously decided to **REJECT** the case for premature release of convict **Ravi Kumar Sinha S/o Sh. Suresh**, at this stage.

Item No. 85: The case of Ravinder Kumar Chauhan @ Ravi S/o Sh. Shyambir — (Age-42 Yrs.)

(i) Eligibility conditions:

14 years of actual imprisonment i.e. without remission. This case has been considered under the policy/order dated 16.07.2004 issued by the Govt. of NCT of Delhi i.e. policy that was existing on the date of conviction.

(ii) Sentence details:

Ravinder Kumar Chauhan @ Ravi S/o Sh. Shyambir is undergoing life imprisonment in case FIR No. 259/2009, U/S 302 IPC, P.S. Vijay Vihar, Delhi for committing murder of his wife by strangulation.

(iii) Deliberation:

As on 30.09.2025, the convict has undergone imprisonment for a period of 14 years, 07 months and 27 days in actual custody and 18 years, 04 months and 12 days including remission. During the course of his incarceration, the convict has availed interim bail on 01 occasion and furlough on 13 occasions.

The Board carefully examined and assessed the overall jail conduct of the convict during the period of incarceration, including discipline and behaviour. It further evaluated the propensity and likelihood of the convict committing offences again upon release, as well as the possibility of his reformation, rehabilitation, and reintegration as a law-abiding and useful member of society.

The Board also took into consideration the socio-economic condition of the convict's family, the nature and gravity of the offence and its impact on society at large, the age of the convict and the potential risk to public safety. In addition, due weight was given to the latest reports and assessments received from the Police authorities and the Social Welfare Department.

After taking into account all relevant facts, materials, and circumstances of the case in their entirety, the Board arrived at its decision.

(iv) Recommendations:

After detailed deliberation and comprehensive discussion on all relevant aspects, as recorded above, the Board unanimously decided to **RECOMMEND** the case for premature release of convict **Ravinder Kumar Chauhan @ Ravi S/o Sh. Shyambir**, subject to the following conditions, which shall be strictly complied with by the convict:

- (i) The said convict shall report to the concerned Probation Officer of Social Welfare Department of his native place in the first week of every quarter of the calendar year till his probation period is completed.
- (ii) He will also share his mobile number and permanent/temporary residential address to the concerned SHO of the Police Station of his native place.
- (iii) He will keep his mobile active at all the time & change in his permanent/temporary residential address or mobile number, shall immediately be reported to the concerned SHO of the Police Station/Probation officer of his native place.

Item No. 86: The case of Rohit @ Mona S/o Late Sh. Brij Mohan — (Age-41 Yrs.)

- (i) Eligibility conditions:

14 years of actual imprisonment i.e. without remission. This case has been considered under the policy/order dated 16.07.2004 issued by the Govt. of NCT of Delhi i.e. policy that was existing on the date of conviction.

- (ii) Sentence details:

Rohit @ Mona S/o Late Sh. Brij Mohan is undergoing life imprisonment in case FIR No. 293/2011, U/s 302/324 IPC, P.S. Mukherjee Nagar, Delhi for committing murder of a girl by multiple stabbing.

- (iii) Deliberation:

As on 30.09.2025, the convict has undergone imprisonment for a period of 14 years and 02 days in actual custody and 16 years, 08 months and 25 days including remission. During the course of his incarceration, the convict has availed parole on 05 occasions, emergency parole on 02 occasions and furlough on 09 occasions.

Further, during the period of incarceration, one (01) punishment has been recorded against the convict for violations of prison discipline/disobey jail rules. The recurrence of such infractions demonstrates a lack of sustained reformative behaviour and disregard for institutional discipline.

- The detail of punishment recorded during the period incarceration are as under:-

S.No.	Date of Punishment	Offence
1.	01.12.2016	Prohibited article/40 grams of lime powder recovered

The above conduct, when viewed in conjunction with the heinous nature of the present offence, clearly indicates that the convict has not shown consistent improvement in conduct or a genuine inclination towards reformation. Accordingly, the cumulative assessment of his criminal antecedents and jail conduct raises a reasonable apprehension of recidivism, thereby weighing against the grant of premature release.

As per the police report dated 08.12.2025, the premature release of the convict has been strongly opposed. During the meeting the Chief Probation Officer, Social Welfare Department, Delhi did not supported his premature release in the meeting.

In the present case, the convict was involved in murder of a girl by multiple stabbing, its serious, heinous, and grave nature. The manner of commission reflects a high degree of premeditation, deliberate planning, and coordinated criminal collaboration. Such conduct constitutes a gross violation of the bodily integrity and dignity of the victim and has had a profound and lasting impact on society.

An offence of this magnitude does not merit leniency, particularly in view of the larger societal interest. While examining cases for premature release, the Board is required to balance the interests of the convict against the imperatives of public safety and societal impact, and in cases of this nature, the latter must prevail and cannot be overlooked or compromised.

(iv) Recommendations:

In view of the nature and gravity of the offence, the high degree of premeditation and coordinated criminal conduct involved, the severe societal impact, and the fact that the

present conviction is not an isolated instance but that the convict also found involvement in other serious criminal case, the Board is of the considered opinion that the convict does not merit any leniency, the seriousness of the offence or mitigate the continuing risk to society. Any premature release in such circumstances would not only undermine public confidence in the criminal justice system but would also send a wrong and adverse message to society. Keeping in view the paramount considerations of public safety and societal interest, the case is held to be wholly unfit for consideration for premature release.

Therefore, the Board unanimously decided to **REJECT** the case for premature release of convict **Rohit @ Mona S/o Late Sh. Brij Mohan**, at this stage.

Item No. 87: The case of Roshan Kumar @ Munna S/o Sh. Nawal Kishor Mandal — (Age-37 Yrs.)

(i) Eligibility conditions:

14 years of actual imprisonment i.e. without remission. This case has been considered under the policy/order dated 16.07.2004 issued by the Govt. of NCT of Delhi i.e. policy that was existing on the date of conviction.

(ii) Sentence details:

Roshan Kumar @ Munna S/o Sh. Nawal Kishor Mandal is undergoing life imprisonment in case FIR No. 101/2011, U/S 302 IPC, P.S. Sarai Rohilla, Delhi for committing murder of a person over illicit affair.

(iii) Deliberation:

As on 30.09.2025, the convict has undergone imprisonment for a period of 14 years and 06 months in actual custody and 17 years, 09 months, and 05 days including remission. During the course of his incarceration, the convict has availed parole on 01 occasion, emergency parole on 01 occasion and furlough on 12 occasions.

The Board carefully examined and assessed the overall jail conduct of the convict during the period of incarceration, including discipline and behaviour. It further evaluated the propensity and likelihood of the convict committing offences again upon release, as well as the possibility of his reformation, rehabilitation, and reintegration as a law-abiding and useful member of society.

The Board also took into consideration the socio-economic condition of the convict's family, the nature and gravity of the offence and its impact on society at large, the age of the convict, and the potential risk to public safety. In addition, due weight was given to the latest reports and assessments received from the Police authorities and the Social Welfare Department.

After taking into account all relevant facts, materials, and circumstances of the case in their entirety, the Board arrived at its decision.

(iv) Recommendations:

After detailed deliberation and comprehensive discussion on all relevant aspects, as recorded above, the Board unanimously decided to **RECOMMEND** the case for premature release of convict **Roshan Kumar @ Munna S/o Sh. Nawal Kishor Mandal**, subject to the following conditions, which shall be strictly complied with by the convict:

- (i) The said convict shall report to the concerned Probation Officer of Social Welfare Department of his native place in the first week of every quarter of the calendar year till his probation period is completed.
- (ii) He will also share his mobile number and permanent/temporary residential address to the concerned SHO of the Police Station of his native place.
- (iii) He will keep his mobile active at all the time & change in his permanent/temporary residential address or mobile number, shall immediately be reported to the concerned SHO of the Police Station/Probation officer of his native place.

Item No. 88: The case of Md. Sadiq Ansari S/o Md. Qadir Ansari — (Age-45 Yrs.)

(i) Eligibility conditions:

14 years of actual imprisonment i.e. without remission. This case has been considered under the policy/order dated 16.07.2004 issued by the Govt. of NCT of Delhi i.e. policy that was existing on the date of conviction.

(ii) Sentence details:

Md. Sadiq Ansari S/o Md. Qadir Ansari is undergoing life imprisonment in case FIR No. 101/2011, U/S 302 IPC, P.S. Sarai Rohilla, Delhi for committing murder of a person over illicit affair.

(iii) Deliberation:

As on 30.09.2025, the convict has undergone imprisonment for a period of 14 years and 23 days in actual custody and 17 years, 07 months and 03 days including remission. During the course of his incarceration, the convict has availed emergency parole on 02 occasions and furlough on 07 occasions.

The Board carefully examined and assessed the overall jail conduct of the convict during the period of incarceration, including discipline and behaviour. It further evaluated the propensity and likelihood of the convict committing offences again upon release, as well as the possibility of his reformation, rehabilitation, and reintegration as a law-abiding and useful member of society.

The Board also took into consideration the socio-economic condition of the convict's family, the nature and gravity of the offence and its impact on society at large, the age of the convict, and the potential risk to public safety. In addition, due weight was given to the latest reports and assessments received from the Police authorities and the Social Welfare Department.

After taking into account all relevant facts, materials, and circumstances of the case in their entirety, the Board arrived at its decision.

(iv) Recommendations:

After detailed deliberation and comprehensive discussion on all relevant aspects, as recorded above, the Board unanimously decided to **RECOMMEND** the case for premature release of convict **Md. Sadiq Ansari S/o Md. Qadir Ansari**, subject to the following conditions, which shall be strictly complied with by the convict:

- (i) The said convict shall report to the concerned Probation Officer of Social Welfare Department of his native place in the first week of every quarter of the calendar year till his probation period is completed.
- (ii) He will also share his mobile number and permanent/temporary residential address to the concerned SHO of the Police Station of his native place.
- (iii) He will keep his mobile active at all the time & change in his permanent/temporary residential address or mobile number, shall immediately be reported to the concerned SHO of the Police Station/Probation officer of his native place.

Item No. 89: The case of Sandeep S/o Sh. Ram Rattan — (Age-37 Yrs.)

(i) Eligibility conditions:

14 years of actual imprisonment i.e. without remission. This case has been considered under the policy/order dated 16.07.2004 issued by the Govt. of NCT of Delhi i.e. policy that was existing on the date of conviction.

(ii) Sentence details:

Sandeep S/o Sh. Ram Rattan is undergoing life imprisonment in case FIR No. 337/2009, U/S 302/342/120-B/201/34 IPC, P.S. Narela, Delhi for committing murder of a person over love affair.

(iii) Deliberation:

As on 30.09.2025, the convict has undergone imprisonment for a period of 15 years, 03 months and 11 days in actual custody and 16 years, 10 months and 06 days including remission. During the course of his incarceration, the convict has availed parole on 02 occasions and furlough on 03 occasions.

The Board carefully examined and assessed the overall jail conduct of the convict during the period of incarceration, including discipline and behaviour. It further evaluated the propensity and likelihood of the convict committing offences again upon release, as well as the possibility of his reformation, rehabilitation, and reintegration as a law-abiding and useful member of society.

The Board also took into consideration the socio-economic condition of the convict's family, the nature and gravity of the offence and its impact on society at large, the age of the convict, and the potential risk to public safety. In addition, due weight was given to the latest reports and assessments received from the Police authorities and the Social Welfare Department.

After taking into account all relevant facts, materials, and circumstances of the case in their entirety, the Board arrived at its decision.

(iv) Recommendations:

After detailed deliberation and comprehensive discussion on all relevant aspects, as recorded above, the Board unanimously decided to **RECOMMEND** the case for premature release of convict **Sandeep S/o Sh. Ram Rattan**, subject to the following conditions, which shall be strictly complied with by the convict:

- (i) The said convict shall report to the concerned Probation Officer of Social Welfare Department of his native place in the first week of every quarter of the calendar year till his probation period is completed.
- (ii) He will also share his mobile number and permanent/temporary residential address to the concerned SHO of the Police Station of his native place.
- (iii) He will keep his mobile active at all the time & change in his permanent/temporary residential address or mobile number, shall immediately be reported to the concerned SHO of the Police Station/Probation officer of his native place.

Item No. 90: The case of Sanjay Paswan S/o Sh. Rajender Paswan — (Age-47 Yrs.)

(i) Eligibility conditions:

14 years of actual imprisonment i.e. without remission. This case has been considered under the policy/order dated 16.07.2004 issued by the Govt. of NCT of Delhi i.e. policy that was existing on the date of conviction.

(ii) Sentence details:

Sanjay Paswan S/o Sh. Rajender Paswan is undergoing life imprisonment in case FIR No. 29/2010, U/S 302 IPC, P.S. Nihal Vihar, Delhi for committing murder of his own step daughter.

(iii) Deliberation:

As on 30.09.2025, the convict has undergone imprisonment for a period of 15 years, 07 months and 12 days in actual custody and 19 years, 05 months and 14 days including remission. During the course of his incarceration, the convict has availed emergency parole on 02 occasions and furlough on 06 occasions.

The Board carefully examined and assessed the overall jail conduct of the convict during the period of incarceration, including discipline and behaviour. It further evaluated the propensity and likelihood of the convict committing offences again upon release, as well as the possibility of his reformation, rehabilitation, and reintegration as a law-abiding and useful member of society.

The Board also took into consideration the socio-economic condition of the convict's family, the nature and gravity of the offence and its impact on society at large, the age of the convict, and the potential risk to public safety. In addition, due weight was given to the latest reports and assessments received from the Police authorities and the Social Welfare Department.

After taking into account all relevant facts, materials, and circumstances of the case in their entirety, the Board arrived at its decision.

(iv) Recommendations:

After detailed deliberation and comprehensive discussion on all relevant aspects, as recorded above, the Board unanimously decided to **RECOMMEND** the case for premature release of convict **Sanjay Paswan S/o Sh. Rajender Paswan**, subject to the following conditions, which shall be strictly complied with by the convict:

- (i) The said convict shall report to the concerned Probation Officer of Social Welfare Department of his native place in the first week of every quarter of the calendar year till his probation period is completed.
- (ii) He will also share his mobile number and permanent/temporary residential address to the concerned SHO of the Police Station of his native place.
- (iii) He will keep his mobile active at all the time & change in his permanent/temporary residential address or mobile number, shall immediately be reported to the concerned SHO of the Police Station/Probation officer of his native place.

Item No. 91: The case of Sant Ram S/o Sh. Sukh Ram — (Age-54 Yrs.)

(i) Eligibility conditions:

14 years of actual imprisonment i.e. without remission. This case has been considered under the policy/order dated 16.07.2004 issued by the Govt. of NCT of Delhi i.e. policy that was existing on the date of conviction.

(ii) Sentence details:

Sant Ram S/o Sh. Sukh Ram is undergoing life imprisonment in case FIR No. 127/1997, U/S 302 IPC, P.S. Geeta Colony, Delhi for committing murder of a co-worker by iron rod blows.

(iii) Deliberation:

As on 30.09.2025, the convict has undergone imprisonment for a period of 14 years, 11 months and 02 days in actual custody and 18 years, 03 months and 24 days including remission. During the course of his incarceration, the convict has availed parole on 04 occasions, emergency parole on 02 occasions and furlough on 17 occasions.

The Board carefully examined and assessed the overall jail conduct of the convict during the period of incarceration, including discipline and behaviour. It further evaluated the propensity and likelihood of the convict committing offences again upon release, as well as the possibility of his reformation, rehabilitation, and reintegration as a law-abiding and useful member of society.

The Board also took into consideration the socio-economic condition of the convict's family, the nature and gravity of the offence and its impact on society at large, the age of the convict, and the potential risk to public safety. In addition, due weight was given to the latest reports and assessments received from the Police authorities and the Social Welfare Department.

After taking into account all relevant facts, materials, and circumstances of the case in their entirety, the Board arrived at its decision.

(iv) Recommendations:

After detailed deliberation and comprehensive discussion on all relevant aspects, as recorded above, the Board unanimously decided to **RECOMMEND** the case for premature release of convict **Sant Ram S/o Sh. Sukh Ram**, subject to the following conditions, which shall be strictly complied with by the convict:

- (i) The said convict shall report to the concerned Probation Officer of Social Welfare Department of his native place in the first week of every quarter of the calendar year till his probation period is completed.
- (ii) He will also share his mobile number and permanent/temporary residential address to the concerned SHO of the Police Station of his native place.
- (iii) He will keep his mobile active at all the time & change in his permanent/temporary residential address or mobile number, shall immediately be reported to the concerned SHO of the Police Station/Probation officer of his native place.

Item No. 92: The case of Satish Kumar @ Rane S/o Sh. Bholenath — (Age-75 Yrs.)

(i) Eligibility conditions:

14 years of actual imprisonment i.e. without remission. This case has been considered under the policy/order dated 16.07.2004 issued by the Govt. of NCT of Delhi i.e. policy that was existing on the date of conviction.

(ii) Sentence details:

Satish Kumar @ Rane S/o Sh. Bholenath is undergoing life imprisonment in case FIR No. 354/2009, U/S 302 IPC, P.S. Palam Village, Delhi for committing murder of a boy (Age about 16-17 years).

(iii) Deliberation:

As on 30.09.2025, the convict has undergone imprisonment for a period of 15 years and 11 months in actual custody and 19 years, 10 months and 08 days including remission. During the course of his incarceration, the convict has never been availed any parole and furlough.

As per jail record, the convict has been involved in one (01) other criminal case, apart from the present offence, indicating a continued pattern of criminal conduct which further reflects his criminal antecedents.

- The detail of criminal case and status of case are as under:-

S. No.	Case Particulars	Status
1.	FIR No. 264/1984, U/s 302/307/392/395/397 IPC, PS- DBG Road, Delhi	Imprisonment for life (Released by Sentence Review Board in 2009)

The above conduct, when viewed in conjunction with the heinous nature of the present offence, clearly indicates that the convict has not shown consistent improvement in conduct or a genuine inclination towards reformation. Accordingly, the cumulative assessment of his criminal antecedents and jail conduct raises a reasonable apprehension of recidivism, thereby weighing against the grant of premature release.

As per the police report dated 05.12.2025, the premature release of the convict has not been recommended. Likewise, the Probation Officer, Prison Welfare Services, Tihar Jail, vide its report dated 09.02.2024, does not recommend regarding the proposed premature release of the convict.

In the present case, the convict was involved in murder of a boy age about 16-17 years, which unequivocally establishes the heinous, grave, and violent nature of the offence. The manner of commission reflects extreme brutality, a complete disregard for human life, and a high degree of criminal propensity. Such a serious offence, having severe

societal ramifications, does not warrant any leniency. While considering cases for premature release, the Board is duty-bound to balance the interests of the convict against the interest of society and public safety; however, in offences of such nature, the safety of the public and the deterrent impact of punishment must take precedence and cannot be compromised.

(iv) Recommendations:

The Board carefully examined the manner in which the offence was committed, the degree of brutality involved, convict was released by the Sentence Review Board in 2009 in case FIR No. 264/1984, but he again found involved in the present case, he has never been availed any parole/furlough as on date. Upon a holistic consideration of these factors, the Board is of the considered view that the possibility of recidivism cannot be ruled out at this stage. Further, having regard to the societal impact of the offence, the likelihood of repetition, the extent of reformation achieved, and the question of whether further incarceration would serve a meaningful purpose, the Board finds the case to be not fit for premature release.

Therefore, the Board unanimously decided to **REJECT** the case for premature release of convict **Satish Kumar @ Rane S/o Sh. Bholenath**, at this stage.

Item No. 93: The case of Shivji Singh S/o Late Sh. Ram Singhasan Singh — (Age- 71 Yrs.) (Convict is presently lodged in Central Jail, Buxar, Bihar).

(i) Eligibility conditions:

14 years of actual imprisonment i.e. without remission. This case has been considered under the policy/order dated 16.07.2004 issued by the Govt. of NCT of Delhi i.e. policy that was existing on the date of conviction.

(ii) Sentence details:

Shivji Singh S/o Late Sh. Ram Singhasan Singh is undergoing life imprisonment in case FIR No. 507/2009, U/S 302 IPC, P.S. Mandawali, Delhi for committing murder of a girl.

(iii) Deliberation:

As on 10.07.2025, the convict has undergone imprisonment for a period of 15 years and 09 months and 03 days. During the course of his incarceration, the convict has never been availed any parole and furlough.

As per jail record, the convict has been involved in three (03) other criminal cases, apart from the present offence, indicating a continued pattern of criminal conduct. Which further reflects his criminal antecedents.

- The details of other criminal cases as per Commutation Roll received vide letter dated 12.07.2025 from the Superintendent, Central Jail, Buxar, Bihar are as under:-

S. No.	Case Particulars
1.	FIR No. 73/1993/STR-44/1995, U/s 302 IPC, PS- Nawanagar, Bihar
2.	FIR No. 85/2003, U/s 302/216(A)/120-B IPC, PS- Nawanagar, Bihar
3.	FIR No. 46/2002-GR-416/2002, U/s 302/120-B IPC, PS- Nawanagar, Bihar

The above conduct, when viewed in conjunction with the heinous nature of the present offence, clearly indicates that the convict has not shown consistent improvement in conduct or a genuine inclination towards reformation. Accordingly, the cumulative assessment of his criminal antecedents and jail conduct raises a reasonable apprehension of recidivism, thereby weighing against the grant of premature release.

The Additional Commissioner of Delhi Police (Crime) and Chief Probation Officer, Social Welfare Department, Delhi, both does not recommended his premature release in the meeting.

In the present case, the convict was involved in murder of a girl, which unequivocally establishes the heinous, grave, and violent nature of the offence. The manner of commission reflects extreme brutality, a complete disregard for human life, and a high degree of criminal propensity. Such a serious offence, having severe societal ramifications, does not warrant any leniency. While considering cases for premature release, the Board is duty-bound to balance the interests of the convict against the interest of society and public safety; however, in offences of such nature, the safety of the public and the deterrent impact of punishment must take precedence and cannot be compromised.

(iv) Recommendations:

The Board carefully examined the manner in which the offence was committed, the degree of brutality involved, the period of incarceration undergone by the convict and he has never been availed any parole/furlough as on date. Upon a holistic consideration of these factors, the Board is of the considered view that the possibility of recidivism cannot be ruled out at this stage. Further, having regard to the societal impact of the offence, the likelihood of repetition, the extent of reformation achieved, and the question of whether further incarceration would serve a meaningful purpose, the Board finds the case to be not fit for premature release.

Therefore, the Board unanimously decided to **REJECT** the case for premature release of convict **Shivji Singh S/o Late Sh. Ram Singhasan Singh**, at this stage.

Item No. 94: The case of Subhash Bhardwaj S/o Sh. Jai Bhagwan — (Age-51 Yrs.)

(i) Eligibility conditions:

14 years of actual imprisonment i.e. without remission. This case has been considered under rule 1251 of Delhi Prison Rules 2018 i.e. policy that was existing on the date of conviction.

(ii) Sentence details:

Subhash Bhardwaj S/o Sh. Jai Bhagwan is undergoing life imprisonment in case FIR No. 350/2008, U/s 302/307 IPC, P.S. Prashant Vihar, Delhi for committing murder of a person by knife blows and injured other one.

(iii) Deliberation:

As on 30.09.2025, the convict has undergone imprisonment for a period of 17 years, 03 months and 19 days in actual custody.

The Board carefully examined and assessed the overall jail conduct of the convict during the period of incarceration, including discipline and behaviour. It further evaluated the propensity and likelihood of the convict committing offences again upon release, as well as the possibility of his reformation, rehabilitation, and reintegration as a law-abiding and useful member of society.

The Board also took into consideration the socio-economic condition of the convict's family, the nature and gravity of the offence and its impact on society at large, the age

of the convict, and the potential risk to public safety. In addition, due weight was given to the latest reports and assessments received from the Police authorities and the Social Welfare Department.

After taking into account all relevant facts, materials, and circumstances of the case in their entirety, the Board arrived at its decision.

(iv) Recommendations:

After detailed deliberation and comprehensive discussion on all relevant aspects, as recorded above, the Board unanimously decided to **RECOMMEND** the case for premature release of convict **Subhash Bhardwaj S/o Sh. Jai Bhagwan**, subject to the following conditions, which shall be strictly complied with by the convict:

- (i) The said convict shall report to the concerned Probation Officer of Social Welfare Department of his native place in the first week of every quarter of the calendar year till his probation period is completed.
- (ii) He will also share his mobile number and permanent/temporary residential address to the concerned SHO of the Police Station of his native place.
- (iii) He will keep his mobile active at all the time & change in his permanent/temporary residential address or mobile number, shall immediately be reported to the concerned SHO of the Police Station/Probation officer of his native place.

Item No. 95: The case of Subhash Kumar S/o Sh. Jhagru Swarankar — (Age-44 Yrs.)

(i) Eligibility conditions:

14 years of actual imprisonment i.e. without remission. This case has been considered under the policy/order dated 16.07.2004 issued by the Govt. of NCT of Delhi i.e. policy that was existing on the date of conviction.

(ii) Sentence details:

Subhash Kumar S/o Sh. Jhagru Swarankar is undergoing life imprisonment in case FIR No. 164/2011, U/s 302 IPC, P.S. Malviya Nagar, Delhi for committing murder of his wife.

(iii) Deliberation:

As on 30.09.2025, the convict has undergone imprisonment for a period of 14 years, 04 months and 21 days in actual custody and 18 years, 08 months and 27 days including remission. During the course of his incarceration, the convict has availed parole on 01 occasion, emergency parole on 02 occasions and furlough on 10 occasions.

The Board carefully examined and assessed the overall jail conduct of the convict during the period of incarceration, including discipline and behaviour. It further evaluated the propensity and likelihood of the convict committing offences again upon release, as well as the possibility of his reformation, rehabilitation, and reintegration as a law-abiding and useful member of society.

The Board also took into consideration the socio-economic condition of the convict's family, the nature and gravity of the offence and its impact on society at large, the age of the convict, and the potential risk to public safety. In addition, due weight was given to the latest reports and assessments received from the Police authorities and the Social Welfare Department.

After taking into account all relevant facts, materials, and circumstances of the case in their entirety, the Board arrived at its decision.

(iv) Recommendations:

After detailed deliberation and comprehensive discussion on all relevant aspects, as recorded above, the Board unanimously decided to **RECOMMEND** the case for premature release of convict **Subhash Kumar S/o Sh. Jhagru Swarankar**, subject to the following conditions, which shall be strictly complied with by the convict:

- (i) The said convict shall report to the concerned Probation Officer of Social Welfare Department of his native place in the first week of every quarter of the calendar year till his probation period is completed.

- (ii) He will also share his mobile number and permanent/temporary residential address to the concerned SHO of the Police Station of his native place.
- (iii) He will keep his mobile active at all the time & change in his permanent/temporary residential address or mobile number, shall immediately be reported to the concerned SHO of the Police Station/Probation officer of his native place.

**Item No. 96: The case of Sudhir @ Sudeep @ Manju Singh S/o Sh. Ram Kishan—
(Age-42 Yrs.)**

(i) Eligibility conditions:

14 years of actual imprisonment i.e. without remission. This case has been considered under the policy/order dated 16.07.2004 issued by the Govt. of NCT of Delhi i.e. policy that was existing on the date of conviction.

(ii) Sentence details:

Sudhir @ Sudeep @ Manju Singh S/o Sh. Ram Kishan is undergoing life imprisonment in case FIR No. 39/2011, U/s 302 IPC, P.S. Jait Pur, Delhi for committing murder of his wife over strained relations.

(iii) Deliberation:

As on 30.09.2025, the convict has undergone imprisonment for a period of 14 years, 07 months and 12 days in actual custody and 18 years, 02 months and 03 days including remission. During the course of his incarceration, the convict has availed parole on 04 occasions, emergency parole on 02 occasions and furlough on 12 occasions.

The Board carefully examined and assessed the overall jail conduct of the convict during the period of incarceration, including discipline and behaviour. It further evaluated the propensity and likelihood of the convict committing offences again upon release, as well as the possibility of his reformation, rehabilitation, and reintegration as a law-abiding and useful member of society.

The Board also took into consideration the socio-economic condition of the convict's family, the nature and gravity of the offence and its impact on society at large, the age of the convict, and the potential risk to public safety. In addition, due weight was given to the latest reports and assessments received from the Police authorities and the Social Welfare Department.

After taking into account all relevant facts, materials, and circumstances of the case in their entirety, the Board arrived at its decision.

(iv) Recommendations:

After detailed deliberation and comprehensive discussion on all relevant aspects, as recorded above, the Board unanimously decided to **RECOMMEND** the case for premature release of convict **Sudhir @ Sudeep @ Manju Singh S/o Sh. Ram Kishan**, subject to the following conditions, which shall be strictly complied with by the convict:

- (i) The said convict shall report to the concerned Probation Officer of Social Welfare Department of his native place in the first week of every quarter of the calendar year till his probation period is completed.
- (ii) He will also share his mobile number and permanent/temporary residential address to the concerned SHO of the Police Station of his native place.
- (iii) He will keep his mobile active at all the time & change in his permanent/temporary residential address or mobile number, shall immediately be reported to the concerned SHO of the Police Station/Probation officer of his native place.

Item No. 97: The case of Sunil @ Babu S/o Sh. Ganga Ram— (Age-36 Yrs.)

(i) Eligibility conditions:

14 years of actual imprisonment i.e. without remission. This case has been considered under the policy/order dated 16.07.2004 issued by the Govt. of NCT of Delhi i.e. policy that was existing on the date of conviction.

(ii) Sentence details:

Sunil @ Babu S/o Sh. Ganga Ram is undergoing life imprisonment in case FIR No. 137/2011, U/s 302/34 IPC, P.S. Jahangir Puri, Delhi for committing murder of a person during quarrel.

(iii) Deliberation:

As on 30.09.2025, the convict has undergone imprisonment for a period of 14 years, 04 months and 04 days in actual custody and 17 years, 06 months and 19 days including remission. During the course of his incarceration, the convict has availed parole on 05 occasions, emergency parole on 02 occasions and furlough on 04 occasions.

The Board carefully examined and assessed the overall jail conduct of the convict during the period of incarceration, including discipline and behaviour. It further evaluated the propensity and likelihood of the convict committing offences again upon release, as well as the possibility of his reformation, rehabilitation, and reintegration as a law-abiding and useful member of society.

The Board also took into consideration the socio-economic condition of the convict's family, the nature and gravity of the offence and its impact on society at large, the age of the convict, and the potential risk to public safety. In addition, due weight was given to the latest reports and assessments received from the Police authorities and the Social Welfare Department.

After taking into account all relevant facts, materials, and circumstances of the case in their entirety, the Board arrived at its decision.

(iv) Recommendations:

After detailed deliberation and comprehensive discussion on all relevant aspects, as recorded above, the Board unanimously decided to **RECOMMEND** the case for premature release of convict **Sunil @ Babu S/o Sh. Ganga Ram**, subject to the following conditions, which shall be strictly complied with by the convict:

- (i) The said convict shall report to the concerned Probation Officer of Social Welfare Department of his native place in the first week of every quarter of the calendar year till his probation period is completed.
- (ii) He will also share his mobile number and permanent/temporary residential address to the concerned SHO of the Police Station of his native place.
- (iii) He will keep his mobile active at all the time & change in his permanent/temporary residential address or mobile number, shall immediately be reported to the concerned SHO of the Police Station/Probation officer of his native place.

Item No. 98: The case of Vinod @ Vinodi S/o Sh. Dalbir Singh— (Age-37 Yrs.)

(i) Eligibility conditions:

14 years of actual imprisonment i.e. without remission. This case has been considered under the policy/order dated 16.07.2004 issued by the Govt. of NCT of Delhi i.e. policy that was existing on the date of conviction.

(ii) Sentence details:

Vinod @ Vinodi S/o Sh. Dalbir Singh is undergoing life imprisonment in case FIR No. 137/2011, U/s 302/34 IPC, P.S. Jahangir Puri, Delhi for committing murder of a person during quarrel.

(iii) Deliberation:

As on 30.09.2025, the convict has undergone imprisonment for a period of 14 years, 02 months and 05 days in actual custody and 16 years, 11 months and 06 days including remission. During the course of his incarceration, the convict has availed parole on 04 occasions and emergency parole on 01 occasion.

The Board carefully examined and assessed the overall jail conduct of the convict during the period of incarceration, including discipline and behaviour. It further evaluated the propensity and likelihood of the convict committing offences again upon release, as well as the possibility of his reformation, rehabilitation, and reintegration as a law-abiding and useful member of society.

The Board also took into consideration the socio-economic condition of the convict's family, the nature and gravity of the offence and its impact on society at large, the age of the convict, and the potential risk to public safety. In addition, due weight was given to the latest reports and assessments received from the Police authorities and the Social Welfare Department.

After taking into account all relevant facts, materials, and circumstances of the case in their entirety, the Board arrived at its decision.

(iv) Recommendations:

After detailed deliberation and comprehensive discussion on all relevant aspects, as recorded above, the Board unanimously decided to **RECOMMEND** the case for premature release of convict **Vinod @ Vinodi S/o Sh. Dalbir Singh**, subject to the following conditions, which shall be strictly complied with by the convict:

- (i) The said convict shall report to the concerned Probation Officer of Social Welfare Department of his native place in the first week of every quarter of the calendar year till his probation period is completed.
- (ii) He will also share his mobile number and permanent/temporary residential address to the concerned SHO of the Police Station of his native place.
- (iii) He will keep his mobile active at all the time & change in his permanent/temporary residential address or mobile number, shall immediately be reported to the concerned SHO of the Police Station/Probation officer of his native place.

Item No. 99: The case of Sunil Nayak @ Fundi S/o Sh. Dal Chand — (Age-47 Yrs.)

(i) Eligibility conditions:

14 years of actual imprisonment i.e. without remission. This case has been considered under the policy/order dated 16.07.2004 issued by the Govt. of NCT of Delhi i.e. policy that was existing on the date of conviction.

(ii) Sentence details:

Sunil Nayak @ Fundi S/o Sh. Dal Chand is undergoing life imprisonment in case FIR No. 289/2006, U/s 302/34 IPC, P.S. Sarai Rohilla, Delhi for committing murder of a person on having personal Grudge.

(iii) Deliberation:

As on 30.09.2025, the convict has undergone imprisonment for a period of 15 years, 02 months and 14 days in actual custody and 18 years, 08 months and 27 days including remission. During the course of his incarceration, the convict has availed interim bail on 01 occasion, parole on 04 occasions, emergency parole on 01 occasion and furlough on 07 occasions. In 2013, the convict jumped and re-arrested on 28.06.2013 in case FIR No. 106/2013. In 2020, during the emergency parole, the said convict was again re-arrested in other case FIR No. 509/2020. The said convict was late surrendered in jail by 03 days on 12.09.2024 after stay vacated by the Hon'ble Apex Court vide order dated 01.10.2024.

As per jail record, the convict has been involved in two (02) other criminal cases, apart from the present offence, indicating a continued pattern of criminal conduct. Which further reflects his criminal antecedents.

- The detail of criminal case and status of case are as under:-

S. No.	Case Particulars	Status
1.	FIR No. 106/2013, U/s 186/353/307 & 25 Arms Act, PS- Crime Branch, Delhi	Convicted for 4 ½ years RI on 05.10.2016 (Sentenced completed)
2.	FIR No. 509/2020, U/s 25/54/59 Arms Act, PS- Bharat Nagar, Delhi	On bail/pending

Further, during the period of incarceration, five (05) punishments have been recorded against the convict for violations of prison discipline/disobey jail rules. The recurrence of such infractions demonstrates a lack of sustained reformative behaviour and disregard for institutional discipline.

- The details of punishment recorded during the period incarceration are as under:-

S.No.	Date of Punishment	Offence
1.	24.12.2014	Recovery of 600 gms. Tobacco and around 190 ml

		wine concealed in a plastic bottle have been recovered from his possession in Deodhi
2.	14.09.2020	During emergency parole the said convict was again re-arrested in other case FIR No 509/2020
3.	05.03.2021	Recovery of 01 mobile phone, 01 SIM card and one mobile charger form his possession
4.	16.06.2022	Consumption of prohibited substance inside jail
5.	26.08.2022	Recovery of 01 mobile phone, 01 SIM card and 01 battery during the searching
6.	12.09.2024	Late surrender by 03 days after stay vacated by the Hon'ble Apex Court

The above conduct, when viewed in conjunction with the heinous nature of the present offence, clearly indicates that the convict has not shown consistent improvement in conduct or a genuine inclination towards reformation. Accordingly, the cumulative assessment of his criminal antecedents and jail conduct raises a reasonable apprehension of recidivism, thereby weighing against the grant of premature release.

As per the police report dated 01.01.2025, the premature release of the convict has been strongly opposed as the release of convict will shatter the sense of security in society as he has been involved in multiple heinous cases, which indicates he still retains propensity towards crime. The report received from Probation Officer, Prison Welfare Services, Tihar Jail, Delhi has states that the case does not appear to be a fit case for positive consideration by the Sentence Review Board.

In the present case, the convict was involved in committing murder of a person on having personal grudge, which clearly establishes the serious, heinous, and grave nature of the offence. The manner of commission of the offence reflects a high degree of premeditation, planning, and criminal collaboration. Such an offence cannot be considered for leniency, particularly in view of the larger interest of society. While examining cases for premature release, the Board is required to balance the interests of the convict with the societal impact and public safety, and the latter cannot be overlooked or compromised.

(iv) Recommendations:

The Board considered the manner of commission of the offence, which involved a high degree of premeditation, planning, and collaboration, his Involvement in two (02) other

criminal cases, and the multiple punishments recorded against him during the period of incarceration as well as parole/emergency parole. Upon a cumulative assessment of these factors, the Board is of the considered opinion that the possibility of recidivism cannot be ruled out at this stage. Further, on an overall evaluation of the societal impact of the offence, the likelihood of repetition, the extent of reformation achieved, and the question of whether further incarceration would serve a meaningful purpose, the Board finds the case not fit for premature release.

Therefore, the Board unanimously decided to **REJECT** the case for premature release of convict **Sunil Nayak @ Fundi S/o Sh. Dal Chand**, at this stage.

Item No. 100: The case of Surender @ Bhola S/o Sh. Laxman Paswan— (Age-43 Yrs.)

(i) Eligibility conditions:

14 years of actual imprisonment i.e. without remission. This case has been considered under the policy/order dated 16.07.2004 issued by the Govt. of NCT of Delhi i.e. policy that was existing on the date of conviction.

(ii) Sentence details:

Surender @ Bhola S/o Sh. Laxman Paswan is undergoing life imprisonment in case FIR No. 55/2010, U/s 302 IPC, PS- Dabri, Delhi for committing murder of his wife by strangulation.

(iii) Deliberation:

As on 30.09.2025, the convict has undergone imprisonment for a period of 14 years and 28 days in actual custody and 18 years, 04 months and 29 days including remission. During the course of his incarceration, the convict has availed parole on 01 occasion and furlough on 06 occasions.

The Board carefully examined and assessed the overall jail conduct of the convict during the period of incarceration, including discipline and behaviour. It further evaluated the propensity and likelihood of the convict committing offences again upon release, as well as the possibility of his reformation, rehabilitation, and reintegration as a law-abiding and useful member of society.

The Board also took into consideration the socio-economic condition of the convict's family, the nature and gravity of the offence and its impact on society at large, the age of the convict, and the potential risk to public safety. In addition, due weight was given to the latest reports and assessments received from the Police authorities and the Social Welfare Department.

After taking into account all relevant facts, materials, and circumstances of the case in their entirety, the Board arrived at its decision.

(iv) Recommendations:

After detailed deliberation and comprehensive discussion on all relevant aspects, as recorded above, the Board unanimously decided to **RECOMMEND** the case for premature release of convict **Surender @ Bhola S/o Sh. Laxman Paswan**, subject to the following conditions, which shall be strictly complied with by the convict:

- (i) The said convict shall report to the concerned Probation Officer of Social Welfare Department of his native place in the first week of every quarter of the calendar year till his probation period is completed.
- (ii) He will also share his mobile number and permanent/temporary residential address to the concerned SHO of the Police Station of his native place.
- (iii) He will keep his mobile active at all the time & change in his permanent/temporary residential address or mobile number, shall immediately be reported to the concerned SHO of the Police Station/Probation officer of his native place.

Item No. 101: The case of Surender @ Kaira S/o Sh. Pale Ram — (Age-44 Yrs.)

(i) Eligibility conditions:

14 years of actual imprisonment i.e. without remission. This case has been considered under the policy/order dated 16.07.2004 issued by the Govt. of NCT of Delhi i.e. policy that was existing on the date of conviction.

(ii) Sentence details:

Surender @ Kaira S/o Sh. Pale Ram is undergoing life imprisonment in case FIR No. 887/2006, U/S 302/307/120-B/34 IPC & 27 Arms Act, P.S. Punjabi Bagh, Delhi for committing murder of a person by bullet shot over love affair.

(iii) Deliberation:

As on 30.09.2025, the convict has undergone imprisonment for a period of 14 years, 08 months, and 25 days in actual custody and 16 years, 06 months, and 22 day including remission. During the course of his incarceration, the convict has availed parole on 03 occasions and furlough on 06 occasions.

The Board carefully examined and assessed the overall jail conduct of the convict during the period of incarceration, including discipline and behavior. It further evaluated the propensity and likelihood of the convict committing offences again upon release, as well as the possibility of his reformation, rehabilitation, and reintegration as a law-abiding and useful member of society.

After taking into account all relevant facts, materials, and circumstances of the case in their entirety, the Board arrived at its decision.

(iv) Recommendations:

After detailed deliberation and comprehensive discussion on all relevant aspects, as recorded above, the Board unanimously decided to **RECOMMEND** the case for premature release of convict Surender @ Kaira S/o Pale Ram, subject to the following conditions, which shall be strictly complied with by the convict:

- (i) The said convict shall report to the concerned Probation Officer of Social Welfare Department of his native place in the first week of every quarter of the calendar year till his probation period is completed.
- (ii) He will also share his mobile number and permanent/temporary residential address to the concerned SHO of the Police Station of his native place.

- (iii) He will keep his mobile active at all the time & change in his permanent/temporary residential address or mobile number, shall immediately be reported to the concerned SHO of the Police Station/Probation officer of his native place.

Item No. 102: The case of Vidhya Bhushan @ Shashi Bhushan @ Guddu S/o Sh. Dilip Singh — (Age-44 Yrs.)

(i) Eligibility conditions:

14 years of actual imprisonment i.e. without remission. This case has been considered under the policy/order dated 16.07.2004 issued by the Govt. of NCT of Delhi i.e. policy that was existing on the date of conviction.

(ii) Sentence details:

Vidya Bhushan @ Shashi Bhushan @ Guddu S/o Sh. Dilip Singh is undergoing life imprisonment in case FIR No. 169/2011, U/S 302 IPC & 25(1B)/27(1) A. Act, P.S. Aman Vihar, Delhi for committing murder of a person by bullet shot on personal grudge.

(iii) Deliberation:

As on 30.09.2025, the convict has undergone imprisonment for a period of 14 years, 03 months, and 09 days in actual custody and 16 years, 10 months, and 02 days including remission. During the course of his incarceration, he has availed parole on 01 occasion and furlough on 02 occasions.

The Board carefully examined and assessed the overall jail conduct of the convict during the period of incarceration, including discipline and behavior. It further evaluated the propensity and likelihood of the convict committing offences again upon release, as well as the possibility of his reformation, rehabilitation, and reintegration as a law-abiding and useful member of society.

The Board also took into consideration the socio-economic condition of the convict's family, the nature and gravity of the offence and its impact on society at large, the age of the convict, and the potential risk to public safety.

After taking into account all relevant facts, materials, and circumstances of the case in their entirety, the Board arrived at its decision.

(iv) Recommendations:

After detailed deliberation and comprehensive discussion on all relevant aspects, as recorded above, the Board unanimously decided to **RECOMMEND** the case for premature release of convict **Vidhya Bhushan @ Shashi Bhushan @ Guddu S/o Sh. Dilip Singh**, subject to the following conditions, which shall be strictly complied with by the convict:

- (i) The said convict shall report to the concerned Probation Officer of Social Welfare Department of his native place in the first week of every quarter of the calendar year till his probation period is completed.
- (ii) He will also share his mobile number and permanent/temporary residential address to the concerned SHO of the Police Station of his native place.
- (iii) He will keep his mobile active at all the time & change in his permanent/temporary residential address or mobile number, shall immediately be reported to the concerned SHO of the Police Station/Probation officer of his native place.

Item No. 103: The case of Vijay @ Santy S/o Sh. Bishamber— (Age-39 Yrs)

(i) Eligibility conditions:

14 years of actual imprisonment i.e. without remission. This case has been considered under the policy/order dated 16.07.2004 issued by the Govt. of NCT of Delhi i.e. policy that was existing on the date of conviction.

(ii) Sentence details:

Vijay @ Santy S/o Sh. Bishamber is undergoing life imprisonment in case FIR No. 90/2010, U/S 302 IPC, P.S. Geeta Colony, Delhi for committing murder of a person during quarrel by brick blow.

(iii) Deliberation:

As on 30.09.2025, the convict has undergone imprisonment for a period of 14 years, 09 months, and 17 days in actual custody and 18 years, 01 month, and 22 days including remission. During the course of his incarceration, he has availed parole on 06 occasions and furlough on 04 occasions.

As per jail records, the convict was found to be involved in following 04 cases:-

S.No.	CASE PARTICULARS	STATUS
1.	FIR No. 321/2017, U/S 392/397/412/120-B/34 IPC & 59 ARMS ACT, P.S KHYALA, DELHI	ON BAIL
2.	FIR No. 669/2021, U/S 3/4/5 GAMBLING ACT, P.S. KHYALA, DELHI	CONVICTED ON 19.04.2025 & PAID FINE Rs. 500/- IN COURT
3.	FIR No. 912/2021, U/S 302/34 IPC P.S. KHYALA, DELHI	ON BAIL
4.	FIR No. 51/2023, U/S 3/4 MCOCA ACT, P.S. KHYALA, DELHI	PENDING

As per the police report dated 14.05.2025, the premature release of the convict has been strongly opposed, as the convict was involved in a heinous case of murder. It is likely that he may again commit such act if released from jail which may cause serious impact on law & order situation in the area. Further, during the course of deliberations, the Chief Probation Officer, Delhi also did not recommend the premature release of the convict.

In view of the manner of commission of the offence involving a high degree of premeditation, planning and collaboration, such an offence cannot be considered for leniency, particularly in view of the larger interest of society. While examining cases for premature release, the Board is required to balance the interests of the convict with the societal impact and public safety, and the latter cannot be overlooked or compromised.

In light of the above observations, the Board is of the considered opinion that the possibility of recidivism cannot be ruled out at this stage. On an overall assessment of the societal impact of the offence, the likelihood of repetition, the extent of reformation

achieved, and whether any useful purpose would be served by further incarceration, the Board finds the case not fit for premature release.

(iv) Recommendations:

After detailed deliberation and comprehensive discussion on all relevant aspects, as recorded above, the Board unanimously decided to **REJECT** the case for premature release of convict **Vijay @ Santy S/o Sh. Bishamber** at this stage.

Item No. 104: The case of Vijay Saini @ Ram Singh S/o Sh. Radhey Shyam — (Age-38 Yrs.)

(i) Eligibility conditions:

14 years of actual imprisonment i.e. without remission. This case has been considered under the policy/order dated 16.07.2004 issued by the Govt. of NCT of Delhi i.e. policy that was existing on the date of conviction.

(ii) Sentence details:

Vijay Saini @ Ram Singh S/o Sh. Radhey Shyam is undergoing life imprisonment in case FIR No. 49/2011, U/S 302 IPC & 25(1B)(a)/27(1) Arms Act, P.S. Dhaula Kuan, Delhi for committing murder of a college girl student by gun shot.

(iii) Deliberation:

As on 30.09.2025, the convict has undergone imprisonment for a period of 14 years, 06 months and 01 day in actual custody and 16 years, 04 months, and 21 days including remission. During the course of incarceration, he has availed Interim Bail 01 time and Furlough on 06 occasions.

As per the police report dated 05.12.2025, the premature release of the convict has been highly opposed. Further, as per hometown police report dated 15.07.2025 received from P.S. Biswan, Sitapur, Uttar Pradesh, the premature release of the convict is strongly opposed in view of the nature and gravity of the offence.

While considering cases for premature release, the Board is duty-bound to accord primacy to the interests of society and public safety over individual considerations. In view of the nature of the offence, the adverse reports of all concerned authorities, and

the attendant risk to the community, the premature release of the convict would be wholly unjustified and against the larger public interest.

After taking into account all relevant facts, materials, and circumstances of the case in their entirety, the Board arrived at its decision.

(iv) Recommendations:

After detailed deliberation and comprehensive discussion on all relevant aspects, as recorded above, the Board unanimously decided to **REJECT** the case for premature release of convict **Vijay Saini @ Ram Singh S/o Sh. Radhey Shyam** at this stage.

Item No. 105: The case of Vikrant @ Chela S/o Sh. Ramesh Paswan — (Age- 35 Yrs.)

(i) Eligibility conditions:

14 years of actual imprisonment i.e. without remission. This case has been considered under the policy/order dated 16.07.2004 issued by the Govt. of NCT of Delhi i.e. policy that was existing on the date of conviction.

(ii) Sentence details:

Vikrant @ Chela S/o Sh. Ramesh Paswan is undergoing life imprisonment in case FIR No. 154/2009, U/S 302/34 IPC, P.S. I,P, Estate, Delhi for committing murder of a person with knife due to rivalry.

(iii) Deliberation:

As on 30.09.2025, the convict has undergone imprisonment for a period of 14 years, 08 months, and 20 days in actual custody and 17 years, 10 months & 28 days including remission. During the course of his incarceration, he has availed Interim Bail on 01 occasion, Parole on 05 occasion and furlough on 01 occasion.

As per Jail record the convict was found to be involved in following case:-

S.No.	CASE PARTICULARS	STATUS
1.	FIR NO. 284/2022, U/S 307 IPC,P.S. I.P. Estate,	Pending

	Delhi	
--	-------	--

The Board carefully examined and assessed the overall jail conduct of the convict during the period of incarceration; it is observed that during the period of imprisonment, the convict has been awarded 15 jail punishments for various prison offences. The repeated imposition of punishments clearly reflects a persistent pattern of indiscipline, non-compliance with prison rules, and disregard for institutional authority. Despite multiple corrective measures taken by the prison administration, the convict has failed to show sustained improvement in behavior.

A convict who has repeatedly committed prison offences and earned multiple punishments cannot be said to have maintained good conduct or demonstrated reformatory progress as envisaged under the rules.

After taking into account all relevant facts, materials, and circumstances of the case in their entirety, the Board arrived at its decision.

(iv) Recommendations:

After detailed deliberation and comprehensive discussion on all relevant aspects, as recorded above, the Board unanimously decided to **REJECT** the case for premature release of convict **Vikrant @ Chela S/o Sh. Ramesh Paswan** at this stage.

Item No. 106: The case of Vipin Sharma S/o Sh. Raj Kumar Sharma — (Age-33 Yrs.)

(ii) Eligibility conditions:

14 years of actual imprisonment i.e. without remission. This case has been considered under the policy/order dated 16.07.2004 issued by the Govt. of NCT of Delhi i.e. policy that was existing on the date of conviction.

(iii) Sentence details:

Vipin Sharma S/o Sh. Raj Kumar Sharma is undergoing life imprisonment in case FIR No. 90/2011, U/S 302/307/323/324/34 IPC, P.S. Krishna Nagar, Delhi for committing murder of a person during quarrel and injured others.

(iv) Deliberation:

As on 30.09.2025, the convict has undergone imprisonment for a period of 14 years, 05 months and 12 days in actual custody and 16 years, 04 months, and 26 days including remission. During the course of his incarceration, the convict has availed parole on 03 occasions and furlough on 07 occasions. There is no adverse conduct reported during the periods of Parole and Furlough availed by the convict.

The Board carefully examined and assessed the overall jail conduct of the convict during the period of incarceration, including discipline and behavior. It further evaluated the propensity and likelihood of the convict committing offences again upon release, as well as the possibility of his reformation, rehabilitation, and reintegration as a law-abiding and useful member of society.

The Board also took into consideration the nature and gravity of the offence and its impact on society at large, the age of the convict, and the potential risk to public safety.

After taking into account all relevant facts, materials, and circumstances of the case in their entirety, the Board arrived at its decision.

(v) Recommendations:

After detailed deliberation and comprehensive discussion on all relevant aspects, as recorded above, the Board unanimously decided to **RECOMMEND** the case for premature release of convict **Vipin Sharma S/o Sh. Raj Kumar Sharma**, subject to the following conditions, which shall be strictly complied with by the convict:

- (i) The said convict shall report to the concerned Probation Officer of Social Welfare Department of his native place in the first week of every quarter of the calendar year till his probation period is completed.

- (ii) He will also share his mobile number and permanent/temporary residential address to the concerned SHO of the Police Station of his native place.
- (iii) He will keep his mobile active at all the time & change in his permanent/temporary residential address or mobile number, shall immediately be reported to the concerned SHO of the Police Station/Probation officer of his native place.

Item No. 107: The case of Vivek Sharma @ Billu S/o Sh. Jeevan Lal Sharma — (Age-52 Yrs.)

(i) Eligibility conditions:

14 years of actual imprisonment i.e. without remission. This case has been considered under the policy/order dated 16.07.2004 issued by the Govt. of NCT of Delhi i.e. policy that was existing on the date of conviction.

(ii) Sentence details:

Vivek Sharma @ Billu S/o Sh. Jeevan Lal Sharma is undergoing life imprisonment in case FIR No. 90/2011, U/S 323/324/307/302/452/147/148/149/34 IPC, P.S. Krishna Nagar, Delhi for committing murder of a person during quarrel and injured others.

(iii) Deliberation:

As on 30.09.2025, the convict has undergone imprisonment for a period of 14 years, 02 months, and 15 days in actual custody and 16 years, 02 months, and 08 days including remission. During the course of his incarceration, he has availed Parole on 04 occasions and furlough on 08 occasions. There is no adverse conduct reported during the periods of interim bail, parole, and furlough availed by the convict.

The Board carefully examined and assessed the overall jail conduct of the convict during the period of incarceration, including discipline and behavior. It further evaluated the propensity and likelihood of the convict committing offences again upon release, as well as the possibility of his reformation, rehabilitation, and reintegration as a law-abiding and useful member of society.

The Board also took into consideration the socio-economic condition of the convict's family, the nature and gravity of the offence and its impact on society at large, the age of the convict, and the potential risk to public safety.

After taking into account all relevant facts, materials, and circumstances of the case in their entirety, the Board arrived at its decision.

(iv) Recommendations:

After detailed deliberation and comprehensive discussion on all relevant aspects, as recorded above, the Board unanimously decided to **RECOMMEND** the case for premature release of convict **Vivek Sharma @ Billu S/o Sh. Jeevan Lal Sharma**, subject to the following conditions, which shall be strictly complied with by the convict:

- (i) The said convict shall report to the concerned Probation Officer of Social Welfare Department of his native place in the first week of every quarter of the calendar year till his probation period is completed.
- (ii) He will also share his mobile number and permanent/temporary residential address to the concerned SHO of the Police Station of his native place.
- (iii) He will keep his mobile active at all the time & change in his permanent/temporary residential address or mobile number, shall immediately be reported to the concerned SHO of the Police Station/Probation officer of his native place.

Item No. 108: The case of Gaurav Sharma S/o Sh. Shyam Sunder Sharma — (Age-35 Yrs.)

(i) Eligibility conditions:

14 years of actual imprisonment i.e. without remission. This case has been considered under the policy/order dated 16.07.2004 issued by the Govt. of NCT of Delhi i.e. policy that was existing on the date of conviction.

(ii) Sentence details:

Gaurav Sharma S/o Sh. Shyam Sunder Sharma is undergoing life imprisonment in case FIR No. 90/2011, U/S 147/148/149/302/307/323/324/34 IPC, P.S. Krishna Nagar, Delhi for committing murder of a person during quarrel and injured others.

(iii) Deliberation:

As on 30.09.2025, the convict has undergone imprisonment for a period of 14 years, 04 months and 17 days in actual custody and 16 years, 04 months, and 06 days including remission. During the course of his incarceration, he has availed Parole on 04 occasions and furlough on 06 occasions.

The Board carefully examined and assessed the overall jail conduct of the convict during the period of incarceration, including discipline and behavior. It further evaluated the propensity and likelihood of the convict committing offences again upon release, as well as the possibility of his reformation, rehabilitation, and reintegration as a law-abiding and useful member of society.

The Board also took into consideration the socio-economic condition of the convict's family, the nature and gravity of the offence and its impact on society at large, and the potential risk to public safety.

After taking into account all relevant facts, materials, and circumstances of the case in their entirety, the Board arrived at its decision.

(iv) Recommendations:

After detailed deliberation and comprehensive discussion on all relevant aspects, as recorded above, the Board unanimously decided to **RECOMMEND** the case for premature release of convict **Gaurav Sharma S/o Sh. Shyam Sunder Sharma**, subject to the following conditions, which shall be strictly complied with by the convict: