

- (i) The said convict shall report to the concerned Probation Officer of Social Welfare Department of his native place in the first week of every quarter of the calendar year till his probation period is completed.
- (ii) He will also share his mobile number and permanent/temporary residential address to the concerned SHO of the Police Station of his native place.
- (iii) He will keep his mobile active at all the time & change in his permanent/temporary residential address or mobile number, shall immediately be reported to the concerned SHO of the Police Station/Probation officer of his native place.

Item No. 109: The case of Virender @ Dhillu S/o Late Sh. Sunder Lal — (Age-43 Yrs.)

(ii) Eligibility conditions:

14 years of actual imprisonment i.e. without remission. This case has been considered under the policy/order dated 16.07.2004 issued by the Govt. of NCT of Delhi i.e. policy that was existing on the date of conviction.

(ii) Sentence details:

Virender @ Dhillu S/o Late Sh. Sunder Lal is undergoing life imprisonment in case FIR No. 902/2006, U/S 302/201 IPC, P.S. Nangloi, Delhi for committing murder of a person (co-brother/sadhu).

(iv) Deliberation:

As on 30.09.2025, the convict has undergone imprisonment for a period of 16 years, 08 months, and 27 days in actual custody and 19 years, 01 month, and 09 days including remission. During the course of his incarceration, he has availed Parole on 06 occasions and furlough on 06 occasions.

As per jail record, the convict was found to be involved in following 02 cases:-

S.NO.	CASE PARTICULARS	STATUS
-------	------------------	--------

1.	FIR NO. 23/2012, U/S 327/379/34 IPC, P.S. VASANT KUNJ, DELHI	ON BAIL/PENDING
2.	FIR NO. 227/2021, U/S 302/120-B IPC, P.S. CHHAWLA, DELHI	IN J.C./PENDING

As per the police report dated 02.12.2025, the premature release of the convict has been not recommended, keeping in view a murder case in year 2021 has also been registered at P.S. Chhawla, has adversely affected the peace and sense of security of the local area, due to his previous involvement in serious offences, citing his propensity to reoffend and the likelihood of his involvement in criminal activities in the future.

Further, during the course of deliberations, the Chief Probation Officer, Delhi also did not recommend the premature release of the convict.

The Board carefully examined and assessed the overall jail conduct of the convict during the period of incarceration, it was found that the convict was released on Emergency Parole on 15.05.2021 and re-arrested in case FIR No. 227/2021. This clearly reflects a pattern of indiscipline, non-compliance with prison rules, and disregard for institutional authority. Such misconduct is indicative of absence of reformation, which is a core objective of imprisonment under the Delhi Prison Rules.

After taking into account all relevant facts, materials, and circumstances of the case in their entirety, the Board arrived at its decision.

(v) Recommendations:

After detailed deliberation and comprehensive discussion on all relevant aspects, as recorded above, the Board unanimously decided to **REJECT** the case for premature release of convict **Virender @ Dhillu S/o Late Sh. Sunder Lal** at this stage.

Item No. 110: The case of Yogesh Sharma @ Rahul Sharma S/o Sh. Rajinder Sharma — (Age-38 Yrs.)

(i) Eligibility conditions:

14 years of actual imprisonment i.e. without remission. This case has been considered under the policy/order dated 16.07.2004 issued by the Govt. of NCT of Delhi i.e. policy that was existing on the date of conviction.

(ii) Sentence details:

Yogesh Sharma @ Rahul Sharma S/o Sh. Rajinder Sharma is undergoing life imprisonment in case FIR No. 285/2006, U/S 302/397/392/411/34 IPC, P.S. Chandni Chowk, Delhi for committing murder of a person/shopkeeper during robbery.

(iii) Deliberation:

As on 30.09.2025, the convict has undergone imprisonment for a period of 15 years, 00 month and 09 days in actual custody and 18 years, 06 months, and 13 days including remission. During the course of his incarceration, he has availed interim bail on 01 occasion, Parole on 11 occasions and Furlough on 09 occasions.

As per jail record the convict was found to be involved in following 03 cases:-

S.NO.	CASE PARTICULARS	STATUS
1.	FIR NO. 603/2020, U/S 363/364/370 IPC, P.S. SHAHBAD DAIRY, DELHI	ON BAIL IN ALL CASES
2.	FIR NO. 188/2023, U/S 457/380 IPC, P.S. KHARKHODA, SONIPAT, HARYANA	
3.	FIR NO. 656/2023, U/S 201/341/365/395 IPC, P.S. KUNDLI, SONIPAT, HARYANA	

As per the police report dated 07.03.2025, the premature release of the convict has not been recommended. Further, the Probation Officer, vide report dated 25.06.2024, has

stated that the case of the convict does not appears to be fit for positive consideration by Sentence Review Board.

The Board carefully examined and assessed the overall jail conduct of the convict during the period of incarceration, including discipline and behaviour. On scrutiny of records, it is observed that the convict has been involved in three other criminal cases, apart from the case in which he is presently undergoing sentence. The existence of multiple case involvements reflects a continued criminal propensity and raises serious concerns regarding the convict's likelihood of reformation and safe reintegration into society.

While considering cases for premature release, the Board is duty-bound to accord primacy to the interests of society and public safety over individual considerations. In view of the nature of the offence, the adverse reports of all concerned authorities, and the attendant risk to the community, the premature release of the convict would be wholly unjustified and against the larger public interest. As per the Premature Release Guidelines, a convict is required to demonstrate good conduct, reformation, and a reduced risk of recidivism. The multiple criminal case involvements clearly establish that the convict has failed to meet these essential criteria.

After taking into account all relevant facts, materials, and circumstances of the case in their entirety, the Board arrived at its decision.

(iv) Recommendations:

After detailed deliberation and comprehensive discussion on all relevant aspects, as recorded above, the Board unanimously decided to **REJECT** the case for premature release of convict **Yogesh Sharma @ Rahul Sharma S/o Sh. Rajinder Sharma** at this stage.

Item No. 111: The case of Ali Hassan S/o Mohd. Jamil — (Age-61 Yrs.)

(i) Eligibility conditions:

Only after undergoing imprisonment for 20 years including remission. This case has been considered under the policy/order dated 16.07.2004 issued by the Govt. of NCT of Delhi i.e. policy that was existing on the date of conviction.

(ii) Sentence details:

Ali Hassan S/o Mohd. Jamil is undergoing life imprisonment in case FIR No. 129/2007, U/S 302 IPC, P.S. Narela, Delhi for committing murder of his step son (age – 08 years).

(iii) Deliberation:

As on 30.09.2025, the convict has undergone imprisonment for a period of 16 years and 26 days in actual custody and 20 years, 03 months, and 16 days including remission. During the course of his incarceration, he has availed Parole on 04 occasions and furlough on 11 occasions.

The Board carefully examined and assessed the overall jail conduct of the convict during the period of incarceration, including discipline and behavior. It further evaluated the propensity and likelihood of the convict committing offences again upon release, as well as the possibility of his reformation, rehabilitation, and reintegration as a law-abiding and useful member of society.

The Board also took into consideration the socio-economic condition of the convict's family, the nature and gravity of the offence and its impact on society at large, the age of the convict, and the potential risk to public safety.

After taking into account all relevant facts, materials, and circumstances of the case in their entirety, the Board arrived at its decision.

(iv) Recommendations:

After detailed deliberation and comprehensive discussion on all relevant aspects, as recorded above, the Board unanimously decided to **RECOMMEND** the case for premature release of convict **Ali Hassan S/o Mohd. Jamil**, subject to the following conditions, which shall be strictly complied with by the convict:

- (i) The said convict shall report to the concerned Probation Officer of Social Welfare Department of his native place in the first week of every quarter of the calendar year till his probation period is completed.
- (ii) He will also share his mobile number and permanent/temporary residential address to the concerned SHO of the Police Station of his native place.
- (iii) He will keep his mobile active at all the time & change in his permanent/temporary residential address or mobile number, shall immediately be reported to the concerned SHO of the Police Station/Probation officer of his native place.

Item No. 112: The case of Bhairo Singh S/o Sh. Panchu Ram — (Age-35 Yrs.)

(i) Eligibility conditions:

Only after undergoing imprisonment for 20 years including remission. This case has been considered under the policy/order dated 16.07.2004 issued by the Govt. of NCT of Delhi i.e. policy that was existing on the date of conviction.

(ii) Sentence details:

Bhairo Singh S/o Sh, Panchu Ram is undergoing life imprisonment in case FIR No. 393/2009, U/S 364/302/201 IPC, P.S. Krishna Nagar, Delhi for kidnapping and murder of a minor boy (age- 05 years).

(iii) Deliberation:

As on 30.09.2025, the convict has undergone imprisonment for a period of 15 years, 11 months, and 15 days in actual custody and 20 years, 01 month & 16 days including remission. During the course of his incarceration, he has availed Parole on 05 occasions and furlough on 12 occasions.

The Board carefully examined and assessed the overall jail conduct of the convict during the period of incarceration, including discipline and behavior. It further evaluated the propensity and likelihood of the convict committing offences again upon release, as well as the possibility of his reformation, rehabilitation, and reintegration as a law-abiding and useful member of society.

The Board also took into consideration the socio-economic condition of the convict's family, the nature and gravity of the offence and its impact on society at large and the potential risk to public safety.

After taking into account all relevant facts, materials, and circumstances of the case in their entirety, the Board arrived at its decision.

(iv) Recommendations:

After detailed deliberation and comprehensive discussion on all relevant aspects, as recorded above, the Board unanimously decided to **RECOMMEND** the case for premature release of convict **Bhairo Singh S/o Sh. Panchu Ram**, subject to the following conditions, which shall be strictly complied with by the convict:

- (i) The said convict shall report to the concerned Probation Officer of Social Welfare Department of his native place in the first week of every quarter of the calendar year till his probation period is completed.
- (ii) He will also share his mobile number and permanent/temporary residential address to the concerned SHO of the Police Station of his native place.
- (iii) He will keep his mobile active at all the time & change in his permanent/temporary residential address or mobile number, shall immediately be reported to the concerned SHO of the Police Station/Probation officer of his native place.

Item No. 113: The case of Bharat Bhandari S/o Sh. Top Bahadur — (Age-48 Yrs.) (Nepal National)

(i) Eligibility conditions:

Only after undergoing imprisonment for 20 years including remission. This case has been considered under the policy/order dated 16.07.2004 issued by the Govt. of NCT of Delhi i.e. policy that was existing on the date of conviction.

(ii) Sentence details:

Bharat Bhandari S/o Sh. Top Bahadur is undergoing life imprisonment in case FIR No. 226/2004, U/S 302/392/34 IPC, P.S. Vasant Vihar, Delhi for committing murder of an old aged couple during robbery.

(iii) Deliberation:

As on 30.09.2025, the convict has undergone imprisonment for a period of 16 years and 06 months in actual custody and 20 years, 01 month and 06 days including remission. During the course of his incarceration, the convict has availed Parole on 01 occasion.

The Board carefully examined and assessed the overall jail conduct of the convict during the period of incarceration, including discipline and behavior. It further evaluated the propensity and likelihood of the convict committing offences again upon release, as well as the possibility of his reformation, rehabilitation, and reintegration as a law-abiding and useful member of society.

The Board also took into consideration the socio-economic condition of the convict's family, the nature and gravity of the offence and its impact on society at large, the age of the convict, and the potential risk to public safety.

After taking into account all relevant facts, materials, and circumstances of the case in their entirety, the Board arrived at its decision.

(v) Recommendations:

After detailed deliberation and comprehensive discussion on all relevant aspects, as recorded above, the Board unanimously decided to **RECOMMEND** the case for premature release of convict **Bharat Bhandari S/o Sh. Top Bahadur** with direction that the convict shall be **deported to his native country (Nation), Nepal** immediately upon his release, in accordance with law and the applicable procedure.

Item No. 114: The case of Ghanshyam @ Raju S/o Sh. Kishan Yadav — (Age-38 Yrs.)

(i) Eligibility conditions:

Only after undergoing imprisonment for 20 years including remission. This case has been considered under the policy/order dated 16.07.2004 issued by the Govt. of NCT of Delhi i.e. policy that was existing on the date of conviction.

(ii) Sentence details:

Ghanshyam @ Raju S/o Sh. Kishan Yadav is undergoing life imprisonment in case FIR No. 175/2008, U/S 302/394/411/120-B/34 IPC, P.S. Defence Colony, Delhi for committing murder of an old aged couple during robbery.

(iii) Deliberation:

As on 20.09.2025, the convict has undergone imprisonment for a period of 17 years, 02 months, and 03 days in actual custody and 20 years, 11 months, and 07 days including remission. During the course of his incarceration, he has availed parole on 03 occasions and furlough on 02 occasions.

The Board carefully examined and assessed the overall jail conduct of the convict during the period of incarceration, including discipline and behavior. It further evaluated the propensity and likelihood of the convict committing offences again upon release, as well as the possibility of his reformation, rehabilitation, and reintegration as a law-abiding and useful member of society.

The Board also took into consideration the socio-economic condition of the convict's family, the nature and gravity of the offence and its impact on society at large and the potential risk to public safety.

After taking into account all relevant facts, materials, and circumstances of the case in their entirety, the Board arrived at its decision.

(iv) Recommendations:

After detailed deliberation and comprehensive discussion on all relevant aspects, as recorded above, the Board unanimously decided to **RECOMMEND** the case for premature release of convict **Ghanshyam @ Raju S/o Sh. Kishan Yadav**, subject to the following conditions, which shall be strictly complied with by the convict:

- (i) The said convict shall report to the concerned Probation Officer of Social Welfare Department of his native place in the first week of every quarter of the calendar year till his probation period is completed.
- (ii) He will also share his mobile number and permanent/temporary residential address to the concerned SHO of the Police Station of his native place.
- (iii) He will keep his mobile active at all the time & change in his permanent/temporary residential address or mobile number, shall immediately be reported to the concerned SHO of the Police Station/Probation officer of his native place.

Item No. 115: The case of Illiyasuddin S/o Sh. Shamsuddin — (Age-40 Yrs.)

(ii) Eligibility conditions:

Only after undergoing imprisonment for 20 years including remission. This case has been considered under the policy/order dated 16.07.2004 issued by the Govt. of NCT of Delhi i.e. policy that was existing on the date of conviction.

(iii) Sentence details:

Illiyasuddin S/o Sh. Shamsuddin is undergoing life imprisonment in case FIR No. 51/2008, U/S 302/377 IPC, P.S. Kapashera, Delhi for committing un-natural sex & murder of a 2 ½ years old minor boy.

(iv) Deliberation:

As on 30.09.2025, the convict has undergone imprisonment for a period of 17 years and 06 months in actual custody and 22 years, 05 months & 05 days including remission. During the course of his incarceration, the convict has availed Parole on 02 occasions and Furlough on 12 occasions.

The Board undertook a detailed examination of the case for premature release of the convict after considering all relevant material on record, including the commutation roll, police investigation reports, probation officer's report, medical records, and other connected documents, in accordance with the applicable remission policy and statutory parameters.

As per Police report dated 05.12.2025, the police have strongly opposed the premature release, and the possibility of the convict in view of heinous and grave offence. The act committed reflects extreme brutality and has caused severe outrage. Considering the seriousness and depravity of the offence, the case falls under the category of those requiring stricter scrutiny for any early-release consideration.

(v) Recommendations:

After considering the nature and gravity of the offence, which involves sexual assault of a minor child of tender age (2½ years), which is among the most heinous categories of crimes, demonstrating exceptional moral depravity and cruelty. The nature of the crime indicates a potential risk of reoffending, particularly against vulnerable sections of society, including children. The offence shocks the collective conscience of society and undermines public confidence in the justice system. In crimes involving sexual violence against children, rehabilitation requires long-term psychological evaluation, and the risk of recidivism remains a significant concern.

Therefore the Board unanimously decided to **REJECT** the case for premature release of convict **Illiyasuddin S/o Sh. Shamsuddin**, at this stage.

Item No. 116: The case of Kanhaiya Lal Seth S/o Sh. Mangal Sain Seth — (Age-68 Yrs.)

(i) Eligibility conditions:

(a) The convict will be eligible for consideration for premature release only after undergoing imprisonment for 20 years, including remission, in accordance with the policy/order dated 16.07.2004 issued by the Government of NCT of Delhi, i.e., the policy in force on the date of conviction (applicable in case FIR NO. 147/2004, U/s 302/201/34 IPC, P.S. New Delhi Railway Station for committing murder of 02 minor children, aged about 07-10 Years and dumping their bodies).

(b) 14 years of actual imprisonment i.e. without remission. This case has been considered under the policy/order dated 16.07.2004 issued by the Govt. of NCT of Delhi i.e. policy that was existing on the date of conviction (applicable in case FIR No. 234/2004, U/s 302/201/34 IPC, P.S. Kashmere Gate for committing murder of a woman, mother of above deceased children).

(iii) Sentence details:

Kanhaiya Lal Seth S/o Sh. Mangal Sain Seth, is undergoing life imprisonment in two cases—FIR No. 147/2004, registered U/s 302/201/34 IPC, at P.S. New Delhi Railway Station for committing of 02 minor children and FIR No. 234/2004—registered under Sections 302/201/34 IPC at P.S. Kashmere Gate, Delhi, for committing murder of a woman/mother of deceased.

(iv) Deliberation:

As on 30.09.2025, the convict has undergone imprisonment for a period of 17 years, 04 months and 17 days in actual custody and 20 years and 02 months including remission. **(Custody in 1st case FIR No. 147/2004).**

As on 30.09.2025, the convict has undergone imprisonment for a period of 17 years, 04 months, and 05 days in actual custody and 20 years, 01 months and 03 days including remission. **(Custody in 2nd case FIR No. 234/2004).**

During the course of his incarceration, the convict has not availed any Interim Bail, Parole and Furlough till date.

As per Jail records, the convict was also involved in another case FIR No. 550/2004, U/s 328/446/366/376/506/109/34 IPC registered at P.S. Paharganj for committing rape with daughter of deceased Wazero Bai & sister of 02 deceased children of above mentioned two cases wherein the convict has completed the served sentence.

As per Police report dated 29.09.2025, the premature release of the said convict is strongly opposed in view of the gravity of heinous offence committed by the convict. Therefore, after taking into account all relevant facts, materials, and circumstances of the case in their entirety, the Board arrived at its decision.

In the present case, the convict was involved in the murder of three persons of a family including 02 minor children who were brothers and dumped their bodies, which clearly establishes the serious, heinous, and grave nature of the offence. The manner of commission of the offence reflects a high degree of premeditation, planning, and criminal collaboration. Such an offence cannot be considered for leniency, particularly in view of the larger interest of society. While examining cases for premature release, the Board is

required to balance the interests of the convict with the societal impact and public safety, and the latter cannot be overlooked or compromised.

(v) Recommendations:

In view of the nature and gravity of the offence, the high degree of brutality involved, the convict's demonstrated propensity for serious criminal acts, the substantial societal impact, convict's involvement in another case, the Board is of the considered opinion that the present case is not eligible for consideration for premature release at this stage.

Therefore, the Board unanimously decided to **REJECT** the case for premature release of convict **Kanhaiya Lal Seth S/o Sh. Mangal Nain Seth** at this stage.

Item No. 117: The case of Prem Singh @ Prem Kumar S/o Sh. Girdhari Singh — (Age-52 Yrs.)

(i) Eligibility conditions:

Only after undergoing imprisonment for 20 years including remission. This case has been considered under the policy/order dated 16.07.2004 issued by the Govt. of NCT of Delhi i.e. policy that was existing on the date of conviction.

(iii) Sentence details:

Prem Singh @ Prem Kumar S/o Sh. Girdhari Singh is undergoing life imprisonment in case FIR No. 53/2009, U/S 302/201 IPC, P.S. Prashant Vihar, Delhi for committing murder of his 02 minor sons (age 06 & 09 years) by strangulation.

(iv) Deliberation:

As on 30.09.2025, the convict has undergone imprisonment for a period of 16 years, 01 month, and 17 days in actual custody and 20 years and 07 months including remission. During the course of his incarceration, he has availed Emergency Parole on 01 occasion and Furlough on 04 occasions.

As per Jail record, the convict was also involved in another case DD No. 85A on dated 18.10.2020, registered in under sections 107/151 Cr.P.C. P.S. Shalimar Bagh. However, the convict got released on 21.10.2020 in this case.

In the present case, the convict was involved murder of his 02 minor sons, which clearly establishes the serious, heinous, and grave nature of the offence. The manner of commission of the offence reflects a high degree of premeditation and planning. Such an offence cannot be considered for leniency, particularly in view of the larger interest of society. While examining cases for premature release, the Board is required to balance the interests of the convict with the societal impact and public safety, and the latter cannot be overlooked or compromised.

During further course of deliberations, the Board noticed that during 08 weeks emergency Parole w.e.f. 13.07.2020 to 06.09.2020 by GNCTD, the convict got re-arrested in DD No. 85A on dated 18.10.2020, registered in under sections 107/151 Cr.P.C. P.S. Shalimar Bagh. However, the convict got released on 21.10.2020 in this case, but clearly shows the convict violating the Prison Rules indicating non-reformative attitude in addition to the gravity of the offence.

(v) Recommendations:

After detailed deliberation and comprehensive discussion on all relevant aspects, as recorded above, the Board unanimously decided to **REJECT** the case for premature release of convict **Prem Singh @ Prem Kumar S/o Sh. Girdhari Singh** at this stage.

Item No. 118: The case of Ram Shakal @ Pappu S/o Sh. Ram Avshan — (Age-44 Yrs.)

(i) Eligibility conditions:

Only after undergoing imprisonment for 20 years including remission. This case has been considered under the policy/order dated 16.07.2004 issued by the Govt. of NCT of Delhi i.e. policy that was existing on the date of conviction.

(ii) Sentence details:

Ram Shakal @ Pappu S/o Sh. Ram Avshan is undergoing life imprisonment in case FIR No. 87/2008, U/S 302 IPC, P.S. Aman Vihar for committing murder of a minor boy for taking revenge (Age- 10 years).

(iii) Deliberation:

As on 30.09.2025, the convict has undergone imprisonment for a period of 17 years and 21 days in actual custody and 22 years, 04 months, and 05 days including remission. During the course of his incarceration, he has availed Parole 04 times & Furlough on 18 occasions.

The Board carefully examined and assessed the overall jail conduct of the convict during the period of incarceration, including discipline and behavior. It further evaluated the propensity and likelihood of the convict committing offences again upon release, as well as the possibility of his reformation, rehabilitation, and reintegration as a law-abiding and useful member of society.

The Board also took into consideration the socio-economic condition of the convict's family, the nature and gravity of the offence and its impact on society at large and the potential risk to public safety. In addition, due weight was given to the latest reports and assessments received from the Police authorities and the Social Welfare Department.

After taking into account all relevant facts, materials, and circumstances of the case in their entirety, the Board arrived at its decision.

(iv) Recommendations:

After detailed deliberation and comprehensive discussion on all relevant aspects, as recorded above, the Board unanimously decided to **RECOMMEND** the case for premature release of convict **Ram Shakal @ Pappu S/o Sh. Ram Avshan**, subject to the following conditions, which shall be strictly complied with by the convict:

- (i) The said convict shall report to the concerned Probation Officer of Social Welfare Department of his native place in the first week of every quarter of the calendar year till his probation period is completed.
- (ii) He will also share his mobile number and permanent/temporary residential address to the concerned SHO of the Police Station of his native place.

- (iii) He will keep his mobile active at all the time & change in his permanent/temporary residential address or mobile number, shall immediately be reported to the concerned SHO of the Police Station/Probation officer of his native place.

Item No. 119: The case of Satender @ Gajender S/o Sh. Harswaroop — (Age-55 Yrs.)

(i) Eligibility conditions:

Only after undergoing imprisonment for 20 years including remission. This case has been considered under the policy/order dated 16.07.2004 issued by the Govt. of NCT of Delhi i.e. policy that was existing on the date of conviction.

(ii) Sentence details:

Satender @ Gajender S/o Sh. Harswaroop is undergoing life imprisonment in case FIR No. 344/1998, U/S 302/120-B IPC, P.S. Bhajan Pura, Delhi for committing murder of a minor child boy (age 3-4 years).

(iii) Deliberation:

As on 30.09.2025, the convict has undergone imprisonment for a period of 15 years, 07 months, and 26 days in actual custody and 20 years, 06 months and 04 days including remission. During the course of his incarceration, the convict has availed Interim Bail on 01 occasion and furlough on 08 occasions.

The Board carefully examined and evaluated the propensity and likelihood of the convict committing offences again upon release, as well as the possibility of his reformation, rehabilitation, and reintegration as a law-abiding and useful member of society.

The Board also took into consideration the socio-economic condition of the convict's family, the nature and gravity of the offence and its impact on society at large, the age of the convict, and the potential risk to public safety.

After taking into account all relevant facts, materials, and circumstances of the case in their entirety, the Board arrived at its decision.

(iv) Recommendations:

After detailed deliberation and comprehensive discussion on all relevant aspects, as recorded above, the Board unanimously decided to **RECOMMEND** the case for premature release of convict **Satender @ Gajender S/o Sh. Harswaroop**, subject to the following conditions, which shall be strictly complied with by the convict:

- (i) The said convict shall report to the concerned Probation Officer of Social Welfare Department of his native place in the first week of every quarter of the calendar year till his probation period is completed.
- (ii) He will also share his mobile number and permanent/temporary residential address to the concerned SHO of the Police Station of his native place.
- (iii) He will keep his mobile active at all the time & change in his permanent/temporary residential address or mobile number, shall immediately be reported to the concerned SHO of the Police Station/Probation officer of his native place.

Item No. 120: The case of Alamgir S/o Sh. Haider Sheikh @ Hadu Sheikh — (Age-42 Yrs.)

(i) Eligibility conditions:

14 years of imprisonment inclusive of remission but only after completion of 10 years actual imprisonment i.e. without remissions. This case has been considered under the policy/order dated 16.07.2004 issued by the Govt. of NCT of Delhi i.e. policy that was existing on the date of conviction.

(ii) Sentence details:

Alamgir S/o Sh. Sh. Haider Sheikh @ Hadu Sheikh is undergoing life imprisonment in case FIR No. 286/2012, U/S 377/34 IPC, P.S. Sun Light Colony, Delhi for committing sodomy with his own minor daughter (age - 10 years).

(iv) Deliberation:

As on 30.09.2025, the convict has undergone imprisonment for a period of 13 years and 22 days in actual custody and 15 years, 02 months, and 01 day including remission. During the course of his incarceration, the convict has availed parole on 02 occasions and furlough on 07 occasions.

In the present case, the convict was involved in the commission of sodomy with his own minor daughter, with the active assistance of co-inmate. The offence clearly establishes its serious, heinous, and grave nature. The manner of commission reflects a high degree of premeditation, deliberate planning, and coordinated criminal collaboration. Such conduct constitutes a gross violation of the bodily integrity and dignity of the victim and has had a profound and lasting impact on society.

An offence of this magnitude does not merit leniency, particularly in view of the larger societal interest. While examining cases for premature release, the Board is required to balance the interests of the convict against the imperatives of public safety and societal impact, and in cases of this nature, the latter must prevail and cannot be overlooked or compromised.

(v) Recommendations:

In view of the nature and gravity of the offence, the high degree of premeditation and coordinated criminal conduct involved, the severe societal impact, the Board is of the considered opinion that the convict does not merit any leniency. Any premature release in such circumstances would not only undermine public confidence in the criminal justice system but would also send a wrong and adverse message to society. Keeping in view the paramount considerations of public safety and societal interest, the case is held to be wholly unfit for consideration for premature release.

Therefore, the Board unanimously decided to **REJECT** the case for premature release of convict **Alamgir S/o Sh. Haider Sheikh @ Hadu Sheikh** at this stage.

Item No. 121: The case of Kamal S/o Sh. Abdul Rajjak — (Age-35 Yrs.)

(i) Eligibility conditions:

14 years of imprisonment inclusive of remission but only after completion of 10 years actual imprisonment i.e. without remissions. This case has been considered under the

policy/order dated 16.07.2004 issued by the Govt. of NCT of Delhi i.e. policy that was existing on the date of conviction.

(ii) Sentence details:

Kamal S/o Sh. Abdul Rajjak is undergoing life imprisonment in case FIR No. 286/2012, U/S 377/34 IPC, P.S. Sun Light Colony, Delhi for committing sodomy with a minor girl (age- 10 years).

(iv) Deliberation:

As on 30.09.2025, the convict has undergone imprisonment for a period of 13 years, 01 month, and 09 days in actual custody and 14 years, 10 months, and 05 days including remission. During the course of his incarceration, he has availed parole on 01 occasion and furlough on 06 occasions.

In the present case, the convict was involved in the commission of sodomy with minor girl (age- 10 years), with the active assistance of co-inmate (father of the victim). The offence clearly establishes its serious, heinous, and grave nature. The manner of commission reflects a high degree of premeditation, deliberate planning, and coordinated criminal collaboration. Such conduct constitutes a gross violation of the bodily integrity and dignity of the victim and has had a profound and lasting impact on society.

An offence of this magnitude does not merit leniency, particularly in view of the larger societal interest. While examining cases for premature release, the Board is required to balance the interests of the convict against the imperatives of public safety and societal impact, and in cases of this nature, the latter must prevail and cannot be overlooked or compromised.

(v) Recommendations:

In view of the nature and gravity of the offence, the high degree of premeditation and coordinated criminal conduct involved, the severe societal impact, the Board is of the considered opinion that the convict does not merit any leniency. Any premature release in such circumstances would not only undermine public confidence in the criminal justice system but would also send a wrong and adverse message to society. Keeping in

view the paramount considerations of public safety and societal interest, the case is held to be wholly unfit for consideration for premature release.

Therefore, the Board unanimously decided to **REJECT** the case for premature release of convict **Kamal S/o Sh. Abdul Rajjak** at this stage.

Item No. 122: The case of Hanuman Mishra S/o Sh. Ramlot Mishra — (Age-54 Yrs.)

(i) Eligibility conditions:

14 years of imprisonment inclusive of remission but only after completion of 10 years actual imprisonment i.e. without remissions. This case has been considered under the policy/order dated 16.07.2004 issued by the Govt. of NCT of Delhi i.e. policy that was existing on the date of conviction.

(ii) Sentence details:

Hanuman Mishra S/o Sh. Ramlot Mishra is undergoing life imprisonment in case FIR No. 87/2011, U/S 366/376/34 IPC, P.S. Vasant Kunj (South), Delhi for committing gang rape with a 10th class school girl.

(iii) Deliberation:

As on 30.09.2025, the convict has undergone imprisonment for a period of 13 years, 09 months, and 17 days in actual custody and 15 years, 11 months and 07 days including remission. During the course of his incarceration, the convict has availed interim bail on 01 occasion, parole on 01 occasion and furlough on 09 occasions.

As per jail record, the convict has been involved in another case FIR No. 986/2005 registered under sections 323/352/452/379/427 IPC, P.S. Kurwar SultanPur, Uttar Pradesh. However, the convict is on bail in this case.

As per the police report dated 05.12.2025, the premature release of the convict has been strongly opposed, keeping in view the heinous nature of the crime committed by him. Further, the hometown police, vide report dated 29.07.2024; have also not recommended the case of premature release of the convict. Likewise, the District Magistrate, Sultanpur in its report have also not recommended the case of premature release of the convict.

In the present case, the convict was involved in gang rape with a 10th class school girl, with the assistance of co-inmates, which unequivocally establishes the heinous, grave, and violent nature of the offence. The manner of commission reflects extreme brutality, a complete disregard for human life, and a high degree of criminal propensity. Such a serious offence, having severe societal ramifications, does not warrant any leniency. While considering cases for premature release, the Board is duty-bound to balance the interests of the convict against the interest of society and public safety; however, in offences of such nature, the safety of the public and the deterrent impact of punishment must take precedence and cannot be compromised.

(iv) Recommendations:

The Board carefully examined the manner in which the offence was committed, the degree of brutality involved. Upon a holistic consideration of these factors, the Board is of the considered view that the possibility of recidivism cannot be ruled out at this stage. In addition, due weight was given to the latest reports and assessments received from the Police authorities and the Social Welfare Department.

After taking into account all relevant facts, materials, and circumstances of the case in their entirety, the Board arrived at its decision. Therefore, the Board unanimously decided to **REJECT** the case for premature release of convict **Hanuman Mishra S/o Sh. Ramlot Mishra**, at this stage.

Item No. 123: The case of Vinod Kumar S/o Sh. Chhote Lal - (Age-43 Yrs.)

(i) Eligibility conditions:

14 years of imprisonment inclusive of remission but only after completion of 10 years actual imprisonment i.e. without remissions. This case has been considered under the policy/order dated 16.07.2004 issued by the Govt. of NCT of Delhi i.e. policy that was existing on the date of conviction.

(ii) Sentence details:

Vinod Kumar S/o Sh. Chhote Lal is undergoing life imprisonment in case FIR No. 87/2011, U/S 366/376(2)(G)/34 IPC, P.S. Vasant Kunj (South), Delhi for committing gang rape with a 10th class school girl.

(iii) Deliberation:

As on 30.09.2025, the convict has undergone imprisonment for a period of 14 years, 05 months and 01 day in actual custody and 16 years, 07 months, and 05 days including remission. During the course of his incarceration, the convict has availed furlough on 08 occasions.

As per the police report dated 05.12.2025, the premature release of the convict has been strongly opposed, keeping in view that the convict is involved in a serious nature crime.

In the present case, the convict was involved in gang rape with a 10th class school girl, with the assistance of co-inmates, which unequivocally establishes the heinous, grave, and violent nature of the offence. The manner of commission demonstrates extreme cruelty, a complete lack of remorse, and a complete disregard for human life. Such a heinous and serious offence carries profound societal consequences and cannot be viewed with leniency. While considering cases for premature release, the Board is required to balance the interests of the convict against the interests of society and public safety; however, in offences of this nature, the protection of society and the deterrent purpose of punishment must necessarily prevail and cannot be compromised.

(iv) Recommendations:

The Board carefully examined the manner of commission of the offence, which involved the period of incarceration undergone by the convict, his present age, and the number of occasions on which he was released on parole and furlough during incarceration. Upon a holistic and objective assessment of these factors, and considering the gravity and domestic nature of the offence, the Board is of the considered view that the possibility of recidivism cannot be ruled out at this stage. Further, in view of the profound societal impact of such a heinous offence, the likelihood of repetition, the extent of reformation achieved, and the paramount need to safeguard public interest, the Board is of the opinion that continued incarceration serves a meaningful and deterrent purpose. Accordingly, the case is found to be not fit for premature release.

Therefore, the Board unanimously decided to **REJECT** the case for premature release of convict **Vinod Kumar S/o Chhote Lal**, at this stage.

Item No. 124: The case of Kamlesh S/o Sh. Hardev Sharma — (Age-44 Yrs.)

(i) Background:

This case has been considered in compliance to the order dated 12.01.2026, passed by the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi, in W. P. (Crl.) No. 84/2026.

(ii) Eligibility conditions:

14 years of imprisonment inclusive of remission but only after completion of 10 years actual imprisonment i.e. without remissions. This case has been considered under the policy/order dated 16.07.2004 issued by the Govt. of NCT of Delhi i.e. policy that was existing on the date of conviction.

(iii) Sentence details:

Kamlesh S/o Sh. Hardev Sharma is undergoing life imprisonment in case FIR No. 187/2012, U/S 376(2)(f) IPC, P.S. Mundka, Delhi for committing rape upon a minor child (age – 02 years).

(iv) Deliberation:

As on 30.09.2025, the convict has undergone imprisonment for a period of 12 years, 11 months and 23 days in actual custody and 14 years, 07 months, and 11 days including remission. During the course of his incarceration, the convict has availed furlough on 05 occasions.

The Board carefully examined and assessed reports received from Police and other authorities. It further evaluated the propensity and likelihood of the convict committing offences again upon release, as well as the possibility of his reformation, rehabilitation, and reintegration as a law-abiding and useful member of society.

As per Police report dated 02.12.2025, the premature release of the convict has been not recommended in light of his involvement in a heinous crime & a possibility of committing crime again.

The Board also considered that the offence qualifies as heinous due to its extreme brutality against a defenseless child and also child sex offenders exhibit high recidivism, child toddler assault signals profound perversity unlikely reformed by prison behavior alone. The Sentence Review Board prioritizes public safety, victim

justice, and high recidivism risk over any rehabilitation claims or good conduct. After taking into account all relevant facts, materials, and circumstances of the case in their entirety, the Board arrived at its decision.

(v) Recommendations:

After detailed deliberation and comprehensive discussion on all relevant aspects, the Board is of the considered view that the possibility of recidivism cannot be ruled out at this stage. Further, in view of the profound societal impact of such a heinous offence, the likelihood of repetition, the extent of reformation achieved, and the paramount need to safeguard public interest, the Board is of the opinion that continued incarceration serves a meaningful and deterrent purpose. Accordingly, the case is found to be not fit for premature release. Therefore, the Board unanimously decided to **REJECT** the case for premature release of convict **Kamlesh S/o Sh. Hardey Sharma** at this stage.

Item No. 125: The case of Om Prakash @ Raju S/o Sh. Rewa Ram — (Age-66 Yrs.)

(i) Eligibility conditions:

14 years of imprisonment inclusive of remission but only after completion of 10 years actual imprisonment i.e. without remissions. This case has been considered under the policy/order dated 16.07.2004 issued by the Govt. of NCT of Delhi i.e. policy that was existing on the date of conviction.

(ii) Sentence details:

Om Prakash @ Raju S/o Sh. Rewa Ram is undergoing life imprisonment in case FIR No. 187/2009, U/S 376(2)(f) IPC, P.S. Aman Vihar, Delhi for committing rape with a 05 years old minor girl.

(iii) Deliberation:

As on 30.09.2025, the convict has undergone imprisonment for a period of 11 years, 07 months, and 07 days in actual custody and 14 years, 06 months, and 21 days including remission. During the course of his incarceration, the convict has availed parole on 01 occasion, and furlough on 06 occasions.

In the present case, the convict was involved in committing rape with a 05 years old minor girl, which unequivocally establishes the heinous, grave, and violent nature of the offence. The manner of commission reflects extreme brutality, a complete disregard for human life, and a high degree of criminal propensity. Such a serious offence, having severe societal ramifications, does not warrant any leniency. While considering cases for premature release, the Board is duty-bound to balance the interests of the convict against the interest of society and public safety; however, in offences of such nature, the safety of the public and the deterrent impact of punishment must take precedence and cannot be compromised. In addition, as per Police report dated 02.12.2025, the premature release of the convict has been strongly opposed.

The Board considered that the offence qualifies as heinous due to its extreme brutality against a defenceless child, child toddler assault signals profound perversity unlikely reformed by prison behavior alone. The Sentence Review Board prioritizes public safety, victim justice, and high recidivism risk over any rehabilitation claims or good conduct.

(iv) Recommendations:

After detailed deliberation and comprehensive discussion on all relevant aspects, as recorded above, the Board unanimously decided to **REJECT** the case for premature release of convict **Om Prakash @ Raju S/o Sh. Rewa Ram** at this stage.

Item No. 126: The case of Pramod Mandal S/o Sh. Devki Mandal — (Age-41 Yrs.)

(i) Eligibility conditions:

14 years of imprisonment inclusive of remission but only after completion of 10 years actual imprisonment i.e. without remissions. This case has been considered under the policy/order dated 16.07.2004 issued by the Govt. of NCT of Delhi i.e. policy that was existing on the date of conviction.

(ii) Sentence details:

Pramod Mandal S/o Sh. Devki Mandal is undergoing life imprisonment in case FIR No. 225/2012, U/S 376(2)(f)/377 IPC, P.S. Adarsh Nagar, Delhi for committing rape of his own minor daughter (age – 09 years)

(iii) Deliberation:

As on 30.09.2025, the convict has undergone imprisonment for a period of 13 years and 16 days in actual custody and 16 years, 10 months and 28 days including remission. During the course of his incarceration, the convict has availed parole on 01 occasion.

As per the police report dated 08.12.2025, the premature release of the convict has been strongly opposed. However, the Probation Officer, Tihar, vide report dated 10.10.2025, has recommended the premature release of the convict.

In the present case, the convict was involved in committing rape with his own minor daughter (age – 09 years), which unequivocally establishes the heinous, grave, and violent nature of the offence. Such an offence cannot be considered for leniency, particularly in view of the larger interest of society. While examining cases for premature release, the Board is required to balance the interests of the convict with the societal impact and public safety, and the latter cannot be overlooked or compromised.

The Board considered that the offence qualifies as heinous due to its extreme brutality against a defenceless child, child toddler assault signals profound perversity unlikely reformed by prison behaviour alone. The Sentence Review Board prioritizes public safety, victim justice, and high recidivism risk over any rehabilitation claims or good conduct.

(iv) Recommendations:

Upon a cumulative assessment of these above mentioned factors, the Board is of the considered opinion that the possibility of recidivism cannot be ruled out at this stage. Further, on an overall evaluation of the societal impact of the offence, the likelihood of repetition, the extent of reformation achieved, and the question of whether further incarceration would serve a meaningful purpose, the Board finds the case not fit for premature release.

Therefore, the Board unanimously decided to **REJECT** the case for premature release of convict **Pramod Mandal S/o Sh. Devki Mandal**, at this stage.

Item No. 127: The case of Pawan @ Amit @ Tinku S/o Sh. Rajender Singh — (Age-37 Yrs.)

(i) Eligibility conditions:

14 years of imprisonment inclusive of remission but only after completion of 10 years actual imprisonment i.e. without remissions. This case has been considered under the policy/order dated 16.07.2004 issued by the Govt. of NCT of Delhi i.e. policy that was existing on the date of conviction.

(ii) Sentence details:

Pawan @ Amit @ Tinku S/o Sh. Rajender Singh is undergoing life imprisonment in case FIR No. 44/2011, U/S 376/342 IPC, P.S. Anand Parbat, Delhi for committing rape of 04 years old minor girl.

(iii) Deliberation:

As on 30.09.2025, the convict has undergone imprisonment for a period of 13 years, 07 months, and 12 days in actual custody and 16 years, 04 months, and 01 day including remission. During the course of his incarceration, the convict has availed Emergency Parole on 01 occasion and Furlough on 04 occasions.

As per the police report dated 21.03.2024, the premature release of the convict has been strongly opposed. Further, during the course of deliberations, the Chief Probation Officer, Delhi also depicted that this case does not appear to be fit case for positive consideration by Sentence Review Board.

The Board carefully examined and assessed the overall jail conduct of the convict during the period of incarceration, including discipline and behaviour. As per Jail records, the convict has jumped Emergency Parole in year 2020 and re-arrested in same case on 14.11.2021, again the convict surrendered one day late from furlough in year 2024 which clearly indicates violation of Prison Rules as well as showing non reformatory attitude of the convict towards his premature release.

The above conduct, when viewed in conjunction with the heinous nature of the present offence, clearly indicates that the convict has not shown consistent improvement in behaviour or a genuine inclination towards reformation. Accordingly, a cumulative assessment of his criminal antecedents and jail conduct raises a reasonable apprehension of recidivism, thereby weighing strongly against the grant of premature release.

(iv) Recommendations:

After detailed deliberation and comprehensive discussion on all relevant aspects, as recorded above, the Board unanimously decided to **REJECT** the case for premature release of convict **Pawan @ Amit @ Tinku S/o Sh. Rajender Singh** at this stage.

Item No. 128: The case of Raju Chhaka S/o Sh. Om Prakash — (Age-33 Yrs.)

(i) Eligibility conditions:

14 years of imprisonment inclusive of remission but only after completion of 10 years actual imprisonment i.e. without remissions. This case has been considered under the policy/order dated 16.07.2004 issued by the Govt. of NCT of Delhi i.e. policy that was existing on the date of conviction.

(ii) Sentence details:

Raju Chhaka S/o Sh. Om Prakash is undergoing life imprisonment in case FIR No. 17/2014, U/S 376-D/366/342/395/397/412/506/34 IPC & 25 Arms Act, P.S. Paharganj, Delhi for robbing and committing gang rape with a Danish lady/tourist (age – 51 years).

(iii) Deliberation:

As on 30.09.2025, the convict has undergone imprisonment for a period of 11 years, 06 months, and 23 days in actual custody and 15 years and 02 months including remission. During the course of his incarceration, the convict has availed parole on 01 occasion, and furlough on 11 occasions.

As per the police report dated 27.11.2025, the premature release of the convict has been strongly opposed, keeping in view the fact that the convict is involved in the heinous crime of robbery with rape.

In the present case, the convict was involved in committing robbery and rape with a Danish lady/tourist with the assistance of co-inmates, which clearly establishes the serious, heinous, and grave nature of the offence. Such an offence cannot be considered for leniency, particularly in view of the larger interest of society. While examining cases for premature release, the Board is required to balance the interests of the convict with the societal impact and public safety, and the latter cannot be overlooked or compromised.

Upon a cumulative assessment of these above mentioned factors, the Board is of the considered opinion that the possibility of recidivism cannot be ruled out at this stage. Further, on an overall evaluation of the societal impact of the offence, the likelihood of repetition, the extent of reformation achieved, and the question of whether further incarceration would serve a meaningful purpose, the Board finds the case not fit for premature release.

(iv) Recommendations:

The Board considered the manner in which the offence was committed, the number of co-accused involved, and the present age of the convict. Further, having regard to the societal impact of the offence, the likelihood of repetition, the limited extent of reformation achieved, and whether continued incarceration would serve a meaningful purpose, the Board unanimously decided to **REJECT** the case for premature release of convict **Raju Chhaka S/o Sh. Om Prakash**, at this stage.

Item No. 129: The case of Saleem S/o Sh. Shakur Khan — (Age-45 Yrs.)

(i) Eligibility conditions:

14 years of imprisonment inclusive of remission but only after completion of 10 years actual imprisonment i.e. without remissions. This case has been considered under the policy/order dated 16.07.2004 issued by the Govt. of NCT of Delhi i.e. policy that was existing on the date of conviction.

(ii) Sentence details:

Saleem S/o Sh. Shakur Khan is undergoing life imprisonment in case FIR No. 163/2012, U/S 376(2)(G) IPC, P.S. Shahabad Dairy, Delhi for committing gang rape with 09 years old minor girl.

(iii) Deliberation:

As on 30.09.2025, the convict has undergone imprisonment for a period of 11 years, 10 months, and 26 days in actual custody and 14 years, 03 months, and 20 days including remission. During the course of his incarceration, the convict has availed parole on 02 occasions and furlough on 01 occasion.

As per the police report dated 02.12.2025, the premature release of the convict has been strongly opposed, keeping in view that the convict has played an active role in the

commission of the offence. Further, during the course of deliberations, the Probation Officer also depicted that this case does not appear to be fit case for positive consideration by Sentence Review Board.

In the present case, the convict was involved in gang rape with a 09 years old minor girl, with the assistance of co-inmate, which unequivocally establishes the heinous, grave, and violent nature of the offence. The manner of commission demonstrates extreme cruelty, a complete lack of remorse, and a complete disregard for human life. Such a heinous and serious offence carries profound societal consequences and cannot be viewed with leniency. While considering cases for premature release, the Board is required to balance the interests of the convict against the interests of society and public safety; however, in offences of this nature, the protection of society and the deterrent purpose of punishment must necessarily prevail and cannot be compromised.

(iv) Recommendations:

The Board carefully examined the manner of commission of the offence, which involved the period of incarceration undergone by the convict, his present age, and the number of occasions on which he was released on parole and furlough during incarceration. Upon a holistic and objective assessment of these factors, and considering the gravity and domestic nature of the offence, the Board is of the considered view that the possibility of recidivism cannot be ruled out at this stage. Further, in view of the profound societal impact of such a heinous offence, the likelihood of repetition, the extent of reformation achieved, and the paramount need to safeguard public interest, the Board is of the opinion that continued incarceration serves a meaningful and deterrent purpose. Accordingly, the case is found to be not fit for premature release.

Therefore, the Board unanimously decided to **REJECT** the case for premature release of convict **Saleem S/o Sh. Shakur Khan**, at this stage.

Item No. 130: The case of Sujeet Kumar S/o Sh. Madan Mohan Prasad — (Age- 33 Yrs.)

(i) Eligibility conditions:

14 years of imprisonment inclusive of remission but only after completion of 10 years actual imprisonment i.e. without remissions. This case has been considered under the

policy/order dated 16.07.2004 issued by the Govt. of NCT of Delhi i.e. policy that was existing on the date of conviction.

(ii) Sentence details:

Sujeet Kumar S/o Sh. Madan Mohan Prasad is undergoing life imprisonment in case FIR No. 158/2012, U/S 376 IPC, P.S. Naraina, Delhi for committing rape upon a minor girl.

(iii) Deliberation:

As on 30.09.2025, the convict has undergone imprisonment for a period of 12 years, 01 month, and 17 days in actual custody and 14 years, 11 months and 23 days including remission. During the course of his incarceration, the convict has availed Parole on 05 occasions and furlough on 04 occasions.

As per Jail record, the convict was involved in another case FIR No. 168/2012, registered under sections 363/366 IPC at P.S. Naraina, Delhi. However, the convict is presently on bail in this case.

Considering all the facts, circumstances under which the offence was committed, nature, gravity and perversity of the crime, age of the convict, the Deputy Commissioner of Police (West District, New Delhi), in the report dated 12.03.2025 has strongly opposed the premature release of the convict concluding that the propensity to commit crime again by the convict cannot be ruled out.

In the present case, the convict was involved in committing with a minor girl, which unequivocally establishes the heinous, grave, and violent nature of the offence. Such an offence cannot be considered for leniency, particularly in view of the larger interest of society. While examining cases for premature release, the Board is required to balance the interests of the convict with the societal impact and public safety, and the latter cannot be overlooked or compromised.

The Board considered that the offence qualifies as heinous due to its extreme brutality against a defenceless child, child toddler assault signals profound perversity unlikely reformed by prison behaviour alone. The Sentence Review Board prioritizes public safety, victim justice, and high recidivism risk over any rehabilitation claims or good conduct.

(iv) Recommendations:

Upon a cumulative assessment of these above mentioned factors, the Board is of the considered opinion that the possibility of recidivism cannot be ruled out at this stage. Further, on an overall evaluation of the societal impact of the offence, the likelihood of repetition, the extent of reformation achieved, and the question of whether further incarceration would serve a meaningful purpose, the Board finds the case not fit for premature release.

Therefore, the Board unanimously decided to **REJECT** the case for premature release of convict **Sujeet Kumar S/o Sh. Madan Mohan Prasad**, at this stage.

Item No. 131: The case of Usman @ Kale S/o Sh. Subhan Khan — (Age-39 Yrs.)

(i) Eligibility conditions:

14 years of imprisonment inclusive of remission but only after completion of 10 years actual imprisonment i.e. without remissions. This case has been considered under the policy/order dated 16.07.2004 issued by the Govt. of NCT of Delhi i.e. policy that was existing on the date of conviction.

(ii) Sentence details:

Usman @ Kale S/o Sh, Subhan Khan is undergoing life imprisonment in case FIR No. 248/2010, U/S 365/376(2)(g)/506/34 IPC, P.S. Dhaula Kuan, Delhi for kidnapping & rape of a girl/BPO employee.

(iii) Deliberation:

As on 30.09.2025, the convict has undergone imprisonment for a period of 13 years, 07 months, and 11 days in actual custody and a total of 15 years, 09 months and 19 days including remission. During the course of incarceration, the convict has availed interim bail on 02 occasion, furlough on 08 occasions & emergency parole on 02 occasions. The convict was involved in four fresh cases and lodged in district jail Ghaziabad, UP during the period he was released on emergency parole in the year 2020. The details thereof are as under:-

S.No.	CASE PARTICULARS	STATUS
1.	FIR No. 353/2020, U/s 307/427 IPC, P.S. Expressway, G.B. Nagar, Uttar Pradesh	ON BAIL IN ALL CASES
2.	FIR No. 3220/2021, U/s 2/3 Gangster Act, P.S. IndiraPuram Ghaziabad, Uttar Pradesh	
3.	FIR No. 1584/2020, U/S 380/427/411 IPC, P.S. IndiraPuram Ghaziabad, Uttar Pradesh	
4.	ST NO. 326/2021, U/S 307/411/482 IPC, P.S. Kavi Nagar, Uttar Pradesh	

The Board carefully examined and assessed reports received from Police and other authorities. It further evaluated the propensity and likelihood of the convict committing offences again upon release, as well as the possibility of his reformation, rehabilitation, and reintegration as a law-abiding and useful member of society.

In the present case, the convict was involved in committing kidnapping and rape of a girl, which unequivocally establishes the heinous, grave, and violent nature of the offence. Such an offence cannot be considered for leniency, particularly in view of the larger interest of society. While examining cases for premature release, the Board is required to balance the interests of the convict with the societal impact and public safety, and the latter cannot be overlooked or compromised. The Sentence Review Board prioritizes public safety, victim justice, and high recidivism risk over any rehabilitation claims or good conduct. After taking into account all relevant facts, materials, and circumstances of the case in their entirety, the Board arrived at its decision.

After taking into account all relevant facts, materials, and circumstances of the case in their entirety, the Board arrived at its decision.

(iv) Recommendations:

In view of the foregoing facts and circumstances, including the heinous and grave nature of the offence committed with premeditation and criminal collaboration, the involvement of the convict in a fresh and serious criminal case during the period of emergency parole, his criminal antecedents, his present age, and the adverse reports of

the Police, the Board is of the considered opinion that the convict has not demonstrated genuine or sustained reformation. The possibility of recidivism cannot be ruled out, and his release at this stage would pose a serious threat to public safety and send a wrong message to society. Accordingly, upon an overall assessment of the societal impact of the offence, the likelihood of repetition, and the paramount need to safeguard public interest, the Board finds the case not fit for premature release.

Therefore, the Board unanimously decided to **REJECT** the case for premature release of convict **Usman @ Kale S/o Sh. Usman Khan**, at this stage.

Item No. 132: The case of Ichcha Ram S/o Sh. Puttu Lal — (Age-58 Yrs.)

(i) Eligibility conditions:

This case is being considered in accordance with judgment dated 22.03.2010 in case titled as “the State of Haryana and Ors. Vs Jagdish” (SCC 216/2010).

(ii) Sentence details:

Ichcha Ram S/o Sh. Puttu Lal is undergoing life imprisonment in case FIR No. 1489/1996, U/S 376/302/506-II IPC, P.S. Sultan Puri, Delhi for committing rape and murder of a minor girl by strangulation.

(iii) Deliberation:

As on 30.09.2025, the convict has undergone imprisonment for a period of 14 years, 06 months and 27 days in actual custody and a total of 15 years and 10 months including remission. During the course of incarceration, the convict availed Interim Bail on 01 occasion, Parole on 02 occasions and Furlough on 05 occasions. No adverse report was received during the period of Interim Bail, parole and Furlough availed by the convict.

The Board carefully examined and assessed the overall jail conduct of the convict during the period of incarceration, including discipline and behavior. It further evaluated the propensity and likelihood of the convict committing offences again upon release, as well as the possibility of his reformation, rehabilitation, and reintegration as a law-abiding and useful member of society.

The Board also took into consideration the socio-economic condition of the convict's family, the nature and gravity of the offence and its impact on society at large, the age of the convict, and the potential risk to public safety.

After taking into account all relevant facts, materials, and circumstances of the case in their entirety, the Board arrived at its decision.

(iv) Recommendations:

After detailed deliberation and comprehensive discussion on all relevant aspects, as recorded above, the Board unanimously decided to **RECOMMEND** the case for premature release of convict **Ichcha Ram S/o Sh. Puttu Lal**, subject to the following conditions, which shall be strictly complied with by the convict:

- (i) The said convict shall report to the concerned Probation Officer of Social Welfare Department of his native place in the first week of every quarter of the calendar year till his probation period is completed.
- (ii) He will also share his mobile number and permanent/temporary residential address to the concerned SHO of the Police Station of his native place.
- (iii) He will keep his mobile active at all the time & change in his permanent/temporary residential address or mobile number, shall immediately be reported to the concerned SHO of the Police Station/Probation officer of his native place.

Item No. 133: The case of Mahender Kaushik S/o Sh. Bhagat Ram — (Age-49 Yrs.)

(i) Eligibility conditions:

This case is being considered in accordance with judgment dated 22.03.2010 in case titled as “the State of Haryana and Ors. Vs Jagdish” (SCC 216/2010).

(ii) Sentence details:

Mahender Kaushik S/o Sh. Bhagat Ram is undergoing life imprisonment in case FIR No. 192/1996, U/S 302/498-A/34 IPC, P.S. Krishna Nagar, Delhi for committing murder of his wife on account of unlawful demands of dowry.

(iii) Deliberation:

As on 30.09.2025, the convict has undergone imprisonment for a period of 14 years, 02 month, and 07 days in actual custody and 18 years, 10 months, and 02 days including remission. During the course of his incarceration, the convict has availed Interim Bain on 06 occasions, parole on 04 occasions, emergency parole on 02 occasions, and furlough on 16 occasions.

As per the police report dated 06.01/02.01.2025, the premature release of the convict has not been recommended, keeping in view the said dowry death was related to bride's killing committed by her husband and his family soon after the marriage because of their dissatisfaction with the dowry. It was typically the culmination of a series of prior domestic abuses by the husband's family.

In the present case, the convict was involved in the murder of his wife by setting her ablaze, which clearly establishes the serious, heinous, and grave nature of the offence. The manner of commission of the offence reflects a high degree of premeditation, planning, and criminal collaboration. Such an offence cannot be considered for leniency, particularly in view of the larger interest of society. While examining cases for premature release, the Board is required to balance the interests of the convict with the societal impact and public safety, and the latter cannot be overlooked or compromised.

(iv) Recommendations:

In view of the foregoing facts and circumstances, including the heinous and grave nature of the offence committed with premeditation and criminal collaboration and the adverse reports of the police, the Board is of the considered opinion that the convict has not demonstrated genuine or sustained reformation. The possibility of recidivism cannot be ruled out, and his release at this stage would send a wrong message to society. Accordingly, upon an overall assessment of the societal impact of the offence, the likelihood of repetition, and the paramount need to safeguard public interest, the Board finds the case not fit for premature release.

Therefore, the Board unanimously decided to **REJECT** the case for premature release of convict **Mahender Kaushik S/o Sh. Bhagat Ram**, at this stage.

Item No. 134: The case of Raj Kumar S/o Sh. Babu Lal — (Age-49 Yrs.)

(i) Eligibility conditions:

This case is being considered in accordance with judgment dated 22.03.2010 in case titled as “the State of Haryana and Ors. Vs Jagdish” (SCC 216/2010).

(ii) Sentence details:

Raj Kumar S/o Sh. Babu Lal is undergoing life imprisonment in case FIR No. 427/1997, U/S 406/302/498-A IPC, P.S. Tiamrpur, Delhi for committing murder of his wife by burning over unlawful demands of dowry.

(iii) Deliberation:

As on 30.09.2025, the convict has undergone imprisonment for a period of 14 years, 04 months, and 17 days in actual custody and 18 years, 07 months, and 25 days including remission. During the course of his incarceration, the convict has availed parole on 02 occasions and furlough on 15 occasions. The convict has history of jumping furlough granted by the Competent Authority in the year 2020 wherein the Police Authorities re-arrested the convict in the year 2022.

In the present case, the convict was involved in committing of murder of his wife by burning, which clearly establishes the serious, heinous, and grave nature of the offence. The manner of commission of the offence reflects a high degree of premeditation, planning. Such an offence cannot be considered for leniency, particularly in view of the larger interest of society. While examining cases for premature release, the Board is required to balance the interests of the convict with the societal impact and public safety, and the latter cannot be overlooked or compromised.

(iv) Recommendations:

The Board considered the manner of commission of the offence, which involved a high degree of premeditation, planning. Upon a cumulative assessment of these factors, the Board is of the considered opinion that the possibility of recidivism cannot be ruled out at this stage. Further, on an overall evaluation of the societal impact of the offence, the

likelihood of repetition, the extent of reformation achieved, and the question of whether further incarceration would serve a meaningful purpose, the Board finds the case not fit for premature release.

Therefore, the Board unanimously decided to **REJECT** the case for premature release of convict **Raj Kumar S/o Sh. Babu Lal**, at this stage.

Item No. 135: The case of Raj Kumar @ Raju S/o Sh. Prasadi Lal — (Age-49 Yrs.)

(i) Eligibility conditions:

This case is being considered in accordance with judgment dated 22.03.2010 in case titled as “the State of Haryana and Ors. Vs Jagdish” (SCC 216/2010).

(ii) Sentence details:

Raj Kumar @ Raju S/o Sh. Prasadi Lal is undergoing life imprisonment in case FIR No. 373/1996, U/S 302/376/201/397/120-B/34 IPC, P.S. Bhajanpura, Delhi for committing rape and murder of minor girls, murdered 05 person in total.

(iii) Deliberation:

As on 30.09.2025, the convict has undergone imprisonment for a period of 15 years, 02 months, and 13 days in actual custody and 17 years, 08 months, and 08 days including remission. During the course of his incarceration, the convict has availed parole on 02 occasions.

In the present case, the convict was involved in committing rape and murder of minor girls with the assistance of co-inmates, which clearly establishes the serious, heinous, and grave nature of the offence. The manner of commission of the offence reflects a high degree of premeditation, planning, and criminal collaboration. Such an offence cannot be considered for leniency, particularly in view of the larger interest of society. While examining cases for premature release, the Board is required to balance the interests of the convict with the societal impact and public safety, and the latter cannot be overlooked or compromised.

(iv) Recommendations:

The Board considered the manner in which the offence was committed, which involved a high degree of premeditation, planning, and collaboration, the number of co-accused involved. Upon a cumulative assessment of these factors, the Board is of the considered opinion that the possibility of recidivism cannot be ruled out at this stage. Further, having regard to the societal impact of the offence, the likelihood of repetition, the extent of reformation achieved, and whether continued incarceration would serve a meaningful purpose, the Board finds the case not fit for premature release.

Therefore, the Board unanimously decided to **REJECT** the case for premature release of convict **Raj Kumar @ Raju S/o Sh. Prasadi Lal**, at this stage.

Item No. 136: The case of Rajinder Kumar @ Ganja S/o Sh. Ramji Lal — (Age-50 Yrs.)

(i) Eligibility conditions:

This case is being considered in accordance with judgment dated 22.03.2010 in case titled as “the State of Haryana and Ors. Vs Jagdish” (SCC 216/2010).

(ii) Sentence details:

Rajinder Kumar @ Ganja S/o Sh. Ramji Lal is undergoing life imprisonment in case FIR No. 722/1995, U/S 302/307/120-B IPC, P.S. Punjabi Bagh, Delhi for committing murder of 02 persons during quarrel.

(iii) Deliberation:

As on 30.09.2025, the convict has undergone imprisonment for a period of 15 years, 04 months, and 05 days in actual custody and 17 years, 01 months, and 11 days including remission. During the course of his incarceration, the convict has availed interim bail on 01 occasion, Parole on 03 occasions and furlough on 05 occasions.

The Board carefully examined and assessed the overall jail conduct of the convict during the period of incarceration, including discipline and behavior. It further evaluated the propensity and likelihood of the convict committing offences again upon release, as well as the possibility of his reformation, rehabilitation, and reintegration as a law-abiding and useful member of society.

The Board also took into consideration the socio-economic condition of the convict's family, the nature and gravity of the offence and its impact on society at large, the age of the convict, and the potential risk to public safety.

After taking into account all relevant facts, materials, and circumstances of the case in their entirety, the Board arrived at its decision.

(iv) Recommendations:

After detailed deliberation and comprehensive discussion on all relevant aspects, as recorded above, the Board unanimously decided to **RECOMMEND** the case for premature release of convict **Rajinder Kumar @ Ganja S/o Sh. Ramji Lal**, subject to the following conditions, which shall be strictly complied with by the convict:

- (i) The said convict shall report to the concerned Probation Officer of Social Welfare Department of his native place in the first week of every quarter of the calendar year till his probation period is completed.
- (ii) He will also share his mobile number and permanent/temporary residential address to the concerned SHO of the Police Station of his native place.
- (iii) He will keep his mobile active at all the time & change in his permanent/temporary residential address or mobile number, shall immediately be reported to the concerned SHO of the Police Station/Probation officer of his native place.

Item No. 137: The case of Satto W/o Late Sh. Mahender — (Age-61 Yrs.)

(i) Eligibility conditions:

This case is being considered in accordance with judgment dated 22.03.2010 in case titled as “the State of Haryana and Ors. Vs Jagdish” (SCC 216/2010).

(ii) Sentence details:

Satto W/o Late Sh. Mahender is undergoing life imprisonment in case FIR No. 273/1984, U/S 302/34 IPC, P.S. Subji Mandi, Delhi for committing murder of her sister-in-law by burning on demand of dowry.

(iii) Deliberation:

As on 30.09.2025, the convict has undergone imprisonment for a period of 14 years, 01 month, and 03 days in actual custody and 17 years, 05 months, and 07 days including remission. During the course of his incarceration, the convict has availed parole on 13 occasions and furlough on 17 occasions.

In the present case, the convict was involved in the murder of her sister-in-law by burning on demand of dowry. The offence squarely demonstrates a serious breach of trust and is grave, heinous, and reprehensible in nature. The manner of commission unmistakably reflects a high degree of premeditation, meticulous planning, and organized criminal collaboration. Such conduct strikes at the very core of public safety and societal order and does not warrant any indulgence or leniency.

Considering all the facts and circumstances under which the offence was committed, including the nature, gravity, and perversity of the crime, the Additional Deputy Commissioner of Police – I/N has not recommended the case of the convict for premature release.

While considering cases for premature release, the Board is duty-bound to accord primacy to the interests of society and public safety over individual considerations. In view of the nature of the offence, the adverse Police reports, the premature release of the convict would be wholly unjustified and against the larger public interest.

(iv) Recommendations:

In view of the manner of commission of the offence, which involved a high degree of premeditation, planning, and collaboration; the Board is of the considered opinion that the possibility of recidivism cannot be ruled out at this stage. Further, upon an overall assessment of the societal impact of the offence, the extent of reformation achieved, and whether any useful purpose would be served by premature release, the Board finds the case not fit for premature release.

Therefore, the Board unanimously decided to **REJECT** the case for premature release of convict **Satto W/o Late Sh. Mahender**, at this stage.

**THIS CASE IS BEING PLACED UNDER ARTICLE 72 OF THE
CONSTITUTION OF INDIA AS DIRECTED BY GOVT. OF NCT OF DELHI**

Item No. 138: The case of Promila @ Neena S/o Sh. Sunil Kumar — (Age-60 Yrs.)

(i) Background:

This case is being considered on the direction of the Home Department, Govt. of NCT of Delhi vide letter reference no. F.18/46/2024/Home(G)/Prisons/3361-62 dated 04.11.2025 on the representation filed by the convict under Article 72 of the Constitution of India seeking grant of pardon of the remaining portion of the sentence of life imprisonment.

(ii) Sentence details:

Promila @ Neena S/o Sh. Sunil Kumar is undergoing life imprisonment in case FIR No. 270/1998, U/S 302/34 IPC, P.S. Prasad Nagar, Delhi for committing murder of her sister-in-law (Nanad) on demand of borrowed money by her.

(iii) Deliberation:

As on 31.10.2025, the convict has undergone imprisonment for a period of 11 years, 05 months, and 24 days in actual custody and 13 years, 09 months, and 21 days including remission. During the course of his incarceration, the convict has availed interim bail on 03 occasions, parole on 05 occasions, and furlough on 10 occasions.

In the present case, the convict was involved in murder of her sister-in-law (Nanad) on demand of borrowed money by her, with the active assistance of co-inmate. The offence squarely demonstrates a serious breach of trust and is grave, heinous, and reprehensible in nature. The manner of commission unmistakably reflects a high degree of premeditation, meticulous planning, and organized criminal collaboration. Such conduct strikes at the very core of public safety and societal order and does not warrant any indulgence or leniency.

While considering cases for premature release, the Board is duty-bound to accord primacy to the interests of society and public safety over individual considerations. In

view of the nature of the offence, the adverse report of the police authority, and the attendant risk to the community, the premature release of the convict would be wholly unjustified and against the larger public interest.

The Board also took into account that the convict is not eligible for premature release in accordance with applicable rules/policies.

(v) Recommendations:

In view of the manner of commission of the offence involving a high degree of premeditation, planning and collaboration, the number of co-accused, and the present age of the convict, the Board is of the considered opinion that the possibility of recidivism cannot be ruled out at this stage. On an overall assessment of the societal impact of the offence, the likelihood of repetition, the extent of reformation achieved, and whether any useful purpose would be served by further incarceration, the Board finds the case not fit for premature release.

Therefore, the Board unanimously comes to the conclusion **NOT TO RECOMMEND** this case for premature release under Article 72 of the Constitution of India.

**THIS CASE IS BEING PLACED UNDER ARTICLE 72 OF THE
CONSTITUTION OF INDIA AS DIRECTED BY GOVT. OF NCT OF DELHI**

Item No. 140: The case of Firoza W/o Sh. Shamshuddin — (Age-72 Yrs.)

(i) Background:

This case has been considered on the direction of the Home Department, Govt. of NCT of Delhi vide letter reference no. F.18/46/2024/Home(G)/Prisons/3361-62 dated 04.11.2025 on the representation filed by the convict under Article 72 of the Constitution of India seeking grant of pardon of the remaining portion of the sentence of life imprisonment.

(ii) Sentence details:

Firoza W/o Sh. Shamshuddin is undergoing life imprisonment in case FIR No. 246/2008, U/S 304-B/302/498-A/34 IPC, P.S. Welcome, Delhi for committing murder of her daughter-in-law on account of unlawful demand of dowry.

(iii) Deliberation:

As on 31.10.2025, the convict has undergone imprisonment for a period of 10 years, 03 months, and 05 days in actual custody and 12 years, 08 months, and 11 days including remission. During the course of his incarceration, the convict has availed interim bail on 01 occasion, parole on 02 occasions, and furlough on 08 occasions.

The Board carefully examined and assessed the overall jail conduct of the convict during the period of incarceration, including discipline and behavior. It further evaluated the propensity and likelihood of the convict committing offences again upon release, as well as the possibility of his reformation, rehabilitation, and reintegration as a law-abiding and useful member of society.

The Board also took into consideration the socio-economic condition of the convict's family, the nature and gravity of the offence and its impact on society at large, the age of the convict, and the potential risk to public safety.

After taking into account all relevant facts, materials, and circumstances of the case in their entirety, the Board arrived at its decision.

(v) Recommendations:

Therefore, the Board unanimously comes to the conclusion to **RECOMMEND** the premature release of **Firoza W/o Sh. Shamshuddin**. The recommendation of the Board shall be forwarded to M.H.A. Govt. of India for approval of the Hon'ble President of India as the case **to be dealt under Article 72 of the Constitution of India**.

**THIS CASE IS BEING PLACED UNDER ARTICLE 72 OF THE
CONSTITUTION OF INDIA AS DIRECTED BY GOVT. OF NCT OF DELHI**

Item No. 141: The case of Rameshwari S/o Sh. Bhagat Ram Kaushik — (Age-71 Yrs.)

(i) Background:

This case has been considered on the direction of the Home Department, Govt. of NCT of Delhi vide letter reference no. F.18/46/2024/Home(G)/Prisons/3361-62 dated

04.11.2025 on the representation filed by the convict under Article 72 of the Constitution of India seeking grant of pardon of the remaining portion of the sentence of life imprisonment.

(ii) Sentence details:

Rameshwari W/o Sh. Bhagat Ram Kaushik is undergoing life imprisonment in case FIR No. 192/1996, U/S 302/498-A/34 IPC, P.S. Krishna Nagar, Delhi for committing murder of her daughter-in-law on account of unlawful demands of dowry.

(iii) Deliberation:

As on 20.10.2023, the convict has undergone imprisonment for a period of 09 years, 10 months, and 04 days in actual custody and 11 years and 09 months including remission. During the course of his incarceration, the convict has availed interim bail on 03 occasions, parole on 07 occasions, and furlough on 10 occasions.

In the present case, the convict was involved in the murder of her daughter-in-law, which clearly establishes the serious, heinous, and grave nature of the offence. The manner of commission of the offence reflects a high degree of premeditation, planning, and criminal collaboration. Such an offence cannot be considered for leniency, particularly in view of the larger interest of society. While examining cases for premature release, the Board is required to balance the interests of the convict with the societal impact and public safety, and the latter cannot be overlooked or compromised.

(iv) Recommendations:

In view of the foregoing facts and circumstances, including the heinous and grave nature of the offence committed with premeditation and criminal collaboration and the adverse reports of the police, the Board is of the considered opinion that the convict has not demonstrated genuine or sustained reformation. The possibility of recidivism cannot be ruled out, and his release at this stage would send a wrong message to society. Accordingly, upon an overall assessment of the societal impact of the offence, the likelihood of repetition, and the paramount need to safeguard public interest, the Board finds the case not fit for premature release.

Therefore, the Board unanimously comes to the conclusion **NOT TO RECOMMEND** this case for premature release under Article 72 of the Constitution of India.

Item No. 182: The case of Ram Nath Dass S/o Sh. Kewal Dass — (Age-53 Yrs.)

(i) Background:

This case has been considered in compliance to the order dated 24.11.2025, passed by the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi, in W. P. (Crl.) No. 907/2023.

(ii) Eligibility conditions:

14 years of actual imprisonment i.e. without remission. This case has been considered under the policy/order dated 16.07.2004 issued by the Govt. of NCT of Delhi i.e. policy that was existing on the date of conviction.

(iii) Sentence details:

Ram Nath Dass S/o Sh. Kewal Dass is undergoing life imprisonment in case FIR No. 445/2000, U/S 302/307/397/34 IPC, P.S. Prashant Vihar, Delhi for committing murder of an old aged lady during robbery.

(iv) Deliberation:

As on 10.12.2025, the convict has undergone imprisonment for a period of 20 years, 10 months, and 17 days in actual custody and 26 years, 03 months, and 16 days including remission. During the course of his incarceration, the convict has availed parole on 02 occasions.

As per jail records, the convict was found to be involved in another criminal case registered as FIR No. 193/1996 under Sections 341/323/324/447 IPC at Police Station Surya Garh, Bihar (Convicted on 29.11.2018, Rigorous Imprisonment for 02 years and 06 months, sentence completed).

In the present case, the convict was involved in the murder of an old aged lady during robbery by sharp/deadly weapons, with the assistance of co-inmates, which clearly establishes the serious, heinous, and grave nature of the offence. The manner of commission of the offence reflects a high degree of premeditation, planning, and criminal collaboration. Such an offence cannot be considered for leniency, particularly in view of the larger interest of society. While examining cases for premature release, the Board is required to balance the interests of the convict with the societal impact and public safety, and the latter cannot be overlooked or compromised.

(v) Recommendations:

In view of the manner of commission of the offence involving a high degree of premeditation, planning and collaboration, the number of co-accused involved, the Board is of the considered opinion that the possibility of recidivism cannot be ruled out at this stage. On an overall assessment of the societal impact of the offence, the likelihood of repetition, the extent of reformation achieved, and whether any useful purpose would be served by further incarceration, the Board finds the case not fit for premature release.

Therefore, the Board unanimously decided to **REJECT** the case for premature release of convict **Ram Nath Dass S/o Sh. Kewal Dass**, at this stage.

Item No. 183: The case of Md. Shahid Khan @ Raja S/o Abdul Malik Khan — (Age-35 Yrs.)

(i) Eligibility conditions:

14 years of actual imprisonment i.e. without remission. This case has been considered under the policy/order dated 16.07.2004 issued by the Govt. of NCT of Delhi i.e. policy that was existing on the date of conviction.

(ii) Sentence details:

Md. Shahid Khan @ Raja S/o Abdul Malik Khan is undergoing life imprisonment in case FIR No. 109/2009, U/S 302 IPC, P.S. Kapashera, Delhi for committing murder of a person over quarrel.

(iii) Deliberation:

As on 30.09.2025, the convict has undergone imprisonment for a period of 14 years, 02 months, and 12 days in actual custody and 18 years, 05 months and 07 days including remission. During the course of his incarceration, the convict has availed parole on 05 occasion, and furlough on 06 occasions.

The Board carefully examined and assessed the overall jail conduct of the convict during the period of incarceration, including discipline and behavior. The convict has surrendered late by 02 days from Parole on 24.03.2018 and once again he surrendered late by 04 months & 09 days from emergency parole on 16.08.2023 which clearly

indicates the non-reformative attitude of the convict. It further evaluated the propensity and likelihood of the convict committing offences again upon release, as well as the possibility of his reformation, rehabilitation, and reintegration as a law-abiding and useful member of society.

The Board also took into consideration the nature and gravity of the offence and its impact on society at large, the age of the convict, and the potential risk to public safety.

After taking into account all relevant facts, materials, and circumstances of the case in their entirety, the Board arrived at its decision.

(iv) Recommendations:

After detailed deliberation and comprehensive discussion on all relevant aspects, as recorded above, the Board unanimously decided to **REJECT** the case for premature release of convict **Md. Shahid Khan @ Raja S/o Abdul Malik Khan**, at this stage.

Item No. 184: The case of MD. Vakeel S/o MD. Yasin — (Age-39 Yrs.)

(i) Background:

This case has been considered in compliance to the order dated 08.12.2025, passed by the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi, in W. P. (Crl.) No. 3866/2025.

(ii) Eligibility conditions:

Only after undergoing imprisonment for 20 years including remission. This case has been considered under the policy/order dated 16.07.2004 issued by the Govt. of NCT of Delhi i.e. policy that was existing on the date of conviction.

(ii) Sentence details:

MD. Vakeel S/o MD. Yasin is undergoing life imprisonment in case FIR No. 349/2009, U/S 302 IPC, P.S. Sangam Vihar, Delhi for committing murder of 02 persons (in laws) over quarrel.

(iii) Deliberation:

As on 12.12.2025, the convict has undergone imprisonment for a period of 16 years, 02 months, and 20 days in actual custody and 20 years, 01 month, and 08 days including

remission. During the course of his incarceration, the convict has availed parole on 06 occasions, and furlough on 17 occasions. There is no adverse conduct reported during the periods of parole, and furlough availed by the convict.

The Board carefully examined and assessed the overall jail conduct of the convict during the period of incarceration, including discipline and behaviour. It further evaluated the propensity and likelihood of the convict committing offences again upon release, as well as the possibility of his reformation, rehabilitation, and reintegration as a law-abiding and useful member of society.

The Board also took into consideration the socio-economic condition of the convict's family, the nature and gravity of the offence and its impact on society at large, and the potential risk to public safety. In addition, due weight was given to the latest reports and assessments received from the Police authorities and the Social Welfare Department.

After taking into account all relevant facts, materials, and circumstances of the case in their entirety, the Board arrived at its decision.

(iv) Recommendations:

After detailed deliberation and comprehensive discussion on all relevant aspects, as recorded above, the Board unanimously decided to **RECOMMEND** the case for premature release of convict **MD. Vakeel S/o MD. Yasin**, subject to the following conditions, which shall be strictly complied with by the convict:

- (i) The said convict shall report to the concerned Probation Officer of Social Welfare Department of his native place in the first week of every quarter of the calendar year till his probation period is completed.
- (ii) He will also share his mobile number and permanent/temporary residential address to the concerned SHO of the Police Station of his native place.

- (iii) He will keep his mobile active at all the time & change in his permanent/temporary residential address or mobile number, shall immediately be reported to the concerned SHO of the Police Station/Probation officer of his native place.

Item No. 185: The case of Om Prakash S/o Sh. Khacheru — (Age-73 Yrs.)

(i) Background:

This case has been considered in compliance to the order dated 14.11.2025, passed by the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi, in W. P. (Crl.) No. 3080/2025.

(ii) Eligibility conditions:

Only after undergoing imprisonment for 20 years including remission. This case has been considered under the policy/order dated 16.07.2004 issued by the Govt. of NCT of Delhi i.e. policy that was existing on the date of conviction.

(ii) Sentence details:

Om Prakash S/o Sh. Khacheru is undergoing life imprisonment in case FIR No. 426/1984, U/S 147/188/302 IPC, P.S. Kalyan Puri, Delhi for committing crime during Sikh riots.

(iii) Deliberation:

As on 05.01.2026, the convict has undergone imprisonment for a period of 16 years, 06 months, and 10 days in actual custody and 20 years, 09 month, and 26 days including remission. During the course of his incarceration, the convict has availed interim bail on 04 occasions, parole on 07 occasions and furlough on 15 occasions.

The Board considered the reports received from Police and Social Welfare Departments and took into account all the facts and circumstances of the case. The convict had committed a heinous crime during Sikh riots post assassination of Smt. Indira Gandhi in year 1984.

The Board also took into consideration the nature and gravity of the offence and its impact on society at large, and the potential risk to public safety. In addition, due weight was given to the latest reports and assessments received from the Police authorities and the Social Welfare Department. The Board is of the view that it may not

be in the interest of the society at large to release such a convict who was involved in massacre done in 1984.

After taking into account all relevant facts, materials, and circumstances of the case in their entirety, the Board arrived at its decision.

(iv) Recommendations:

After detailed deliberation and comprehensive discussion on all relevant aspects, as recorded above, the Board unanimously decided to **REJECT** the case for premature release of convict **Om Prakash S/o Sh. Khacheru**, at this stage.

THIS CASE IS BEING PLACED UNDER RULE 1246A, DELHI PRISONS RULES, 2018 (FOR INCAPACITATED CONVICT)

Item No. 186: The case of Kailashwati w/o Sh. Bishamber Singh — (Age-80 Yrs.)

(i) Background:

This case has been considered in compliance to the order dated 12.11.2025, passed by the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi, in W. P. (Crl.) No. 1976/2025.

(ii) Sentence details:

Kailashwati W/o Sh. Bishamber Singh is undergoing 07 years imprisonment in case FIR No. 359/1987, U/S 304-B IPC, P.S. Patel Nagar, Delhi.

(iii) Deliberation:

As on 31.12.2018, the convict has undergone imprisonment for a period of 04 years, and 08 days in actual custody and 04 years and 03 months including remission. During the course of his incarceration, the convict has availed Parole on 02 occasions.

The Board carefully examined and assessed the overall jail conduct of the convict during the period of incarceration, including discipline and behavior. It further evaluated the propensity and likelihood of the convict committing offences again upon release, as well as the possibility of his reformation, rehabilitation, and reintegration as a law-abiding and useful member of society.

The Board also took into consideration the socio-economic condition of the convict's family, the nature and gravity of the offence and its impact on society at large, and the

potential risk to public safety. In addition, due weight was given to the latest reports and assessments received from the Police authorities and the Social Welfare Department.

After taking into account all relevant facts, materials, and circumstances of the case in their entirety, the Board arrived at its decision.

(iv) Recommendations:

After detailed deliberation and comprehensive discussion on all relevant aspects, as recorded above, the Board unanimously decided to **RECOMMEND** the case for premature release of convict **Kailashwati W/o Sh. Bishamber Singh**.

9. All the cases recommended by the Board shall be released subject to the approval of Competent Authority i.e. Hon'ble L.G. and also subject to the conditions imposed to be complied strictly by all concerned convicts.

10. The summary of recommendations made by Board is given as below:

Item No.	Name with parentage	Board Recommendation
51.	Jitender Kumar @ Jeetu S/o Sh. Hari Ram	Rejected
52.	Kalu @ Rajinder S/o Sh. Madan Pal	Recommended
53.	Kamal Kumar S/o Sh. Bodhraj Guglani	Recommended
54.	Kanhaiya Lal Yadav S/o Sh. Shiv Narayan Yadav	Recommended
55.	Karamveer @ Sonu S/o Sh. Banwari Lal	Recommended
56.	Karma Aggarwal S/o Sh. Moti Lal Aggarwal	Rejected
57.	Krishan Ram S/o Sh. Jeewan Ram	Recommended
58.	Kuldeep Kumar S/o Sh. Hukum Chand	Recommended
59.	Malkhan S/o Sh. Soran Master	Recommended
60.	Manish Chand S/o Sh. Anokhe Lal Yadav	Rejected
61.	Raj Kishore S/o Sh. Ram Prakash	Rejected
62.	Manish @ Lulu S/o Sh. Shyam Singh	Recommended
63.	Surjeet @ Bacha S/o Sh. Niranjan	Rejected
64.	Manjeet S/o Sh. Jaswant Singh	Recommended
65.	Manoj Sirohi S/o Sh. Priya Pal Singh	Recommended

Item No.	Name with parentage	Board Recommendation
66.	Mohsin Khan S/o Sh. Munna Khan	Rejected
67.	Nadeem Khan S/o Sh. Munna Khan	Rejected
68.	Nitin @ Aatish Singh S/o Sh. Rajeshwar Singh	Recommended
69.	Mukesh @ Haddi S/o Sh. Suresh Chand	Rejected
70.	Narender Kumar @ Pappu S/o Sh. Ishwar Singh	Rejected
71.	Pankaj S/o Sh. Raj Kumar	Rejected
72.	Sandeep @ Manoj S/o Sh. Ved Prakash	Rejected
73.	Pankaj @ Dabbu S/o Sh. Ramanand	Rejected
74.	Pawan Kumar S/o Sh. Jagdish Prasad	Rejected
75.	Pooran Verma @ Puran S/o Sh. Shankar Lal	Rejected
76.	Pradeep Paswan S/o Sh. Ram Vilas	Recommended
77.	Rabbani @ Sameer S/o Sh. Sheruddin	Recommended
78.	Raja Ram @ Chota Raja S/o Sh. Dhanna Ram	Rejected
79.	Rajeev S/o Sh. Narayan Singh	Recommended
80.	Rajeev Kumar S/o Sh. Jagdish Sharan	Recommended
81.	Ramesh S/o Sh. Phoola Ram @ Phule Ram	Recommended
82.	Ramesh Kumar S/o Sh. Gurdayal	Recommended
83.	Randhir S/o Sh. Ganesh Paswan	Recommended
84.	Ravi Kumar Sinha S/o Sh. Suresh	Rejected
85.	Ravinder Kumar Chauhan @ Ravi S/o Sh. Shyambir	Recommended
86.	Rohit @ Mona S/o Late Sh. Brij Mohan	Rejected
87.	Roshan Kumar @ Munna S/o Sh. Nawal Kishore Mandal	Recommended
88.	Md. Sadiq Ansari S/o Sh. Mohd. Qadir Ansari	Recommended
89.	Sandeep S/o Sh. Ram Rattan	Recommended
90.	Sanjay Paswan S/o Sh. Rajender Paswan	Recommended
91.	Sant Ram S/o Sh. Sukh Ram	Recommended
92.	Satish Kumar @ Rane S/o Sh. Bhole Nath	Rejected
93.	Shivji Singh S/o Sh. Ram Singhashan Singh	Rejected
94.	Subhash Bhardwaj S/o Sh. Jai Bhagwan	Recommended
95.	Subhash Kumar S/o Sh. Jhagru Swarankar	Recommended
96.	Sudhir @ Sudeep @ Manju Singh	Recommended

Item No.	Name with parentage	Board Recommendation
	S/o Sh. Ram Kishan	
97.	Sunil @ Babu S/o Sh. Ganga Ram	Recommended
98.	Vinod @ Vinodi S/o Sh. Dalbir Singh	Recommended
99.	Sunil Nayak @ Fundi S/o Sh. Dal Chand	Rejected
100.	Surender @ Bhola S/o Sh. Laxman Paswan	Recommended
101.	Surender @ Kaira S/o Sh. Pale Ram	Recommended
102.	Vidhya Bhushan @ Shashi Bhushan @ Guddu S/o Sh. Dalip Singh	Recommended
103.	Vijay @ Santy S/o Sh. Bishamber	Rejected
104.	Vijay Saini @ Ram Singh S/o Sh. Radhey Shyam	Rejected
105.	Vikrant @ Chela S/o Sh. Ramesh Paswan	Rejected
106.	Vipin Sharma S/o Sh. Raj Kumar Sharma	Recommended
107.	Vivek Sharma @ Billu S/o Sh. Jeevan Lal Sharma	Recommended
108.	Gaurav Sharma S/o Sh. Shyam Sunder Sharma	Recommended
109.	Virender @ Dhillu S/o Sh. Sunder Lal	Rejected
110.	Yogesh Sharma @ Rahul Sharma S/o Sh. Rajinder Sharma	Rejected
111.	Ali Hassan S/o Sh. Mohd. Jamil	Recommended
112.	Bhairo Singh S/o Sh. Panchu Ram	Recommended
113.	Bharat Bhandari S/o Sh. Top Bahadur	Recommended
114.	Ghanshyam S/o Sh. Kishan Yadav @ Raju	Recommended
115.	Illiyasuddin S/o Sh. Shamshuddin	Rejected
116.	Kanhaiya Lal Seth S/o Sh. Mangal Sain Seth	Rejected
117.	Prem Singh @ Prem Kumar S/o Sh. Girdhari Singh	Rejected
118.	Ram Shakal @ Pappu S/o Sh. Ramavshan	Recommended
119.	Satender @ Gajender S/o Sh. Harswaroop	Recommended
120.	Alamgir S/o Sh. Haider Sheikh @ Hadu Sheikh	Rejected
121.	Kamal S/o Abdul Rajjak	Rejected
122.	Hanuman Mishra S/o Sh. Ramlot Mishra	Rejected
123.	Vinod Kumar S/o Sh. Chhote Lal	Rejected
124.	Kamlesh S/o Sh. Hardey Sharma	Rejected
125.	Om Prakash @ Raju S/o Sh. Rewa Ram	Rejected

Item No.	Name with parentage	Board Recommendation
126.	Pramod Mandal S/o Sh. Devki Mandal	Rejected
127.	Pawan @ Amit @ Tinku S/o Sh. Rajender Singh	Rejected
128.	Raju Chhaka S/o Sh. Om Prakash	Rejected
129.	Salim S/o Sh. Shakur Khan	Rejected
130.	Sujeet Kumar S/o Sh. Madan Mohan Prasad	Rejected
131.	Usman Khan @ Kale S/o Sh. Subhan Khan	Rejected
132.	Ichcha Ram S/o Sh. Puttu Lal	Recommended
133.	Mahender Kaushik S/o Sh. Bhagat Ram	Rejected
134.	Raj Kumar S/o Sh. Babu Lal	Rejected
135.	Raj Kumar @ Raju S/o Sh. Prasadi Lal	Rejected
136.	Rajinder Kumar @ Ganja S/o Sh. Ramji Lal	Recommended
137.	Satto W/o Mahender	Rejected
138.	Promila @ Neena W/o Sunil Kumar	Rejected
140.	Firoza W/o Sh. Shamsuddin	Recommended
141.	Rameshwari W/o Sh. Bhagat Ram	Rejected
182.	Ram Nath Dass S/o Sh. Kewal Dass	Rejected
183.	Md. Shahid Khan @ Raja S/o Abdul Malik Khan	Rejected
184.	Md. Vakeel S/o Md. Yasin	Recommended
185.	Sh. Om Prakash S/o Sh. Khacheru	Rejected
186.	Kailashwati W/o Sh. Bishamber Singh	Recommended

11. Thus, as tabulated at Para 10 above, the Board at its meeting held on 14.01.2026 has **recommended 46 cases** for premature release, **49 cases have been rejected**.

12. The meeting ended with a vote of thanks to the chair.

-sd-

(Sharad Kumar)

Chief Probation Officer
Department of Social Welfare
GNCT of Delhi/Member

-sd-

(Mangesh Kashyap)

Addl. Commissioner of Police (Crime)
Delhi Police/Member
(As nominated by Commissioner of Police)

-sd-

(Reetesh Singh)

Principal Secretary
(Law, Justice & Legislative Affairs)
GNCT of Delhi/Member

-sd-

(Surinder S. Rathi)

District Judge
Central District, Delhi/ Member
(As nominated by D&SJ, Hqs, Delhi)

-sd-

(S.B.K. Singh)

Director General of Prisons
GNCT of Delhi/Member Secretary

-sd-

(Bipul Pathak)

Additional Chief Secretary (Home)
GNCT of Delhi/Member

-sd-

(Ashish Sood)

Hon'ble Home Minister
GNCT of Delhi/Chairman

Annexure-I

The following members were present on 14.01.2026:

(i)	Sh. Ashish Sood Hon'ble Home Minister Govt. of NCT of Delhi	Chairman
(ii)	Sh. Bipul Pathak Additional Chief Secretary (Home) Govt. of NCT of Delhi	Member
(iii)	Sh. S.B.K. Singh Director General of Prisons Govt. of NCT of Delhi	Member Secretary
(iv)	Sh. Surinder S. Rathi District Judge Central District, Tis Hazari Courts, Delhi (As nominated by Principal D&SJ, Hqs, Delhi)	Member
(v)	Sh. Reetesh Singh Principal Secretary (Law, Justice & Legislative Affairs), Govt. of NCT of Delhi	Member
(vi)	Sh. Mangesh Kashyap Additional Commissioner of Police (Crime) Delhi Police (As nominated by Commissioner of Police)	Member
(vii)	Sh. Sharad Kumar Chief Probation Officer Department of Social Welfare Govt. of NCT of Delhi	Member